An *in vitro* study on the cytotoxicity and genotoxicity of silver sulphide quantum dots coated with meso-2,3-dimercaptosuccinic acid

Mezo-2,3-dimerkaptosüksinik asitle kaplanmış gümüş sülfit kuantum noktalarının sitotoksisitesi ve genotoksisitesi üzerine bir in vitro çalışma

Short Title: DMSA/Ag2S QDs lead to cell death via apoptotic pathways at very high doses.

Abstract

ÖΖ

Objectives:Silver sulfide (Ag₂S) quantum dots (QDs) are highly promising nanomaterials in bioimaging system due to their great activities on for both imaging and drug/gene delivery. There are no enough study on the toxicity of Ag₂S QDs coated with meso-2,3-dimercaptosuccinic acid (DMSA). In this study, we aimed to determine the cytotoxicity of Ag₂S QDs coated with DMSA in Chinese hamster lung fibroblast (V79) cells over a wide range of concentrations (5-2000 µg/mL).

Materials and Methods: The cell viability was determined by 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) and neutral red uptake (NRU) assays. The genotoxic and apoptotic effects of DMSA/Ag₂S QDs were also assessed by comet assay and real time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) technique, respectively.

Results: The cell viability were found to be $54.0\pm4.8\%$ and $65.7\pm4.1\%$ at the highest dose (2000 µg/mL) of Ag₂S QDs using MTT and NRU assays, respectively. Although the cell viability decreased above 400 µg/mL (MTT assay) and 800 µg/mL (NRU assay), it was observed that DNA damage was not induced by DMSA/Ag₂S QDs at the studied concentrations. The mRNA expression levels of *p53*, *caspase-3*, *caspase-9*, *bax*, *Bcl-2*, and *survivin* genes were altered in the cells exposed to 500 and 1000 µg/mL of DMSA/Ag₂S QDs.

Conclusion: It appears that the cytotoxic effects of DMSA/Ag₂S QDs may occur at the high doses through the apoptotic pathways. However DMSA/Ag₂S QDs appear to be biocompatible at low doses, which make them well-suited for cell labeling applications.

Keywords: meso-2,3-dimercaptosuccinic acid coated silver sulfide quantum dots, genotoxicity, apoptosis

Amaç: Gümüş sülfür (Ag₂S) kuantum noktaları (QDs), hem görüntüleme hem de ilaç/gen hedefleme için büyük aktiviteleri nedeniyle biyo-görüntüleme sisteminde oldukça gelecek vaad eden nanomalzemelerdir. Mezo-2,3-dimerkaptosüksinik asit (DMSA) ile kaplanmış Ag2S QD'lerin toksisitesi hakkında yeterli çalışma yoktur. Bu çalışmada Çin hamster akciğer fibroblast (V79) hücrelerinde DMSA ile kaplanmış Ag2S QD'lerin geniş bir konsantrasyon aralığında (5-2000 µg/mL) sitotoksisitesini belirlemeyi amaçladık.

Gereç ve Yöntemler: Hücre canlılığı 3-(4,5-dimetiltiyazol-2-il)-2,5-difeniltetrazolium bromid (MTT) ve nötral kırmız alım (NRU) deneyleri ile belirlendi. DMSA / Ag2S QD'lerin genotoksik ve apoptotik etkileri sırasıyla comet analizi ve gerçek zamanlı polimeraz zincir reaksiyonu (RT-PCR) tekniği ile değerlendirildi.

Bulgular: Ag2S QD'lerin en yüksek dozlarında hücre canlılığı MTT ve NRU deneylerinde sırasıyla 54.0±4.8% ve 65.7±4.1% olarak bulundu. Ancak hücre canlılığı 400 µg/mL (MTT deneyi) ve 800 µg/mL (NRU deney) üzerinde azalmıştır. İncelenen konsantrasyonlarda DNA hasarının DMSA/Ag2S QD'ler tarafından indüklenmediği belirlenmiştir. P53, kaspaz-3, kaspaz-9, bax, Bcl-2 ve survivin genlerinin mRNA eksprsyon düzeyleri 500 ve 1000 ug /mL DMSA / Ag2S QD'lere maruz kalan hücrelerde değişmiştir.

Sonuç: DMSA / Ag2S QD'lerin yüksek dozlarda sitotoksik etkilerinin apoptotik yollarla ortaya çıkabileceği görülmektedir. Bununla birlikte, DMSA / Ag2S QD'ler, düşük dozlarda biyolojik olarak uyumlu görünmektedir, bu da onları hücre görüntüleme uygulamaları için uygun kılmaktadır.

Keywords: mezo-2,3-dimerkaptosüksinik asit kaplı gümüş sülfür kuantum noktaları, genotoksisite apoptoz

INTRODUCTION

The number of commercial products containing nanoparticles is rapidly increasing and nanoparticles are already widely distributed in air, cosmetics, medicines and even food. As one of the leading nanomaterials, engineered nanoparticles have currently gained considerable research attention due to their various applications such as drug and gene delivery, biosensors and diagnostic tools. The use of functional nanomaterials in biology and biomedicine has been extensively explored, and it has become one of the fastest moving and exciting research directions. ^{1,2}

