Stroke complicates 2% of all cardiac surgery procedures and remains an important cause of mortality and permanent neurologic disability.^[9] There are many reasons for stroke during cardiac operations; such as low cardiac output states, arrhythmias, embolization during aortic dissection and cannulation, extracorporeal circulation-oriented, metabolic, and disease of the carotid artery bifurcation. Surveys showed that the presence of coronary and carotid disease in the vast majority of patients is asymptomatic unilateral disease.^[10] One meta-analysis showed that the risk of stroke was the lowest in patients with unilateral asymptomatic carotid stenosis (3%) and was the highest in neurologically asymptomatic patients with bilateral carotid disease (6%). The risks for asymptomatic unilateral disease did not increase with stenosis severity. Another interesting finding was the prevalence of stroke in the hemisphere ipsilateral to a nonoperated asymptomatic severe stenosis in patients with severe bilateral carotid disease undergoing a synchronous unilateral CEA + cardiac procedure was relatively low (4%). From this meta-analysis, we can deduce that no other procedure is required for the asymptomatic unilateral carotid stenosis in patients who are going to have a cardiac operation. A small minority of patients will have bilateral disease and prophylactic CEA/CAS might be considered in these patients but keeping in mind that only 1-2% of such patients will benefit from prophylaxis.^[11]

Kamil Hasan Tüzün, M.D.

Deparment of Cardiovascular Surgery, Cerrahpaşa Faculty of Medicine, İstanbul

e-mail: hasantuzun@superonline.com

doi: 10.5543/tkda.2014.97493 © 2014 Turkish Society of Cardiology



Authors' reply

Dear Editor,

Carotid artery stenosis is the most common cause of ischemic stroke and the mortality and morbidity rate is high. Although the treatment of carotid artery stenosis have begun with carotid endarterectomy (CEA), en*Conflict-of-interest issues regarding the authorship or article: None declared*

References

- Arslan S, Köklü E, Yüksel IÖ, Çağırcı G, Bayar N, Yılmaz A, et al. Two-year results of carotid artery stenting. Turk Kardiyol Dern Ars 2014;42:429-34. CrossRef
- Paraskevas KI, Mikhailidis DP, Liapis CD, Veith FJ. Critique of the Carotid Revascularization Endarterectomy versus Stenting Trial (CREST): flaws in CREST and its interpretation. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 2013;45:539-45. CrossRef
- Abbott AL. Medical (nonsurgical) intervention alone is now best for prevention of stroke associated with asymptomatic severe carotid stenosis: results of a systematic review and analysis. Stroke 2009;40:e573-83. CrossRef
- de Borst GJ, Ricco JB. CREST: the twilight zone between (mis)interpretation and deception. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 2013;45:546-7. CrossRef
- 5. Wesley M. What have we learned and where do we go from here. Vasc News November 2012;56:6.
- Bonati LH, Fraedrich G; Carotid Stenting Trialists' Collaboration. Age modifies the relative risk of stenting versus endarterectomy for symptomatic carotid stenosis-a pooled analysis of EVA-3S, SPACE and ICSS. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 2011;41:153-8. CrossRef
- Carotid Stenting Guidelines Committee: an Inter-collegiate Committee of the RACP (ANZAN, CSANZ), RACS (ANZS-VS) and RANZCR. Guidelines for patient selection and performance of carotid artery stenting. Intern Med J 2011;41:344-7.
- Kakisis JD, Avgerinos ED, Antonopoulos CN, Giannakopoulos TG, Moulakakis K, Liapis CD. The European Society for Vascular Surgery guidelines for carotid intervention: an updated independent assessment and literature review. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 2012;44:238-43. CrossRef
- Naylor AR, Mehta Z, Rothwell PM, Bell PR. Carotid artery disease and stroke during coronary artery bypass: a critical review of the literature. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 2002;23:283-94.
- Timaran CH, Rosero EB, Smith ST, Valentine RJ, Modrall JG, Clagett GP. Trends and outcomes of concurrent carotid revascularization and coronary bypass. J Vasc Surg 2008;48:355-361.
- Naylor AR, Bown MJ. Stroke after cardiac surgery and its association with asymptomatic carotid disease: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 2011;41:607-24. CrossRef

dovascular carotid artery stenting (CAS) has become a favorable alternative treatment modality to CEA, in consequence of increased experience and technological advancement and as a result of guidance of randomized trials. During this period, there have been improvements in CEA techniques and medical treatment.

