Larvicidal and ovicidal effects of selected chemicals found in plant-derived essential oils for mosquito control

Sivrisineklerle mücadelede bitkisel kökenli esansiyel yağlarda bulunan çeşitli kimyasalların larvisidal ve ovisidal etkileri

Fatma BURSALI1 [\(ID\)](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3559-3849)

ABSTRACT

Objective: *Aedes aegypti* (L., 1762) (Diptera: Culicidae) is a vector for numerous viral diseases including dengue fever, Chikungunya, Zika fever, and yellow fever in densely populated urban areas. Mosquito control programs rely heavily on applying larvicides in breeding areas and applying insecticides targeting adult mosquitoes in the *Ae. aegypti* populations. Essential oils are primarily composed of terpenoids and phenylpropanoids and have a range of beneficial properties, including insecticidal activities.

Methods: This study primarily investigated the ovicidal and larvicidal activity of selected chemicals (verbenone, propionic acid, lactic acid, 1-butanol, 2-butanol and citronellol) identified in essential oils of plants against *Ae. aegypti* in wells of 24-well plates.

Results: Verbenone, propionic acid and lactic acid were observed to present a dose dependent effect on mosquito larvae. Verbenone emerged as the most effective against larvae with an LC_{50} value

ÖZET

Amaç: A*edes aegypti* (L., 1762) (Diptera: Culicidae), dünya nüfusunun büyük bir kısmını etkileyen dang humması, Chikungunya, Zika humması ve sarıhumma gibi çok sayıda viral hastalığın vektörüdür. Sivrisinek kontrol programları büyük ölçüde üreme alanlarında larvasitlerin uygulanmasına ve *Ae. aegypti* ergin popülasyonlarını hedef alan böcek ilaçlarının uygulanmasına dayanmaktadır. Esansiyel yağlar temel olarak terpenoidler ve fenilpropanoidlerden oluşmaktadır ve böcek öldürücü aktiviteleri de dahil olmak üzere çeşitli faydalı özelliklere sahiptir.

Yöntem: Bu çalışmada çeşitli bitkilerin uçucu yağlarından tanımlanmış bazı kimyasal maddelerin (verbenon, propiyonik asit, laktik asit, 1-butanol, 2-butanol ve sitronellol) *Ae. aegypti* larva ve yumurtalarına karşı ovisidal ve larvisidal aktiviteleri 24 gözenekli plakada araştırılmıştır.

Bulgular: Verbenon, propiyonik asit ve laktik asidin sivrisinek larvaları üzerinde doza bağlı etki gösterdiği gözlenmiştir. Verbenon, 29.369 ppm LC₅₀ değeri ile larvalara karşı en etkili madde olarak belirlenmiştir.

1 Aydın Adnan Menderes University, Faculty of Science, Department of Biology, Aydın, Türkiye

İletişim / Corresponding Author : Fatma BURSALI

Aydın Adnan Menderes Üniversitesi Fen Fakültesi Biyoloji Bölümü Aydın - Türkiye **E-posta / E-mail :** fatma.gunerkan@adu.edu.tr

Geliş Tarihi / Received : 05.04.2024 **Kabul Tarihi / Accepted :** 31.05.2024

DOI ID : [10.5505/TurkHijyen.2024.86429](https://dx.doi.org/10.5505/TurkHijyen.2024.86429)

Bursalı F. Larvicidal and ovicidal effects of selected chemicals found in plant-derived essential oils for mosquito control. Turk Hij Den Biyol Derg, 2024; 81(3): 287 - 296

of 29.369 ppm. Propionic acid and lactic acid were highly effective with LC_{50} values ranging between 40.45-47.63 ppm. 1-butanol, 2-butanol and citronellol did not cause any mortality. This study is among the few studies that have assessed the ovicidal effects of essential oils on mosquito eggs. After 120 hours of exposure, verbenone, propionic acid and 1-butanol were observed to present a dose dependent effect on mosquito eggs. Verbenone also emerged as the most effective against eggs with an LC_{50} value of 11.877 ppm. At 200 and 100 ppm <25% hatched but afterwards a drastic increase in egg hatching was observed with decreased with concentration.