A key issue in evaluating the utility of these materials is assessing their potential toxicity, which may result from either their inherent chemical composition (e.g., heavy metals) or their nanoscale properties (e.g., inhalation of particulate carbon nanotubes). ^{1,2} To date, a variety of nanomaterials such as carbon nanotubes, silicon nanowires, gold/silver nanoparticles, and quantum dots (QDs), have been studied and used in a wide range of biological applications.³⁻⁶ Nanoparticles have unique features such as high surface-to-volume ratios, surface curvatures, and surface high reactivities. They can also be produced with different sizes, chemical compositions, shapes and surface charges, which affect their passage across the cell membranes, biodistribution, and toxicity.⁷⁻⁹ Recently, the use of nanomaterials has also attracted considerable interest in biomedical fields.¹⁰

QDs are nanometer-scale semiconductor crystals and are defined as particles with physical dimensions smaller than the exciton Bohr radius. QDs, which are composed of group II to VI or III to V elements, are often described as "artificial atoms". They exhibit discrete energy levels, and their band gaps can be precisely modulated by varying their size.^{11, 12} In 2002, *Applied Spectroscopy* published its first review on QDs. "Quantum Dots: A Primer," by Murphy and Coffer.¹³ The applications of luminescent nanocrystals have evolved tremendously over the last decade, particularly in bioimaging and bioanalysis. Since the first demonstration of QDs for biological imaging in 1998,^{14, 15} thousands of research articles on QDs have been published. Researchers have exploited the brightness, photostability, size-dependent optoelectronic properties, and superior multiplexing capabilities of QDs for a myriad of applications.¹⁶⁻²¹ Some of prominent applications include *in vitro* diagnostics, energy transfer-based sensing, cellular and *in vivo* imaging, and drug delivery and theranostics.^{18, 22, 23} In parallel with these advances in bioimaging and bioanalysis, QDs have also evolved to provide greater flexibility and capability.²⁴

QDs are usually synthesized using group II–VI materials, for example, cadmium telluride (CdTe) or cadmium selenide (CdSe).^{25, 26} Structurally, QDs are

consisted of a metalloid crystalline core and a "cap" or "shell" that shields the core and renders the QD bioavailable. QD cores can be fabricated by using different materials with different band gaps for luminescence in the visible or near-infrared region (NIR). Cd or Zn chalcogenides such as CdS, CdSe, CdTe, and ZnS are examples of group II–VI series of QDs^{27, 28} with luminescence in the visible range; indium phosphate and indium arsenate are examples of group III–V series QDs with emission in the red to NIR ^{29, 30}

A major limitation with respect to the clinical use of QDs is their potential toxicity due to their chemical composition and nanoscale features.²⁹ The most popular QDs for biological applications are still based on CdSe core materials, which offer high quality and control over the spectroscopic properties of the nanocrystal. Despite several demonstrations of relatively nontoxic compositions being delivered to cells, concerns remain regarding the cytotoxicity of released cadmium ions and the associated oxidative stress still remain unsolved.³¹⁻³⁶

Within the last decade, tremendous efforts have been devted to developing Cd-free QDs. Silver sulfide (Ag₂S) QDs emerged recently as new generation QDs satisfying both at these criteria.^{37, 38} Hocaoglu et al.³⁸ reported meso-2,3-dimercaptosuccinic acid (DMSA)-coated Ag₂S QDs as one of the most strongly luminescent, anionic, NI-emitting QDs. These particles were significantly internalized by HeLa cells and provided strong intracellular optical signals, suppressing autofluorescence. No reduction in the viability of HeLa cells and only 20% reduction in NIH/3T3 cells at concentrations up to 840 µg/mL was reported, which is quite unusual for a non-pegylated QD. QDs were found quite hemocompatible, as well. This composition is of special interest with respect to numerous applications since surface carboxylic acids can be conjugated with target ligands or drugs, producing theranostic nanoparticles.

In the present study, we performed a detailed toxicity analysis to investigate the potential cytoxicity, genotoxicity, and apoptosis induced by DMSA/Ag₂S QDs in Chinese hamster lung fibroblast (V79) cells. To have a relatively thorough toxicity analysis of DMSA/Ag₂S NIR QDs, MTT and NRU assays were performed to evaluate the potential cytotoxicity; comet assay was performed to assess the potential genotoxicity; the real time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) technique was used to evaluate the regulation of mRNA expression of tumor suppressor gene (*p53*), apoptotic genes (*caspase 3*, *caspase 9*, and *bax*) and anti-apoptotic genes (*Bcl-2* and *survivin*). The data presented here is the first data that gives a cytotoxic, genotoxic and apoptotic effects of DMSA/Ag2S QDs *in vitro*. Since there is no enough study on their toxicity, this study provides remarkable information for human health.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals

The chemicals were purchased from the following suppliers: hydrogen peroxide (35%) (H₂O₂) from Merck Chemicals (Darmstadt, Germany), 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT), acetic acid, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), DMSA, *Dublecco's* modified eagle's medium (DMEM), ethanol, ethidium bromide (EtBr), fetal bovine serum (FBS), low melting point agarose (LMA), L-glutamin, neutral red (NR), sodium chloride (NaCl), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), N-lauroyl sarcosinate, normal melting point agarose (NMA), Silver nitrate (AgNO₃), trypsinEDTA, triton X-100, penicillin-streptomycin, phosphate buffered saline (PBS), from Sigma-Aldrich Chemicals (St. Louis, MO, USA). Sodium sulfide (Na₂S) was purchased from Alfa-Aesar (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Karlsruhe, Germany). Milli-Q water (18.2 MOhm) was used as the reaction medium.

