Effect of medical treatment on histological findings in rabbits with acute appendicitis

Gürcan Şimşek, M.D.,¹ Barış Sevinç, M.D.,² Yaşar Ünlü, M.D.,³ İsmail Hasırcı, M.D.,¹ Hüseyin Kurku, M.D.,⁴ Ömer Karahan, M.D.⁵

¹Department of General Surgery, Konya Training and Research Hospital, Konya-*Turkey* ²Department of General Surgery, Sarıkaya State Hospital, Yozgat-*Turkey* ³Department of Pathology, Konya Training and Research Hospital, Konya-*Turkey* ⁴Department of Biochemistry, Konya Training and Research Hospital, Konya-*Turkey* ⁵Department of General Surgery, Necmettin Erbakan University Meram Faculty of Medicine, Konya-*Turkey*

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Acute appendicitis (AA) is the most common reason for abdominal surgery in the world. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of medical treatment on histological findings in rabbits with AA.

METHODS: Twenty-one male New Zealand rabbits were divided into 3 groups: appendix ligation and medical treatment, appendix ligation and no treatment, and control group, which underwent only laparotomy.

RESULTS: In appendix ligation without treatment group, AA findings were much more severe.

CONCLUSION: Medical treatment reduced inflammation of AA.

Keywords: Acute appendicitis; antibiotic; medical treatment.

INTRODUCTION

Acute appendicitis (AA) is the most common abdominal surgical emergency all around the world. Lifetime risk of AA is about 7% to 8%. It is most commonly seen in second and third decades of life. Preferred treatment for AA is surgery.^[1]

There are, however, several reports about medical treatment for uncomplicated cases of AA such as acute cholecystitis and acute diverticulitis. In fact, medical treatment for AA is not so new. First successful medical treatment was performed during World War II.^[2] In 1959, Coldrey reported 474 cases of medical treatment of AA, as well as treatment failure and surgery in 48 cases.^[3]

Address for correspondence: Barış Sevinç, M.D. Sarıkaya Devlet Hastanesi, Genel Cerrahi Kliniği, Sarıkaya, 66650 Yozgat, Turkey Tel: +90 354 - 772 33 66 E-mail: drbarissevinc@gmail.com

Qucik Response Code



Ulus Travma Acil Cerrahi Derg 2016;22(6):516–520 doi: 10.5505/tjtes.2016.79825

Copyright 2016 TJTES In studies conducted regarding medical treatment of AA, patients have been included according to clinical findings and imaging results. Histological proof of AA was not possible. However, AA is a histopathological term, meaning polymorphonuclear leukocyte invasion at the muscular layer of appendix vermiformis.^[4]

Histological confirmation of AA is only possible in an experimental study. To our knowledge, there is no previous report of animal experiment regarding medical treatment of AA.

The aim of this study was to evaluate effect of medical treatment on histopathological findings in rabbits with AA.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted at Necmettin Erbakan University Meram Faculty of Medicine Experimental Medicine Application and Research Center after receiving approval of the ethical committee. Twenty-one male New Zealand rabbits (Oryctolagus cuniculus), weighing between 2050 and 2450 g, were divided into 3 groups:

Group 1: Appendix ligation and antibiotic treatment (n=7), Group 2: Appendix ligation, no treatment (n=7), and

Table I. Histopathological sco	pring of acute appendicitis
---------------------------------------	-----------------------------

	0	I	2	3
Inflammation	None	Acute inflammation	Phlegmonous inflammation	Gangrenous inflammation
Necrosis	None	Limited to mucosa	Less than half of the	Whole appendix wall
			appendix wall	
Residual follicle	None	Minimal	Significant	
Inflammation of surrounding fatty tissue	None	Mild	Moderate	Severe
Periappendicular abscess	None	Mild	Moderate	Severe
Organization and remodeling	None	Mild	Moderate	Severe
			Fibroblastic activity	Fibroblastic activity
			and presence of capillaries	and presence of capillaries

Group 3: No appendix ligation, just laparotomy and no treatment (n=7).