Carotid artery stenosis should be approached with a

multidisciplinary attitude. Patients with carotid artery disease are treated and followed up by a council including two physicians from every department of neurology, radiology, cardiology and cardiovascular surgery in our hospital. Primary physician evaluating and following up the patients with ischemic stroke or patients with high risk of stroke is the neurologist. Patients with carotid artery stenosis are primarily evaluated by a neurologist and assessed with carotid ultrasonography, computed tomography angiography, and when needed, with conventional carotid angiography by a radiologist. Moreover, distribution of extra and intracranial arteries, presence of communicating arteries are also evaluated and patients are assessed in detail by the council. Physicians from other departments also participate in the council in case of additional comorbidities. Treatment modality is chosen according to a consensus reached by the council. After being fully informed, the opinion of the patient and his/her relatives could also direct the choice of treatment modality.

There are multiple factors affecting the choice of treatment modalities. Patient based approach may also have an additional impact on general principles of the treatment. CEA is the preferred modality when the lesion is longer than 2 cm and when it is a circular calcified lesion, ulcered and/ or thrombosed, and when it is a severe tortious lesion. On the other hand, CAS is the preferred modality when carotid artery stenosis is bilateral and/or distally located or in patients with total occlusion of contralateral carotid artery or insufficient anterior or posterior communicating arteries as well as in patients with history of radiotherapy to neck.

CAS is not opted if there are comorbidities such as significant renal disease, history of stent thrombosis, resistance to acetyl salicylic acid and clopidogrel, tendency of thrombosis in rheumatologic tests or any situation unfavorable for long term dual antiplatelet therapy, whereas CEA is not preferred when surgery constitutes a high risk due to additional diseases. Medical treatment is favored if life expectancy is short or follow up of patient is not feasible.

Intervention to carotid artery stenosis is chosen according to the present data of trials and experience of centers. There are important inadequacies in trials performed before Stenting versus Endarterectomy for Treatment of Carotid-Artery Stenosis (CREST). Embolus protection device (EPD) was not used and only 26% of the patients were implanted stents in Carotid and Vertebral Artery Transluminal Angioplasty (CA-VATAS).^[1] EPD use in Stent- Protected Angioplasty versus Carotid Endarterectomy (SPACE) trial is very low.^[2] Physicians with adequate experience in endo-vascular intervention is 39% in Endarterectomy versus Angioplasty in Patients with Symptomatic Severe Carotid Stenosis (EVA-3S).^[3] EPC was not obligatory and only symptomatic patients were included in International Carotid Stenting Study (ICSS).^[4] All of these difficulties preclude a certain comparison of CAS and CEA in regard to carotid artery disease.

The most important study guiding the treatment of carotid artery stenosis in guidelines is the CREST trial. Any significant difference was not ascertained in symptomatic or asymptomatic male and female patients among CAS and CEA results with respect to primary end point of death, myocardial infarction and stroke even when the subgroup analysis of CREST was also assessed.^[5] Pre-procedural stroke was more frequent in female patients and/or in symptomatic patients who were performed CAS, compared to CEA. CEA is recommended in elderly patients (>70) due to the fact that vascular tortuosity and intense calcification are more prevalent in the elderly.^[5]

The experience of operator is extremely important with respect to the success of carotid artery revascularization. The physicians performing CAS were determined after the pre-selection in the CREST trial. The most successful operators were cardiologists and neuroradiologists in terms of success of procedure and complication rates according to CREST.^[6] We consider our institution experienced according to annual count of procedure and complication rates.