Preparation and Characterization of DMSA/Ag₂S NIR QDs

DMSA/Ag₂S NIR QDs were prepared in a one-step reaction. A detailed description and characterization were reported previously by Hocaoglu et al.³⁸ Briefly, 42.5 mg of AgNO3 (0.25 mmol) was dissolved in 75 mL of deoxygenated deionized water. 113.89 mg of DMSA (0.625 mmol) was dissolved and deoxygenated in 25ml of deionized water at pH 7.5 and added to the reaction mixture. The pH was adjusted to 7.5 by using NaOH and CH3COOH solutions (2 M). Reaction mixture was stirred at 70 °C for 4 h. The prepared colloidal DMSA/Ag2S QDs were washed with deionazed water using Amicon-Ultra centrifugal filters (3000 Da cut off) and stored in dark at 4 °C. In order to calculate the QDs concentration, few ml of the colloidal solution was dried in freeze-drier. The concentration of the QDs solution was determined as 4.6 mg/mL. Absorbance spectrum of QDs was taken in a Shimadzu 3101 PC UV-vis-NIR spectrometer in the 300-1000 nm range (Figure 1a). Photoluminescence spectrum was obtained described in detail previously by Hocaoglu et al. ³⁸ Samples were excited with a DPSS laser operating at 532 nm and emission was recorded by an amplified silicon detector with a femtowatt sensitivity in the range of 400-1100 nm with a lock-in amplifier. QDs have an emission maxima at 790 nm with about 129 nm full-width at half maximum (Figure S1b). Malvern zetasizer nano ZS was used for the measurement of hydrodynamic size (2.9 nm) of aqueous QDs and zeta potential of aqueous QDs as -30mV. Hydrodynamic size was measured by dynamic light scattering (DLS). No agglomeration in cell culture medium was observed.



Figure 1 (a) Absorbance spectra, (b) emission spectra of colloidal DMSA/Ag₂S QDs.

Cell culture

V79 cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC; Rockville, MD, USA). The cells were grown in DMEM medium supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FBS, 1% penicillin-streptomycin solution (10000 units of penicillin and 10 mg of streptomycin in 0.9 % NaCL), and 2 mM L-glutamin at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂. ³⁹ The culture medium was changed every 3 to 4 days. The passage numbers used in our study were between 6 and 10.

Determination of cytotoxicity by MTT assay

MTT assay by the method of Mosmann ⁴⁰ with the modifications of Hansen et al.⁴¹ and Kuźma et al.⁴² was carried out. The cells were disaggregated with trypsin/EDTA and then resuspended in the medium. The suspended cells (a total of 10⁵ cells/well) were plated in 96 well tissue-culture plates. The experiment was performed for 12 h, 24 h, and 48 h before and there were no time differences (data not shown). To get a dose range for the further experiments, 24 h incubation was selected. After the incubation of 24 h, the cells were exposed to different concentrations of DMSA/Ag₂S QDs (5, 10, 25, 50, 100, 200, 400, 200, 800, 1000, 2000 µg/mL) in the medium for 24 h. Then,, the medium was removed and MTT solution (5 mg/mL of stock in PBS) was added (10 µL/well in 100 µL of cell suspension). After the incubation of the cells for an additional 4 h with MTT dye, the dye was carefully taken out and 100 µL of DMSO was added to each well. The absorbance of the plate was measured in a microplate

reader at 570 nm. The experiment was repeated three times. Results were expressed as the mean percentage of cell growth. IC_{50} values represent the concentrations that reduced the mean absorbance of 50% of those in the untreated cells.

Determination of cytotoxicity by NRU assay

Determination of the cytotoxicity of DMSA/Ag₂S QDs using NRU assay was performed according to the protocols described by Virgilio et al.⁴³ and Saquib et al.⁴⁴ V79 cells were treated with DMSA/Ag₂S QDs as described in MTT assay. After incubation for 24 h, the medium was aspirated. The cells were washed twice with PBS and incubated for an additional 3 h in the medium supplemented with NR (50 μ g/mL). The absorbance of the solution in each well was measured in a microplate reader at 540 nm and compared with the wells containing untreated cells. The experiment was repeated three times. Results were expressed as the mean percentage of cell growth inhibition. IC₅₀ values represent the concentrations that reduced the mean absorbance of 50% of those in the untreated cells.

Determination of genotoxicity by comet assay

V79 cells were treated with DMSA/Ag₂S QDs as described in MTT assay. Following the disaggregation of the cells with trypsin/EDTA and the resuspension of the cells in the medium, a total of 2×10^5 cells/well were plated in 6-well tissue-culture plates. After 24 h of incubation, cells were incubated with different concentrations of DMSA/Ag₂S QDs (5-2000 µg/mL) for an additional 24 h at 37 °C. A positive control (50 µM H₂O₂) was also included in the experiments. The cells were embedded in agarose gel and lysed. Fragmented DNA strands were then drawn out by electrophoresis to form a comet. After electrophoresis, the slides were neutralized and then incubated in 50%, 75%, and 98% of alcohol for 5 min. The dried microscopic slides were stained with EtBr (20 µg/mL in distilled water, 60 µL/slide) and were examined a Leica® fluorescence microscope under green light.

The microscope was connected to a charge-coupled device camera and a personal computer-based analysis system (Comet Analysis Software, version 3.0, Kinetic Imaging Ltd, Liverpool, UK) to determine the extent of DNA damage after electrophoretic migration of the DNA fragments in the agarose gel. In order to visualize DNA damage, 100 nuclei per slide were examined at ×400 magnification. Results were expressed as the percent of DNA in tail "tail intensity". The experiment was performed in duplicate and repeated three times.