Conclusion: EOs have promising potential as a safe and effective larvicidal and ovicidal agent for controlling *Aedes* mosquito populations. Such studies pave the way for developing new mosquito control formulations utilizing these effective essential oils.

Key Words: *Aedes*, verbenone, citronellol, essential oil, vector control

Propiyonik asit ve laktik asit, 40.45-47.63 ppm arasında değişen LC₅₀ değerleri ile oldukça etkili olmuştur. 1-bütanol, 2-bütanol ve sitronellol herhangi bir etki göstermemiştir. Bu çalışmada ayrıca uçucu yağların sivrisinek yumurtaları üzerindeki ovisidal etkileri de değerlendirilmiştir. Verbenon, propiyonik asit ve 1-bütanolün 120 saatlik değerlendirme süreci sonunda sivrisinek yumurtaları üzerinde doza bağlı bir etki gösterdiği gözlemlenmiştir. Verbenon 11.877 ppm LC₅₀ değeri ile yumurtalara karşı en etkili madde olarak ortaya çıkmıştır. 200 ve 100 ppm'de <%25 yumurtadan çıkma oranı gözlemlenmiş ancak daha düşük konsantrasyonlarda yumurta açılma oranında artış ortaya çıkmıştır.

Sonuç: Esansiyel yağlarda bulunan bazı etken maddeler *Aedes* sivrisinek popülasyonlarının kontrolünde larvisidal ve ovisidal olarak güvenli ve etkili bir mücadele yöntemi olma potansiyeline sahiptir. Bu tür çalışmalar sivrisinek mücadelesinde esansiyel yağlar kullanılarak yeni formülasyonların geliştirilmesi yolunu açacaktır.

Anahtar Kelimeler: *Aedes*, verbenon, sitronellol, esansiyel yağ, vektör mücadelesi

INTRODUCTION

Aedes aegypti (L., 1762) (Diptera: Culicidae), commonly known as the yellow fever mosquito, poses a significant threat to public health due to its role as a vector for numerous viral diseases including dengue fever, Chikungunya, Zika fever, and yellow fever. This mosquito thrives in densely populated urban areas, where it preferentially feeds on humans, even when other mammals are available (1,2). *Ae. aegypti* exhibits primarily diurnal biting behavior that is often inconspicuous with peak activity occurring around sunrise and sunset. However, they can also bite at night in well-lit areas (3,4). This mosquito exhibits a cosmo-tropical distribution in tropical regions yearround, its reach. Additionally, its geographic range extends beyond its African origins and now encompass more temperate areas during the summer months (5). Human activities, particularly international travel and trade have significantly facilitated this global spread. Historically, maritime transportation played a major role in establishing *Ae. aegypti* populations across continents (6–8).

Mosquito control programs rely heavily on monitoring *Ae. aegypti* populations to assess their prevalence, dispersal patterns, and abundance. This involves inspecting potential breeding sites, employing traps for both adult mosquitoes and eggs (ovitraps), and eliminating potential breeding sites or applying larvicides (chemicals that kill mosquito larvae) in these areas and applying insecticides targeting adult mosquitoes (adulticides) can be used (9,10). Common chemical adulticides including organophosphates (like malathion) and pyrethroids (like permethrin)

can be applied through various methods, such as indoor residual spraying, bed net impregnation, or aerial spraying (11–13). The effectiveness of chemical insecticides is often short-lived, as mosquitoes can develop resistance with continuous use, and many are associated with potential health and environmental concerns (14). Biocontrol agents like bacteria (*Bacillus thuringiensis israelensis*) or Insect growth regulators (IGRs) which kill or disrupt mosquito's development cycle can also be used to control mosquitoes as they pose minimal risk to humans, and other beneficial organisms (15,16) .