AA model described by Menteş et al. was used for the study. ^[5] All subjects were operated on under general anesthesia induced with ketamine hydrochloride (Ketalar; Pfizer, Inc., NY, NY, USA) and xylazine (Rompun; Bayer AG, Leverkusen, Germany). After shaving the abdomen, skin was disinfected with iodine solution. After midline laparotomy, appendix vermiformis was identified. Base of the appendix was dissected with careful preservation of mesentery and blood vessels (Fig. I). In Group 3, surgery ended at this stage. In Group I and Group 2, ligation with polyglactin sutures was performed to obstruct the appendix. Abdominal wall was closed primarily.

Standard daily diet was provided to subjects during follow-up. Daily ceftriaxone 50 mg/kg/d and lincomycin 2 mg/kg/d were administered intramuscularly to subjects in Group 1. Antibio-therapy was continued for 5 days.

At the end of the fifth day, all subjects underwent appendicectomy under general anesthesia. Blood samples were collected for complete blood count and measurement of C-reactive protein (CRP) levels. During this second operation, macro-



Figure 1. Normal appendix vermiformis, Clamp shows the area to be ligated.

scopic findings (perforation, fibrin plaques, abscess formation, etc.) were recorded. Appendicectomy material was collected for histopathological examination.

Histopathological examination was performed by a single, blinded pathologist. As there is no classification method for microscopic findings of AA, standard scoring system was used (Table I). Total AA score was calculated and groups were compared in terms of this score.

SPSS software version 20.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for statistical analysis. Independent samples t-test was used for comparison of the groups. Statistical significance level was accepted as 0.05.



Figure 2. Macroscopic view of acute appendicitis. Fibrin plaques and perforation are seen at the proximal part.

Table 2. Macroscopic findings of the subjects				
	Group I	Group 2	Group 3	
Perforation	0	4	0	
Abscess	2	3	0	
Generalized peritonitis	0	2	0	
Fibrin plaques	2	7	I	

RESULTS

In terms of leukocyte count and CRP levels, the groups were similar (p>0.05).

During the experiment, 2 subjects in Group 2 died. Postmortem examination revealed perforated appendicitis and generalized peritonitis in both subjects.

No perforation or generalized peritonitis was observed in Group I. However, in Group 2, there was perforation in 4 subjects and generalized peritonitis in 2 (Fig. 2). Localized abscess formation was detected in 2 subjects in Group I and 3 subjects in Group 2. There was no perforation, abscess, or peritonitis found in Group 3 (Table 2).

Acute inflammation was seen in all members of Group I and Group 2 (Figs. 3 and 4). Histological findings of all subjects are provided in Table 3.

In Group 3, histological score was zero; therefore, this group was excluded from statistical analysis. Histological findings in Group 2 were much more severe than those of Group I (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

Primary factor in pathophysiology of AA is obstruction of

Figure 3. Microscopic view of reactive fibroblastic activity (black arrow) and reactive lymphoid follicle (white arrow). Hematoxylin and eosin stain; x100.

appendiceal lumen. Most of the time, obstruction is caused by feces or lymphoid hyperplasia. However, parasites, foreign bodies, and occasionally, cecal or appendiceal tumors can also lead to obstruction.^[4] In this experiment, AA was induced with ligation of the base of appendix vermiformis. AA was successfully developed in all subjects in Groups I and 2.

There are several reports about medical treatment of AA. The first randomized clinical trial regarding medical treatment of AA was conducted by Eriksson et al. in 1995.^[6] They reported that medical treatment was as effective as surgery; however, recurrence was major problem. In a randomized prospective trial conducted by Turhan et al., success rate of medical treatment was reported as 82.2%.[7] In meta-analysis performed by Liu et al., I-year recurrence rate of AA treated medically was reported as 14.2%.[8] Current experiment showed medical treatment causes suppressed inflammatory reaction; however, as obstruction continues, total cure seems to be impossible. Medical treatment can lead to partial relief in luminal obstruction and clinical findings. Since re-obstruction of the lumen is main cause of recurrence, medical treatment may be especially useful in cases with lymphoid hyperplasia.