Determination of apoptotic genes by RT- PCR

V79 cells were treated with DMSA/Ag₂S QDs at concentrations of 125, 250, 500 and 1000 µg/mL in 6-well plates for 24 h. After the completion of exposure time, total RNA was extracted with the Qiagen RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (Valencia, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The RNA content was estimated using the Nanodrop 8000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA), and the integrity of RNA was visualized on a 1 % agarose gel using the gel documentation system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA). First-strand cDNA was synthesized using the RT² First Strand Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Quantitative real-time PCR was

performed by QuantiTect SYBR Green PCR kit (Qiagen) using the Corbett RotorGene Sequence Detection System (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA). Two microliters of template cDNA was added to the final volume of 20 µL of reaction mixture. RT-PCR cycle parameters included 10 min at 95 °C followed by 40 cycles involving denaturation at 95 °C for 15 s, annealing at 60 °C for 20 s, and elongation at 72 °C for 20 s. The sequences of the specific sets of primer for *p53, caspase 3, caspase 9, bax, bcl2*, and *survivin* utilized in the present investigation are given in our previous study.⁴⁵ Expressions of selected genes were normalized to *gapdh* gene and then used as controls. The experiment was performed in duplicate and repeated three times.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with the SPSS for Windows 20.0 computer program for alkaline comet assay. Differences between the means of data were compared by the one-way variance analysis test and post hoc analysis of group differences by least significant difference test. The RT-PCR array were analyzed using the T-test statistical method. Significance in the RT-PCR array was determined based on fold change from the control $\Delta\Delta$ Ct value. The results were expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. A *p* value of less than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS

Cytotoxicity of DMSA/Ag₂S QDs by MTT assay

The V79 cells were treated with DMSA/Ag₂S QDs and free DMSA to determine the cytotoxicity of the QDs itself and the coating material over a wide range of concentrations between 0-2000 µg/mL for 24 h. The cytotoxicity was then evaluated by MTT assay. Data provided in Figure 2a exhibited no significant cytotoxicity between 5-200 µg/mL and a concentration dependent decline in the survival of cells exposed to DMSA/Ag₂S QDs at higher concentrations (400-2000 µg/mL) when compared to the untreated control. IC₅₀ of DMSA/Ag₂S QDs was not determined at these concentrations. The cell viability were found to be 54.0±4.8 % at the highest doses (2000 µg/mL). As shown in Figure 2b, free DMSA did not cause any significant cytotoxicity in V79 cells within the same concentration range.



Figure 2 Influence of DMSA/Ag₂S QDs (a) and free DMSA solutions (b) on viability of V79 cells using MTT assay. Cell viability was plotted as percent of negative control (assuming data obtained from untreated cells as 100%). Results were given as the mean ± standard deviation. Differences between the means of data were compared by the one way variance analysis (ANOVA) test and post hoc analysis of group differences by least significant difference (LSD) test. *Significant difference as compared to the negative control (p < 0.05). Negative control (1% PBS), positive control (50 μM H₂O₂). The cell viability of positive control was 48.5%.

Cytotoxicity of DMSA/Ag₂S QDs by NRU Assay

The results of cytotoxicity as evaluated by NRU cell viability, indicated no significant cytotoxicity at the concentrations between 5 and 400 μ g/mL when compared to the untreated control, but a clear dose-dependent toxicity at higher concentrations (800-2000 μ g/mL) was observed (Figure 3a). IC₅₀ of DMSA/Ag₂S was not determined. The cell viability were found to be 65.7±4.1% at the highest dose (2000 μ g/mL) of Ag₂S QDs. Similar to the results obtained from MTT assay, DMSA alone did not show cytotoxicity in V79 cells within the same studied doses (Figure 3b).



Figure 3 Effects of DMSA/Ag₂S QDs (a) and DMSA solutions (b) on viability of V79 cells using NRU assay. Cell viability was plotted as percent of negative control (assuming data obtained from untreated cells as 100%). Results were given as the mean ± standard deviation. Differences between the means of data were compared by the one way variance analysis (ANOVA) test and post hoc analysis of group differences by least significant difference (LSD) test *Significant difference as compared to the negative control (p < 0.05). Negative control (1% PBS), positive control (50 µM H₂O₂). The cell viability of positive control was 53.6 %.

Genotoxicity of DMSA/Ag₂S QDs

Genotoxicity of these QDs were evaluated by comet assay (Figure 4 and Figure 5). DNA damage expressed as "DNA tail intensity" in V79 cells were presented in Figure 4. No significant DNA damage was observed, since DMSA/Ag₂S QDs treatments (5-2000 μ g/mL) for 24 h did not change DNA tail intensity in V79 cells (Figure 5).



Figure 4 DNA damages expressed as tail intensity in the V79 cells treated with DMSA/Ag₂S QDs. Results were given as the mean ± standard deviation. Differences between the means of data were compared by the one way variance analysis (ANOVA) test and post hoc analysis of group differences by least significant

difference (LSD) test. * p < 0.05, statistically different from negative control. # p < 0.05, statistically different from positive control. Negative control (1% PBS), positive control (50 μ M H₂O₂).



Figure 5 The comet microscopic images of V79 cells. (a) Undamaged cells treated with DMSA/Ag₂S QDs and (b) damaged cells treated with 50 μM H₂O₂ were examined at ×400 magnification.