Essential oils (EOs) are a class of concentrated, aromatic, and volatile liquids extracted from various plant parts (flowers, leaves, stems, bark, and fruits) using established techniques (hydro distillation, steam distillation, dry distillation) or more ecologically friendly methods (supercritical fluid extraction, microwave-assisted extraction, ultrasound-assisted extraction) (17,18). These EOs are primarily composed of terpenoids and phenylpropanoids and have a range of beneficial properties, including antioxidant, antibacterial, and insecticidal activities (19). Consequently, EOs have potential applications in various fields, including pharmaceuticals, food science, and agriculture. Notably, their insecticidal

properties have been explored against a diverse array of pests (17,20,21).

This study primarily investigated the ovicidal and larvicidal activity of some chemicals identified in essential oils of plants against *Aedes aegypti*.

MATERIAL and METHOD

Aedes aegypti mosquitoes were reared in cages within an insectary maintained at a temperature of 28-30°C and 70-80% relative humidity, with a 12-hour photoperiod in Vector Control Laboratory at Aydın Adnan Menderes University, Türkiye. To facilitate blood feeding, females were presented with defibrinated sheep blood via an artificial feeder (4). Eggs were hatched in plastic containers, and the larvae were subsequently fed crushed fish scale (Tetramin®) for larvicidal experiments. Eggs were air dried for 2 days before use in ovicidal experiments.

Commercially available chemicals (purity > 85%) found in plant essential oils were obtained from Sigma Aldrich (Table 1, Figure 1). Stock solutions of 200, 100, 50, and 25 ppm $(\mu g/mL)$ were prepared by dissolving these chemicals in distilled water for use in the bioassays.

Figure 1. Chemical structures of verbenone, propionic acid, lactic acid, 1-butanol, 2-butanol and citronellol

| Chemicals | Plant source | Compound type | Company (purity) | Larvicidal | | Ovicidal | |
|-------------------------------------|---|--------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | | | LC_{50} (µg/mL) (95% CL) | Chi- square X^2 | LC_{50} (µg/mL) (95% CL) | Chi- square X^2 |
| Citronellol | Rose, geranium and lemongrass (22, 23) | terpenoid | >95% (Sigma) | ND | ND | 138.037 $(101.190 -$ 243.685) | 2.462 |
| Verbenone | Rosemary (41) | terpene | >93% (Sigma) | 29.369 $(27.718 -$ 31.662) | 0.769 | 11.877 $(0.911 -$ 24.481) | 2.194 |
| Propionic acid | Rhynhosia bedd- domei (42) | carboxylic acid | 299.5% (Sigma) | 40.454 $(22.800 -$ 55.619) | 15.330 | 30.576 $(15.294 -$ 43.212) | 3.924 |
| 1-butanol | Jack fruit, Japanese ginger, maize, musk melon (24,25) | alcohol | 299.9% (Sigma) | ND | ND | 80.634 $(25.312 -$ 56.627) | 12.666 |
| 2-butanol | Truffle (43) | alcohol | 299.8% (Sigma) | ND | ND | 85.535 $(7.246 -$ 45.751) | 12.894 |
| Lactic acid | Sugar plant wastes (44) | carboxylic acid | $\geq 85\%$ (Sigma) | 47.631 $(41.648 -$ 53.451) | 4.627 | 99.416 $(68.171 -$ 190.042) | 0.314 |
| Mortality $(\%)$ $\pm SD$ | Negative control | 0.0 ± 0.0 | 0.0 ± 0.0 | | | | |
| | Positive control | $100+0.0$ | 100 ± 0.0 | | | | |

Table 1. Information and lethal values of the selected chemicals from plant-derived essential oils

LC values are expressed in ppm (μ g/mL) and they are considered significantly different when 95% CL fail to overlap. Values are means ± S.D. Negative control: distilled water. Positive control: Vectobac® 12AS 0.19 ml/L for larvicidal. ND: Not determined.