In surgical series about AA, negative appendicectomy rate ranges between 9% and 27%.^[9] Even routine use of imaging studies cannot eliminate negative appendicectomies. In a study with routine use of computed tomography, negative appendicectomy rate was reported as 6%.^[10] In studies regarding medical treatment of AA, patients were included according to clinical findings and imaging results. According to data from the literature, about 10% of patients with medically treated AA are misdiagnosed. In a report comparing surgery and medical treatment, it was noted that false positive diagnosis rate can be determined for surgery cases; however, the same cannot be said for medical treatment. This makes



Figure 4. Microscopic view of necrosis zone in an untreated subject. Hematoxylin and eosin stain; x100.

Group	Subject	Inflammation	Necrosis	Inflammation of surrounding fatty tissue	Periappendicular absces	Organization and remodeling	Total score
Acute appendicitis +							
Medical treatment	1.1	2	0	I.	0	L	4
	1.2	2	I	2	0	2	7
	1.3	2	I	I.	0	2	6
	1.4	2	I.	I	0	2	6
	1.5	2	0	I	0	I	4
	1.6	2	2	2	L	I	8
	1.7	I	0	I.	0	2	4
Acute appendicitis +							
no treatment	2.1	2	2	2	0	I	7
	2.2	3	3	3	3	0	12
	2.3	3	2	3	3	0	П
	2.4	3	2	2	2	0	9
	2.5	2	I	2	0	I	6
	2.6	2	I	2	I.	I	7
	2.7	2	I	2	I.	I	7
No intervention	3.1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	3.2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	3.3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	3.4	0	0	0	0	0	0
	3.5	0	0	0	0	0	0
	3.6	0	0	0	0	0	0
	3.7	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 3.	Histopathological	evaluation of appendicectomy material	
----------	-------------------	---------------------------------------	--

	n	Mean±SD	P*
Total histopathological score			
Group 2	7	8.4286±2.29907	0.02
Group I	7	5.5714±1.61835	

*Independent samples t-test. SD: Standard deviation.

_

analysis of effectiveness of medical treatment difficult in comparative trials.

In the current study, no perforation or generalized peritonitis was found in medically treated subjects. This indicates medical treatment had beneficial effect of decreasing instances of perforation and septic complications of AA.

The main limitation of the current study is constant luminal obstruction. An experimental model with AA due to lym-

phoid hyperplasia could provide additional information. However, as the first experimental study regarding medical treatment of AA, the results of this study showing beneficial effect on histological findings are important.

Conflict of interest: None declared.

REFERENCES

- Stewart B, Khanduri P, McCord C, Ohene-Yeboah M, Uranues S, Vega Rivera F, et al. Global disease burden of conditions requiring emergency surgery. Br J Surg 2014;101:9–22. Crossref
- Turhan AN, Kapan S. Akut apandisit. In: Ertekin C, Güloğlu R, Taviloğlu K (ed.) Nobel Tıp Kitabevi. Acil Cerrahi 2009. p. 301–16.
- 3. Coldrey E. Five years of conservative treatment of acute appendicitis. J Int coll Surg 1959;32:225–61.
- Crawford J. (Çeviren: Çevikbaş U, Güllüoğlu M). Ağız boşluğu ve gastrointestinal sistem. In: Kumar V, Cotran RS, Robbins SL (Ed.) Çeviri Ed: Çevikbaş U. Basic pathology. 6th ed. Nobel Tıp Kitabevleri; 2000. p. 514.
- Menteş Ö, İde T, Akdağ E, Balkan M, Öngörü Ö, Kozak O ve ark. Akut apandisit: Apendiksin bağlanması (Tavşanlarda deneysel model). Gülhane Tip Dergisi 2008;50:27–9.
- 6. Eriksson S, Granström L. Randomized controlled trial of appendi-

cectomy versus antibiotic therapy for acute appendicitis. Br J Surg 1995;82:166–9. Crossref