Effects of DMSA/Ag₂S QDs on the expressions of apoptotic genes

The mRNA expression levels of *p53, caspase 3, caspase 9, bax, Bcl-2*, and *survivin* genes (apoptotic markers) in V79 cells treated with DMSA/Ag₂S QDs at the concentrations of 125, 250, 500 and 1000 μ g/mL for 24 h was analyzed by RT- PCR assay.

The results demonstrated that the mRNA expression levels of apoptotic genes p53, caspase 3, caspase 9, and bax were up-regulated, while the expressions of antiapoptotic genes *Bcl-2* and survivin were down-regulated in V79 cells treated with the highest concentration of DMSA/Ag₂S QDs (1000 µg/mL) (p<0.05) (Figure 6). No significant changes were observed in lower concentrations. The ratio of bax/Bcl-2 gene expression levels in the cells treated with DMSA/Ag₂S QDs (Figure 7) suggests that these two genes may play a significant role in the pathway of DMSA/Ag₂S QDs via apoptosis.



Figure 6 DMSA/Ag₂S QDs-induced apoptosis in V79 cells. Cells were exposed to DMSA/Ag₂S QDs at the dosages of 0, 125, 250, 500 and 1000 μ g/ml for 24 h. At the end of exposure, mRNA levels of *p53, caspase 3, caspase 9, bax, bcl*2 and *survivin* genes were measured as described in materials and methods. Results were given as the mean ± standard deviation. The real time polymerase chain reaction (RT PCR) arrays were analyzed using the T-test statistical method. Significance in the PCR array was determined based on fold change from the control $\Delta\Delta$ Ct value. *Significant difference as compared to the negative control (p < 0.05). Negative control (1%



Figure 7 The ratio of *Bcl2/Bax* mRNA in V79 cells. Cells were exposed to DMSA/Ag₂S QDs at the dosages of 0, 125, 250, 500 and 1000 μ g/ml for 24 h. *Significant difference as compared to the negative control (p < 0.05). Negative control (1% PBS).

DISCUSSION

There has been increasing concern regarding the toxicity of QDs, however further effort is needed to make them safe for biomedical application.⁴⁶ The toxic effects of different QDs have already been investigated *in vitro* ^{34,47-51} as well as *in vivo*. ^{50, 52} QDs are suggested be cytotoxic and/or change gene expression⁵³ and the cores and

coatings of QDs may be responsible for their toxicity.⁵⁴ Ag₂S QDs were considered to be much less toxic than the QDs such as PbSe, PbS, and CdHgTe QDs, because of the lack of toxic metals, such as Pb, Hg, and Cd. Ag₂S QDs are promising fluorescent probes with both bright photoluminescence in the NIR and high biocompatibility, which makes them high selective *in vitro* targeting and imaging of different cell lines.⁵⁵ Ag₂S QDs are reported to have no significant effects in altering cell viability, triggering apoptosis and necrosis, forming reactive oxygen species (ROS), and causing DNA damage in *in vitro* toxicity studies. ^{38, 55}

In recent years NP applications towards cell apoptosis have been an increasing focus. Unfortunately such widely usage may pose unwanted threat to human health and calls for the necessity of a precise analysis of NP cytotoxicity in living cells. The understanding of how their exact properties (size, shapes, surface charges, dispersion/agglomeration status) play in the decision of NP safety and suitability is necessary. In addition, some aspects of surface modification may be able to reduce the bio-reactivity of NPs, thus alleviating their toxicities in certain circumstances. This may provide a way to design even more effective particles of minimum undesired toxicity.

In the present study, it was aimed to evaluate the cytotoxic, genotoxic, and apoptotic potentials of DMSA/Ag₂S QDs in V79 cell line. We performed MTT and NRU cytotoxicity assays, since they are generelly used tests to determine the cytotoxicity of nanoparticles in different cell lines. ⁵⁶⁻⁵⁹ These assays differ depending on the different mechanisms leading to cell death. Therefore, it is important to check nanotoxicity with different protocols. NRU assay is a colorimetric assay measuring the uptake of the dye by viable cells and its accumulation in functional lysosomes, while MTT assay is based on the enzymatic conversion of MTT in the mitochondria. ⁶⁰ The lung fibroblast V79 cell line was used in our experiment. The rationale for choosing this cell line is that it has been widely studied in many nano-cytotoxicity and nano-genotoxicity assays, because of excellent properties in colony formation and also high sensitivity to many chemicals. 61-65 The question of dose becomes important when comparing studies and when developing predictive models of nanoparticle toxicity. This is very important when comparing in vitro and in vivo studies, where physicochemical parameters make simple comparisons difficult. Consistent with the previous studies ⁶¹⁻⁶⁵, 24 h of exposure was selected to be optimal time for measurements of the effects of nanoparticles on cell viability. It has been reported that rather high concentrations of NM solutions are used in in vitro studies (30 to 400 µg/mL) in the literature. ⁶⁶ There are no cytotoxicity studies for the doses of DMSA/Ag2S in V79 cells, therefore we used the wide concentration ranges of DMSA/Ag2S QDs (0-2000 µg/mL).