Larvicidal bioassay

Ten third instar mosquito larvae were dispensed into wells of 24-well plates (Sigma, Corning Costar Multiple Well Plates, CLS3524) and exposed to varying concentrations of chemicals (200, 100, 75, 50, 25 ppm), while a negative control group received only distilled water and a positive control the commercial *Bti* (0.19 ml/l), (*Bacillus thuringiensis var. israelensis*), (VectoBac 12AS, Valent Biosciences, USA). Each well contained a total volume of 1 ml and 10 larvae per well. Required concentrations of

the compounds were dissolved in distilled water. The plates were incubated at 24°C, and larval mortality was assessed after 48 hours. Larvicidal activity was determined by calculating the LC_{50} which represents the concentration (in μg/ml) that induced 50% mortality within 48 hours. Larvae were considered dead if they remained immobile after probing. Each treatment had six replicates and the entire experiment was repeated three times (26). Experiments were done under laboratory conditions.

Ovicidal bioassay

Ten intact and healthy mosquito eggs were dispensed into wells of 24-well plates (Sigma, Corning Costar Multiple Well Plates, CLS3524) using a fine brush and exposed to varying concentrations of chemicals (200, 100, 75, 50, 25 ppm), while a negative control group received only distilled water. Required concentrations of the compounds were dissolved in distilled water. Each well contained a total volume of 1 ml and 10 eggs per well. The plates were incubated at 24°C, and egg hatching rates was assessed after 120 hours. Ovicidal activity was determined by calculating the LC₅₀ which represents the concentration (in μ g/ ml) that induced 50% mortality. Eggs were considered dead if there is no eggshell fracture with no rising of the egg buster and there was no L1 stage in the well. Each treatment was replicated six times, and the entire experiment was repeated three times (26). Experiments were done under laboratory conditions.

Statistical analyses

LC values and their 95% confidence interval of the compounds against eggs (ovicidal) and larvae (larvicidal) was evaluated using probit analysis. Data from control groups with less than 5% mortality were excluded from the analysis. To compare differences on the effects of the compound, a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey's post-hoc test (*p* < 0.05) was employed. Prior to ANOVA, the data underwent arcsine transformation. A *p*-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Larvicidal bioassay

The larvicidal effects of the chemicals found in various plant derived EOs against *Ae. aegypti* larval mortality is given in Figure 1. After 48 hours of exposure, verbenone, propionic acid and lactic acid were observed to present a dose dependent effect on mosquito larvae. At 200, 100 and ppm these compounds caused 100% mortality but afterwards a drastic drop in efficacy was observed with decreased with concentration. The LC_{50} value of Verbenone, propionic acid and lactic acid against *Ae. aegypti*were determined as Table 1. 1-butanol, 2-butanol and citronellol did not cause any mortality. Analysis of variance results showed that there were significant differences between the chemical compounds (F (5, 150) = 366; *p*<0.0001), tested concentrations (F (4, 150) = 593.3; *p*<0.0001) and their interaction (F (20, 150) = 142.4; *p*<0.0001) (Figure 2).

Figure 2. Larvicidal effects of different doses of verbenone, propionic acid, lactic acid, 1-butanol, 2-butanol and citronellol against 3rd instar *Aedes aegypti* larvae

Ovicidal bioassay

The ovicidal effects of the chemicals found in various plant derived EOs against *Ae. aegypti* larval mortality is given in Figure 3. After 120 hours of exposure, verbenone, propionic acid and 1- butanol were observed to present a dose dependent effect on mosquito eggs. At 200 and 100 ppm <25% hatched but afterwards a drastic increase in egg hatching was observed with decreased with concentration.

The LC₅₀ value of the chemicals against *Ae*. *aegypti* eggs were determined as Table 1. Analysis of variance results showed that there were significant differences between the chemical compounds (F (6, 175) = 25.56; *p*<0.0001), tested concentrations (F (4, 175) = 35.01; *p*<0.0001) and their interaction (F (24, 175) = 2.369; *p*=0.0007) (Figure 3).