The patients undergoing coronary bypass surgery may have carotid artery disease, which is usually unilateral. Most of these patients are not intervened regarding carotid artery disease.^[7] Carotid artery revascularization is recommended before coronary bypass surgery in patients with high pre-procedural stroke risk.^[8] Twenty five percent of our patients were asymptomatic and 80% (24 patients) of these patients was performed CAS before coronary bypass surgery. These patients were also assessed by the council and CAS was performed with the consent of cardiovascular surgeons. CEA is recommended with class 1A indication, whereas CAS is recommended with class 1B indication in symptomatic patients with carotid artery stenosis according to 2011 ASA/ACCF/AHA guidelines. Revascularization is recommended with class 1C indication in asymptomatic patients taking account of life expectancy of patient, other individual risk factors and preference of patient according to same guideline.^[9]

As a conclusion, we consider that carotid artery revascularization should be performed in experienced centers under the decision of a council consisting neurology, radiology, cardiology and cardiovascular surgery departments in the light of contemporary guidelines; however, evaluation of individual factors should also be taken into account.

Şakir Arslan, M.D., Erkan Köklü, M.D., İsa Öner Yüksel, M.D., Göksel Çağırcı, M.D., Nermin Bayar, M.D., Akar Yılmaz, M.D., Görkem Kuş, M.D., Nurgül Yılmaz, M.D.,[#] Yasemin Biçer Gömceli, M.D.,[#] Bekir Erol, M.D.^{*}

Department of Cardiology, Antalya Training and Research Hospital, Antalya;

*Department of Neurology, Antalya Training and Research Hospital, Antalya; *Department of Radiology, Antalya Training and Research Hospital, Antalya

e-mail: drerkankoklu@gmail.com

Conflict-of-interest issues regarding the authorship or article: None declared

References

- Endovascular versus surgical treatment in patients with carotid stenosis in the Carotid and Vertebral Artery Transluminal Angioplasty Study (CAVATAS): a randomised trial. Lancet 2001;357:1729-37. CrossRef
- SPACE Collaborative Group, Ringleb PA, Allenberg J, Brückmann H, Eckstein HH, Fraedrich G, Hartmann M, et al. 30 day results from the SPACE trial of stent-protected angio-

plasty versus carotid endarterectomy in symptomatic patients: a randomised non-inferiority trial. Lancet 2006;368:1239-47.

- Mas JL, Trinquart L, Leys D, Albucher JF, Rousseau H, Viguier A, et al. Endarterectomy Versus Angioplasty in Patients with Symptomatic Severe Carotid Stenosis (EVA-3S) trial: results up to 4 years from a randomised, multicentre trial. Lancet Neurol 2008;7:885-92. CrossRef
- 4. International Carotid Stenting Study investigators1, Ederle J, Dobson J, Featherstone RL, Bonati LH, van der Worp HB, de Borst GJ, et al. Carotid artery stenting compared with endarterectomy in patients with symptomatic carotid stenosis (International Carotid Stenting Study): an interim analysis of a randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2010;375:985-97.
- Brott TG, Hobson RW 2nd, Howard G, Roubin GS, Clark WM, Brooks W, et al. Stenting versus endarterectomy for treatment of carotid-artery stenosis. N Engl J Med 2010;363:11-23.
- Hopkins LN, Roubin GS, Chakhtoura EY, Gray WA, Ferguson RD, Katzen BT, et al. The Carotid Revascularization Endarterectomy versus Stenting Trial: credentialing of interventionalists and final results of lead-in phase. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis 2010;19:153-62. CrossRef
- Timaran CH, Rosero EB, Smith ST, Valentine RJ, Modrall JG, Clagett GP. Trends and outcomes of concurrent carotid revascularization and coronary bypass. J Vasc Surg 2008;48:355-361. CrossRef
- Naylor AR, Bown MJ. Stroke after cardiac surgery and its association with asymptomatic carotid disease: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 2011;41:607-24. CrossRef
- 9. Brott TG, Halperin JL, Abbara S, Bacharach JM, Barr JD, Bush RL, et al. 2011 ASA/ACCF/AHA/AANN/AANS/ ACR/ASNR/CNS/SAIP/SCAI/SIR/SNIS/SVM/SVS guideline on the management of patients with extracranial carotid and vertebral artery disease: executive summary: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines, and the American Stroke Association, American Association of Neuroscience Nurses, American Association of Neurological Surgeons, American College of Radiology, American Society of Neuroradiology, Congress of Neurological Surgeons, Society of Atherosclerosis Imaging and Prevention, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society of Interventional Radiology, Society of NeuroInterventional Surgery, Society for Vascular Medicine, and Society for Vascular Surgery. J Am Coll Cardiol 2011;57:1002-44. CrossRef