In our study, DMSA/Ag₂S QDs reduced the cell viability above 400 μ g/mL using MTT assay and above 800 μ g/mL using NRU assay. Indicating dose dependent toxicity in both assays. MTT seems to be more sensitive in detecting changes in viability at low concentrations. ⁶⁷ In both MTT and NRU assays, DMSA alone did not significantly induced cell death at the same concentration range between 5 and 2000 μ g/mL. It seems that the coating material may prevent the cytotoxicity. It is the fact that the biocompatibility of DMSA coupled with the extremely low solubility of Ag₂S core prevents release of high concentration of Ag+ from the core accounts for the biocompatibility of DMSA/Ag₂S at least in short term exposure. Munari et al.⁵⁴ reported that methyl polyethylene glycol coated Ag₂S (0.01-50 μ g/mL) showed neither genotoxic nor cytotoxic effects.

It is important to use the appropriate method to measure the cytotoxicity of interest without the false-negative or -positive misconstruction of the result. MTT and NRU assays may sometimes suffer from severe interferences caused by interaction of metallic nanoparticles with assay reagents. Serious consideration is critical to obtain reliable and realistic data. ⁶⁸ Interference with analytical techniques should be considered in terms of nanoparticle intrinsic fluorescence/absorbance and interactions between nanoparticles and assay components. Due to the unique physicochemical properties and increased reactivity of nanoparticles (NPs), there is a high potential for these materials to interfere with spectrophotometric and spectrofluorometric assays. NPs can bind to proteins and dyes and alter their structure and/or function, and it is probable that this process is occurring in common toxicity assays. Aluminum nanoparticles showed a strong interaction with the MTT dye causing significant misreading of the cell viability data. ^{69, 70} Some nanoparticles (iron/graphite magnetic particles, super-paramagnetic magnetite/silica nanoparticles, bare and PEGylated silica nanoparticles and magnetic composites magnetite/FAU zeolite) in culture medium in the absence of cells have the same wavelength used in MTT assays at 525 nm. This absorbance increases with the nanoparticle concentration and can greatly interfere with MTT assay results 1 However, in our study DMSA/Ag₂S QDs had the emission maximum at 870 nm with a broad absorption up to 800 nm. In MTT and NRU assays the absorbance were 570 nm and 540 nm, respectively. DMSA/Ag₂S QDs appear not to interract with MTT reagent, therefore there is no absorbance interference.

Comet assay, is a sensitive method to detect DNA strand breaks as well as oxidatively damaged DNA at single cell level. The effect of NPs to cause DNA damage is an important issue in mutations and carcinogenesis. Oxidative stress, but other mechanisms may also be involved in the genotoxicity of NPs including direct NP-DNA interactions, and disturbance of the mitotic spindle and its components. 72, 73 In our study, DMSA/Ag₂S QDs treatments (5-2000 µg/ml) for 24 h did not increase DNA tail intensity in V79 cells, which may indicate no genotoxic effects. The biocompatibility of Ag₂S QDs in mouse fibroblast L929 cell line, including cell proliferation, cell apoptosis/necrosis, production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), and DNA damage using comet assay were investigated by Zhang et al. (2012), which is comparable with our study. 55 They used different Ag₂S QDs with different targeting ligands including dihydrolipoic acid (DHLA) and poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG). The proliferation, ROS production, and DNA damage of L929 cells treated with 6.25, 12.5, 25, 50, and 100 µg/mL of Ag₂S QDs for 72 h were not statistically different from the negative control. The results presenting negligible toxicity of Ag₂S QDs at concentrations up to 100 µg/mL show that Ag₂S QDs are highly biocompatible in their study. Aq₂S QDs did not interfere with the cell proliferation, which makes them available for their use in the labeling of the in vitro systems. These observations illustrated the biocompatible nature of Ag₂S without side effects on the cell proliferation. The previous studies have confirmed that some QDs have high biocompatibilities and low toxicities. 74-76 The coating material may suggested to reduce the cytotoxicty. Constisted with our study, Jebali, et al (2014) reported that free fatty acids-coated Ag NPs had less toxicity, higher uptake, and less ROS generation than unbound Ag NPs. Hocaoglu et al. 77 showed the biocompatibility of 2-mercaptopropionic acid (2MPA)/Ag₂S QDs even at the highest concentration of 600 µg/mL in NIH/3T3 cells for 24 h incubation using XTT assay. Hocaoglu et al. ³⁸ also showed that DMSA/Ag₂S QDs did not reduce cell viability up to 200 µg/ml in HeLa cells and showed only 20% reduction in cell viability of 3T3 NIH cells for 24 h.

Apoptosis, via extracellular or intracellular signals, trigger the onset of a signaling cascade with characteristic biochemical and cytological signatures with nuclear condensation and DNA fragmentation.⁷⁸ Several genes are known to sense DNA damage and apoptosis. In the presence of DNA damage or cellular stress, p53 protein triggers cell-cycle arrest to provide time for the damage to be repaired or for self-mediated apoptosis.¹⁶ The p53 gene maintain genomic stability via activating cell cycle checkpoints, DNA repair, and apoptosis. ⁷⁹ Survivin, described as an inhibitor of caspase-9 and a member of the family of inhibitors of apoptotic proteins, functions as a key regulator of mitosis and programmed cell death. Survivin has been reported to play an important role in both cell proliferation and apoptosis.¹⁷ Initially, survivin gene expression is transcriptionally repressed by wild-type p53 and can be deregulated in cancer by several mechanisms, including gene amplification, hypomethylation, increased promoter activity, and loss of p53 function.⁸⁰ Downregulation of survivin may cause a cell-cycle defect that leads to apoptosis. The Bax and Bcl-2 proteins regulate apoptotic pathways. The Bcl-2 protein has an antiapoptotic activity, while the Bax has pro-apoptotic effect.¹⁸ The ratio of Bax/Bcl-2 proteins represents a cell death switch, which determines the life or death of cells in response to an apoptotic stimulus; an increased bax/bcl-2 ratio decreases the cellular resistance to apoptotic stimuli, leading to apoptosis. It is crutial in the mitochondrial outer-membrane permeabilization and the release of cytochrome C in the cytosol.^{19,81, 82} Also destabilization of the mitochondrial integrity by apoptotic stimuli precedes activation of caspases leading to apoptosis.^{83, 84} Caspases, essential for cellular DNA damage and apoptosis, are known to play a vital role in both initiation and execution of apoptosis in many cells.85