Figure 3. The hatching rate of the mosquitoes after treatment with chemicals

DISCUSSION

Essential oils (EOs) possess complex chemical compositions and are characterized by a diversity of distinct compounds (phytochemical diversity) with different biological properties. Some EOs and their components can kill insects or disrupt their growth at various stages of their life cycle (17,27). This study assessed the effects of six chemicals found in various plant derived EOs against *Ae. aegypti* eggs hatching and larval survival. Results showed that the chemicals tested showed varying effects. After 24 hours of exposure, verbenone, propionic acid and lactic acid were observed to present a dose dependent effect on mosquito larvae. Verbenone emerged as the most effective against larvae with an LC_{50} value of 29.369 ppm. Propionic acid and lactic acid were highly effective with LC_{50} values ranging between 40.45-47.63 ppm. 1-butanol, 2-butanol and citronellol did not cause any mortality.

 Numerous studies have explored the potential of essential oils and their components for mosquito larvae control, these studies have shown that commercially available oils like turmeric (*Curcuma longa*), sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis*), lemongrass oil, cinnamon bark oil and lemon (*Citrus limon*) are particularly effective against different mosquito species belonging to the *Culex*, *Aedes*, and *Anopheles* genera (28–33). For instance, (34) investigated the potential of four essential oils (lemon, lavender, peppermint, and neem) as larvicides against *Ae. aegypti* and revealed high larvicidal activity for lemon, peppermint, and

lavender oils, with lemon oil exhibiting the strongest effect (LC $_{50}$ = 10.676 ppm). Conversely, neem oil displayed the lowest larvicidal potency $(LC_{50} =$ 38.058 ppm). Manimaran et al. (28) evaluated the larvicidal and knockdown effects of 25 essential oils against *Cx. quinquefasciatus*, *An. stephensi* and *Ae. aegypti*. Eight oils (calamus, cinnamon, citronella, clove, eucalyptus, lemon, mentha, and orange) demonstrated complete larvicidal activity at a concentration of 1000 ppm and complete knockdown effect at 10% concentration. Such studies pave the way for developing new mosquito control formulations utilizing these effective essential oils. Selvi et al. (45) evaluated the activities of some naturally growing medicinal plants (*Salvia verticillata, Leucanthemum vulgare, Inula vulgaris* and *Matricaria chamomilla*) in Türkiye. Plant extracts had high larvicidal activity on *Ae. albopictus* larvae. Similarly, Usta et al. (46) evaluated oviposition deterrent, ovicidal and skin repellent activities of *Salvia verticillate* and *Matricaria chamomilla* against *Aedes albopictus* and stated that these plants have potential in this sense.

 While research on larvicidal effects of EOs is extensive, ovicidal effects remain less explored. This study demonstrated that after 120 hours of exposure, verbenone, propionic acid and 1- butanol were observed to present a dose dependent effect on mosquito eggs. At 200 and 100 ppm <25% hatched but afterwards a drastic increase in egg hatching was observed with decreased with concentration. Few studies have assessed the ovicidal effects of essential oils on mosquito eggs. Muturi et al. (35) investigated the chemical composition and ovicidal

activity of essential oils from garlic and asafoetida on *Cx. pipiens* and *Cx. restuans*. They identified ten and twelve distinct compounds in garlic and asafoetida essential oils, respectively with Allyl disulfide found as the most prevalent compound in garlic oil. These EOs exhibited significant impact on egg hatching as majority of the *Culex* egg rafts exposed to either garlic or asafoetida oil failed to hatch. In another study (36), *Cinnamomum verum* EO and its main compound, trans-cinnamaldehyde, showed strong ovicidal activity against mosquito eggs at high concentrations (30,000 ppm). EOs have promising potential as a safe and effective ovicidal agent for controlling *Aedes* mosquito populations. Research shows promise for using extracts from various plants to kill mosquito eggs (37). Studies have demonstrated the ovicidal activity of crude extracts from plants such as *Andrographis paniculata* (Lamiales: Acanthaceae), *Cassia accidentalis* (Fabales: Fabaceae), *Euphorbia hirta* (Malpighiales: Euphorbiaceae), *Eclipta alba* (Asterales: Asteraceae), *Cardiospermum halicacabum* (Sapindales: Sapindaceae), *Aegle marmelos* (Sapindales: Rutaceae), *Andrographis lineata* (Lamiales: Acanthaceae), *Cocculus hirsutus* (Ranunculales: Menispermaceae), *Tagetes erecta* (Asterales: Asteraceae), *Melothria maderaspatana* (Cucurbitales: Cucurbitaceae) and *Acalypha alnifolia* against various mosquito species, including *An. stephensi*, *An. subpictus*, *Ae. aegypti*, and *Cx. quinquefasciatus* (38–40). Further research is needed to optimize their use and develop practical control strategies.