- Turhan AN, Kapan S, Kütükçü E, Yiğitbaş H, Aygün E. Akut apandisitte nonoperatif takip ve tedavi. Bakırköy Tıp Dergisi 2006;2:134–6.
- Liu K, Fogg L. Use of antibiotics alone for treatment of uncomplicated acute appendicitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Surgery 2011;150:673–83. Crossref
- Bhangu A, Søreide K, Di Saverio S, Assarsson JH, Drake FT. Acute appendicitis: modern understanding of pathogenesis, diagnosis, and management. Lancet 2015;386:1278–87. Crossref
- SCOAP Collaborative, Cuschieri J, Florence M, Flum DR, Jurkovich GJ, Lin P, Steele SR, et al. Negative appendectomy and imaging accuracy in the Washington State Surgical Care and Outcomes Assessment Program. Ann Surg 2008;248:557–63.

DENEYSEL ÇALIŞMA - ÖZET

Tavşanlarda oluşturulan akut apandisit modelinde medikal tedavinin histopatolojik bulgular üzerindeki etkisi

Dr. Gürcan Şimşek,¹ Dr. Barış Sevinç,² Dr. Yaşar Ünlü,³ Dr. İsmail Hasırcı,¹ Dr. Hüseyin Kurku,⁴ Dr. Ömer Karahan⁵

¹Konya Eğitim ve Araştırma Hastanesi, Genel Cerrahi Kliniği, Konya ²Sarıkaya Devlet Hastanesi, Genel Cerrahi Kliniği, Yozgat

³Konya Eğitim ve Araştırma Hastanesi, Patoloji Kliniği, Konya

⁴Konya Eğitim ve Araştırma Hastanesi, Biyokimya Laboratuvarı, Konya

⁵Necmettin Erbakan Üniversitesi Meram Tıp Fakültesi, Genel Cerrahi Anabilim Dalı, Konya

AMAÇ: Akut apandisit dünyada genel cerrahların karşılaştığı en sık akut karın nedenidir. Bu çalışmada, akut apandisit oluşturulan tavşanlarda antibiyotik tedavisinin akut apandisitte ortaya çıkan histopatolojik bulgular üzerine etkisinin değerlendirilmesi amaçlandı.

GEREÇ VE YÖNTEM: Çalışma için 21 adet Yeni Zelenda cinsi (Oryctogaluscuniculus), erkek ve ağırlıkları 2050–2450 gram arasında değişen tavşan kullanıldı. Denekler üç gruba ayrıldı: Grup 1: Apendiks ligasyonu yapılan ve antibiyotik tedavisi verilen grup (n=7), Grup 2: Apendiks ligasyonu yapılan ama antibiyotik tedavisi verilmeyen grup (n=7), Grup 3: Apendiks ligasyonu yapılmayan ve antibiyotik tedavisi verilmeyen grup (sham grubu) (n=7).

BULGULAR: Grup 1 ve Grup 2 arasında yapılan istatistiksel analiz sonucunda Grup 2'de ortaya çıkan histopatolojik değişiklikler Grup 1'den anlamlı olarak daha şiddetliydi.

TARTIŞMA: Antibiyotik tedavisi akut apandisitte histolojik olarak enflamasyonun şiddetini azaltmaktadır. Anahtar sözcükler: Antibiyotik tedavisi; apandisit; medikal tedavi.

Ulus Travma Acil Cerrahi Derg 2016;22(6):516–520 doi: 10.5505/tjtes.2016.79825