The transcriptional data on modulation of p53 and bax/bcl-2 ratio and release of caspases have strengthened the role of DMSA/Ag₂S QDs in inducing mitochondrial dependent apoptotic pathways. The main intrinsic pathway is characterized by mitochondrial dysfunction, with the release of cytochrome c activation of caspase 9, and subsequent of caspase 3 enzyme.^{86, 87} Typically, p53 is activated when DNA damage occurs or cells are stressed, p53 is then translocated to the nucleus, where it can induce pro-apoptotic gene expression on the mitochondrial membrane, activate the effector caspases, accelerate cell death. 87, 88 Survivin inhibition induce the activation of caspase-3 and caspase-9 enzymes.^{89, 90} Taken together, up-regulation of p53 and down-regulation of survivin leads to activation of pro-apoptotic members of bcl-2 family. This includes bax, inducing permeabilization of the outer mitochondrial membrane, which releases soluble proteins from the intermembrane space into the cytosol where they promote caspase activation.^{84, 91} The expression of antiapototic protein bcl-2 was significantly lower, and the expression of pro-apoptotic protein bax was significantly higher in cells exposed DMSA/Ag₂S QDs, suggesting that these genes could be excellent molecular biomarkers to assess the apoptotic response of NPs. Our study, no significant changes in mRNA expression levels were observed between 125-500 µg/mL, but a clear effect on the apoptotic/antiapoptotic gene expression levels was detected at the dose of 1000 µg/mL. The mRNA expression levels of apoptotic genes p53, caspase 3, caspase 9, and Bax were up-regulated, while the expressions of anti-apoptotic genes Bcl-2 and survivin were down-regulated in V79 cells treated with the highest concentration of 1000 µg/mL of DMSA/Ag2S QDs. The results show that the related gene expression levels may change at only a very high cytotoxic dose, indicating that DMSA/Ag₂S QDs may lead to cell death via apoptotic pathways at very high doses.

CONCLUSION

In our study, the potential cytotoxic, genotoxic, and apoptotic effect of DMSA/Ag₂S QDs *in vitro* were evaluated. Ag₂S QDs coated with DMSA has high biocompatibility and low toxicity, since heavy metal related cytotoxicity is eliminated by using quite a biocompatible and insoluble Ag₂S semiconductor core.

Our data show that DMSA/Ag₂S QDs has neither cytotoxic nor genotoxic effects in V79 cells in medically relevant doses. It may induce apoptosis via p53, survivin, Bax/Bcl-2 and caspase pathways at high dose. The underlying mechanisms of DMSA/Ag₂S QDs should be confirmed by additional experiments in order to prove our results. It needs further investigation to determine whether *in vivo* exposure consequences may exist for DMSA/Ag₂S QDs application and also to make QDs widespread safety of use.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the TUBITAK (Project Number: 114S861).

Declaration of interest

The authors report no conflicts of interest. The authors alone are responsible for the content and writing of the paper

References

- 1. Rothenfluh DA, Bermudez H, O'Neil CP, Hubbell JA. Biofunctional polymer nanoparticles for intra-articular targeting and retention in cartilage. Nat Mater. 2008;7:248-254.
- 2. Kostarelos K, Bianco A, Prato M. Promises, facts and challenges for carbon nanotubes in imaging and therapeutics. *Nat Nanotechnol.* 2009;4:627-633.
- 3. Michalet X, Pinaud FF, Bentolila LA, Tsay JM, Doose S, Li JJ, Sundaresan G, Wu
- AM, Gambhir SS, Weiss S. Quantum dots for live cells, in vivo imaging, and diagnostics. Science. 2005;307:538-544.
- 4. Chen Z, Tabakman SM, Goodwin AP, Kattah MG, Daranciang D, Wang X, Zhang G, Li X, Liu Z, Utz PJ, Jiang K, Fan S, Dai H. Protein microarrays with carbon nanotubes as multicolor Raman labels. *Nat Biotechnol*.2008;26:1285-1292.
- 5. Qian X, Peng XH, Ansari DO, Yin-Goen Q, Chen GZ, Shin DM, Yang L, Young AN, Wang MD, Nie S. In vivo tumor targeting and spectroscopic detection with surface-enhanced Raman nanoparticle tags. *Nat Biotechnol.*2008;26:83-90.
 - He Y, Fan C, Lee ST. Silicon nanostructures for bioapplications. *Nano Today*. 2010;5:282-295.
 - McAuliffe ME, Perry MJ. Are nanoparticles potential male reproductive toxicants? A literature review. *Nanotoxicology*. 2007;1:204-210.
 - Nel A, Xia T, Mädler L, Li N. Toxic potential of materials at the nanolevel. *Science*. 2006;311:622-627.
- 9. Oberdörster G, Oberdörster E, Oberdörster J. Nanotoxicology: An emerging discipline evolving from studies of ultrafine particles. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2005;113:823-839.