ETHICS COMMITTEE APPROVAL

* This study does not require Ethics Committee Approval.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- **1.** Kraemer MUG, Sinka ME, Duda KA, Mylne AQN, Shearer FM, Barker CM, et al. The global distribution of the arbovirus vectors *Aedes aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus*. Elife, 2015; 4:e08347
- **2.** Ahebwa A, Hii J, Neoh KB, Chareonviriyaphap T. *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* (Diptera: Culicidae) ecology, biology, behaviour, and implications on arbovirus transmission in Thailand: Review. One Health, 2023; 16:100555.
- **3.** Christofferson RC, Wearing HJ, Turner EA, Walsh CS, Salje H, Tran-Kiem C, et al. How do i bite thee? let me count the ways: Exploring the implications of individual biting habits of *Aedes aegypti* for dengue transmission. PLoS Negl Trop Dis, 2022; 16(10):e0010818.
- **4.** Bursali F, Simsek FM. Effects of different feeding methods and hosts on the fecundity and bloodfeeding behavior of *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* (Diptera: Culicidae). Biologia, 2023;
- **5.** Laporta GZ, Potter AM, Oliveira JFA, Bourke BP, Pecor DB, Linton YM. Global distribution of *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* in a Climate Change Scenario of Regional Rivalry. Insects, 2023; 14(1):49.
- **6.** Weaver SC, Reisen WK. Present and future arboviral threats. Antiviral Research, 2010; 85(2):328–45.
- **7.** Demirci B, Bedir H, Öztürk M, Akiner MM. Status of the invasive mosquito species *Aedes aegypti* (L., 1762) and *Aedes albopictus* (Skuse, 1895) (Diptera: Culicidae) in Turkey. Turk J Entomol, 2021; 45(2):279–92.
- **8.** Deblauwe I, De Wolf K, De Witte J, Schneider A, Verlé I, Vanslembrouck A, et al. From a longdistance threat to the invasion front: a review of the invasive *Aedes* mosquito species in Belgium between 2007 and 2020. Parasites & Vectors, 2022; 15(1):206.
- **9.** Weeratunga P, Rodrigo C, Fernando SD, Rajapakse S. Control methods for *Aedes albopictus* and *Aedes aegypti*. Cochrane Infectious Diseases Group, editor. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 2017; 8.
- **10.** Lühken R, Brattig N, Becker N. Introduction of invasive mosquito species into Europe and prospects for arbovirus transmission and vector control in an era of globalization. Infectious Diseases of Poverty, 2023; 12(1):109.
- **11.** Beaty BJ, William C, Eisen L, Flores AE, García-Rejón JE, Loroño-Pino M, et al. The Intensifying Storm: Domestication of *Aedes aegypti*, Urbanization of Arboviruses, and Emerging Insecticide Resistance. In: Global Health Impacts of Vector-Borne Diseases: Workshop Summary, National Academies Press (US); 2016.

- **12.** Touray M, Bakirci S, Ulug D, Gulsen SH, Cimen H, Yavasoglu SI, et al. Arthropod vectors of disease agents: their role in public and veterinary health in Turkiye and their control measures. Acta Tropica, 2023;106893.
- **13.** Ochomo E, Rund SSC, Mthawanji RS, Antonio-Nkondjio C, Machani M, Samake S, et al. Mosquito control by abatement programmes in the United States: perspectives and lessons for countries in sub-Saharan Africa. Malar J, 2024; 23(1):8.
- **14.** Faraji A, Unlu I. The Eye of the Tiger, the Thrill of the Fight: Effective larval and adult control measures against the Asian Tiger Mosquito, *Aedes albopictus* (Diptera: Culicidae), in North America. J Med Entomol, 2016; 53(5):1029–47.
- **15.** Pathak VM, Verma VK, Rawat BS, Kaur B, Babu N, Sharma A, et al. Current status of pesticide effects on environment, human health and it's eco-friendly management as bioremediation: A comprehensive review. Front Microbiol, 2022;13:962619.
- **16.** Barathi S, Sabapathi N, Kandasamy S, Lee J. Present status of insecticide impacts and ecofriendly approaches for remediation-a review. Environmental Research, 2024; 240:117432.
- **17.** Regnault-Roger C, Vincent C, Arnason JT. Essential oils in insect control: low-risk products in a highstakes world. Annu Rev Entomol, 2012; 57:405–24.
- **18.** Boukroufa M, Boutekedjiret C, Petigny L, Rakotomanomana N, Chemat F. Bio-refinery of orange peels waste: A new concept based on integrated green and solvent free extraction processes using ultrasound and microwave techniques to obtain essential oil, polyphenols and pectin. Ultrasonics Sonochemistry, 2015; 24:72–9.
- **19.** Irsad, Shahid M, Haq E, Mohamed A, Rizvi PQ, Kolanthasamy E. Entomopathogen-based biopesticides: insights into unraveling their potential in insect pest management. Front Microbiol, 2023; 14.
- **20.** Dias CN, Moraes DFC. Essential oils and their compounds as *Aedes aegypti* L. (Diptera: Culicidae) larvicides: review. Parasitol Res, 2014; 113(2):565– 92.
- **21.** Spinozzi E, Maggi F, Bonacucina G, Pavela R, Boukouvala MC, Kavallieratos NG, et al. Apiaceae essential oils and their constituents as insecticides against mosquitoes—A review. Industrial Crops and Products, 2021; 171:113892.
- **22.** Kakaraparthi PS, Srinivas KVNS, Kumar JK, Kumar AN, Rajput DK, Sarma VUM. Variation in the essential oil content and composition of *Citronella* (Cymbopogon winterianus Jowitt.) in relation to time of harvest and weather conditions. Industrial Crops and Products. 2014; 61:240–8.
- **23.** Ravindra NS, Kulkarni RN. Essential oil yield and quality in rose-scented geranium: Variation among clones and plant parts. Scientia Horticulturae, 2015; 184:31–5.
- **24.** Kim MH, Han YS. Anti-oxidative and anti-diabetic effects of butanol faction from Yangha (*Zingiber mioga* ROSC). KFCS, 2018; 34(1):105–12.
- **25.** Chan E, Chan H, Wong S. *Zingiber mioga*: a perspective of its botany, uses, chemical constituents and health benefits. Food Research, 2023; 7(4):251–7.
- **26.** Bursalı F, Şahin Y, Aygün M, Sevincek R, Bıyık HH, Özgener H, et al. 1,2-Diboranes with strong donor substitutes: Synthesis, ovicidal and larvicidal effect on important vector species. Inorganic Chemistry Communications, 2024; 162:112268.
- **27.** Irshad M, Subhani MA, Ali S, Hussain A, Irshad M, Subhani MA, et al. Biological Importance of Essential Oils. In: Essential Oils - Oils of Nature. Intech Open; 2019.
- **28.** Manimaran A, Cruz MMJJ, Muthu C, Vincent S, Ignacimuthu S. Larvicidal and knockdown effects of some essential oils against *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say, *Aedes aegypti* (L.) and *Anopheles stephensi* (Liston). Advances in Bioscience and Biotechnology, 2012; 3(7):855–62.
- **29.** Sina I, Shukri M. Larvicidal activities of extract flower *Averrhoa Bilimbi* L. Larvicidal activities of extract flower *Averrhoa Bilimbi* L. Towards important species mosquito, *Anopheles barbirostris* (Diptera: Culicidae). Int J Zool Res, 2016; 12(1):25– 31.
- **30.** Sarma R, Adhikari K, Mahanta S, Khanikor B. Combinations of plant essential oil based terpene compounds as larvicidal and adulticidal agent against *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae). Sci Rep, 2019; 9(1):9471.
- **31.** Ebadollahi A, Ziaee M, Palla F. Essential oils extracted from different species of the lamiaceae plant family as prospective bioagents against several detrimental pests. Molecules, 2020; 25(7):1556.
- **32.** Cimen H. Mosquito oviposition deterring and larvicidal effect of cinnamaldehyde and eugenol. JAES, 2023; 8(3): 322–5.
- **33.** Omoniwa BP, Johnson TO, Acho MA, Nwonuma CO, Soji-Omoniwa O, Oluwafemi AG, et al. Larvicidal and adulticidal activities of essential oil of *Helianthus annuus* (L.) seed and molecular docking of its GCMS constituents against *Aedes aegypti* acetylcholinesterase. Informatics in Medicine Unlocked, 2024; 45:101446.
- **34.** Aljameeli M. Larvicidal effects of some essential oils against *Aedes aegypti* (L.), the vector of dengue fever in Saudi Arabia. Saudi J Biol Sci, 2023; 30(2):103552.
- **35.** Muturi EJ, Ramirez JL, Zilkowski B, Flor-Weiler LB, Rooney AP. Ovicidal and larvicidal effects of garlic and asafoetida essential oils against West Nile Virus vectors. J Insect Sci, 2018; 18(2): 43.
- **36.** Moungthipmalai T, Puwanard C, Aungtikun J, Sittichok S, Soonwera M. Ovicidal toxicity of plant essential oils and their major constituents against two mosquito vectors and their non-target aquatic predators. Sci Rep, 2023; 13(1): 2119.
- **37.** Hillary VE, Ceasar SA, Ignacimuthu S. Efficacy of plant products in controlling disease vector mosquitoes, a review. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata, 2024; 172(3):195–214.
- **38.** Govindarajan M. Evaluation of indigenous plant extracts against the malarial vector, *Anopheles stephensi* (Liston) (Diptera: Culicidae). Parasitol Res, 2011; 109(1):93–103.
- **39.** Panneerselvam C, Murugan K. Adulticidal, repellent, and ovicidal properties of indigenous plant extracts against the malarial vector, *Anopheles stephensi* (Diptera: Culicidae). Parasitol Res, 2013; 112(2):679–92.
- **40.** Kovendan K, Murugan K, Mahesh Kumar P, Thiyagarajan P, John William S. Ovicidal, repellent, adulticidal and field evaluations of plant extract against dengue, malaria and filarial vectors. Parasitol Res, 2013; 112(3):1205–19.
- **41.** Santoyo S, Cavero S, Jaime L, Ibanez E, Senorans FJ, Reglero, G. Chemical composition and antimicrobial activity of *Rosmarinus officinalis* L. essential oil obtained via supercritical fluid extraction. Journal of Food Protection, 2005; 68(4): 790-795.
- **42.** Bakshu LM, Venkata Raju RR. Chemical characterization of essential oil of *Rhynchosia beddomei*. Journal of Applied Biological Sciences, 2009; 3(1): 35-36.
- **43.** Pacioni G, Cerretani L, Procida G, Cichelli A. Composition of commercial truffle flavored oils with GC–MS analysis and discrimination with an electronic nose. Food Chemistry, 2014; 146: 30-35.
- **44.** Niccolai A, Bažec K, Rodolfi L, Biondi N, Zlatić E, Jamnik P, Tredici MR. Lactic acid fermentation of *Arthrospira platensis* (Spirulina) in a vegetal soybean drink for developing new functional lactose-free beverages. Front Microbiol, 2020; 11, 560684.
- **45.** Selvi EK, Usta A, Akıner MM. Larvicidal activity of some medicinal plants naturally growing in Turkey against *Aedes albopictus* (Diptera: Culicidae). JAES, 2019; 4(1): 53-59.
- **46.** Usta A, Güney İ, Öztürk M, Selvi EK, Akıner MM. Toxicological and behavioral potency of different plant extracts on *Aedes albopictus* (Diptera: Culicidae) and their qualitative phytochemical analysis. International Journal of Mosquito Research, 2020; 7(5): 12-18.