- 10. Singh SK, Kulkarni PP, Dash D. Biomedical Applications of Nanomaterials: An Overview. *Bio-Nanotechnology: A Revolution in Food, Biomedical and Health Sciences.* 2013, p. 1-32.
- 11. Chan WCW, Maxwell DJ, Gao X, Bailey RE, Han M, Nie S. Luminescent quantum dots for multiplexed biological detection and imaging. Curr Opin Biotechnol. 2002;13:40-46.
- 12. Klimov VI. Spectral and dynamical properties of multiexcitons in semiconductor nanocrystals. Annu Rev Phys Chem. 2007;58:635-673.
- 13. Murphy CJ , Coffer JL. Quantum dots: A primer. *Appl Spectrosc*. 2002;56:16A-27A.
- 14. Bruchez Jr M, Moronne M, Gin P, Weiss S, Alivisatos AP. Semiconductor nanocrystals as fluorescent biological labels. *Science*. 1998;281:2013-2016.
- 15. Chan WCW, Nie S. Quantum dot bioconjugates for ultrasensitive nonisotopic detection. *Science*. 1998;281:2016-2018.
- 16. Medintz I. Universal tools for biomolecular attachment to surfaces. Nat Mater. 2006;5:842.
- 17. Wagner MK, Li F, Li J, Li XF, Le XC. Use of quantum dots in the development of assays for cancer biomarkers. *Anal Bioanal Chem.* 2010;397:3213-3224.
- 18. Mattoussi H, Palui G, Na HB. Luminescent quantum dots as platforms for probing in vitro and in vivo biological processes. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev.*2012;64:138-166.
- 19. Frigerio C, Ribeiro DS, Rodrigues SS, Abreu VL, Barbosa JA, Prior JA, Marques KL, Santos JL. Application of quantum dots as analytical tools in automated chemical analysis: A review. *Anal Chim Acta.* 2012; 735: 9-22.
- 20. Frasco MF, Chaniotakis N. Bioconjugated quantum dots as fluorescent probes for bioanalytical applications. *Anal Bioanal Chem.* 2010;396:229-240.
- 21. Wu X, Liu H, Liu J, Haley KN, Treadway JA, Larson JP, Ge N, Peale F, Bruchez MP. Immunofluorescent labeling of cancer marker Her2 and other cellular targets with semiconductor quantum dots. Nat Biotechnol. 2003;21:41-46.
- 22. Algar WR, Prasuhn DE, Stewart MH, Jennings TL, Blanco-Canosa JB, Dawson PE, Medintz IL. The controlled display of biomolecules on nanoparticles: a challenge suited to bioorthogonal chemistry. Bioconjug Chem. 2011;22:825-858.
- 23. Rosenthal SJ, Chang JC, Kovtun O, McBride JR, Tomlinson ID. Biocompatible quantum dots for biological applications. *Chem Biol*. 2011;18:10-24.
- 24. Petryayeva E, Algar WR, Medintz IL. Quantum dots in bioanalysis: A review of applications across various platforms for fluorescence spectroscopy and imaging. *Appl Spectrosc.* 2013;67:215-252.
- 25. Alivisatos AP. Semiconductor clusters, nanocrystals, and quantum dots. *Science*. 1996;271:933-937.
- 26. Weller H. Quantum size colloids: From size-dependent properties of discrete particles to self-organized superstructures. *Curr Opin Colloid Interface Sci.* 1998;3:194-199.
- Dabbousi BO, Rodriguez-Viejo J, Mikulec FV, Heine JR, Mattoussi H, Ober R, Jensen KF, Bawendi MG. (CdSe)ZnS core-shell quantum dots: Synthesis and characterization of a size series of highly luminescent nanocrystallites. *J Phys Chem B* 1997;101:9463-9475.
- 28. Hines MA, Guyot-Sionnest P. Synthesis and characterization of strongly luminescing ZnS-capped CdSe nanocrystals. *J Phy Chem.* 1996;100:468-471.

- 29. Hardman R. A toxicologic review of quantum dots: Toxicity depends on physicochemical and environmental factors. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2006;114:165-172.
- Kim S, Lim YT, Soltesz EG, De Grand AM, Lee J, Nakayama A, Parker JA, Mihaljevic T, Laurence RG, Dor DM, Cohn LH, Bawendi MG, Frangioni JV. Near-infrared fluorescent type II quantum dots for sentinel lymph node mapping. Nat Biotechnol. 2004 22:93-97.
- 31. Chan WH, Shiao NH, Lu PZ. CdSe quantum dots induce apoptosis in human neuroblastoma cells via mitochondrial-dependent pathways and inhibition of survival signals. *Toxicol Let* 2006;167:191-200.
- 32. Chen N, He Y, Su Y, Li X, Huang Q, Wang H, Zhang X, Tai R, Fan C. The cytotoxicity of cadmium-based quantum dots. Biomaterials. 2012;33:1238-1244.
- 33. Cho SJ, Maysinger D, Jain M, Röder B, Hackbarth S and Winnik FM. Long-term exposure to CdTe quantum dots causes functional impairments in live cells. *Langmuir.* 2007;23:1974-1980.
- 34. Kirchner C, Liedl T, Kudera S, Pellegrino T, Muñoz Javier A, Gaub HE, Stölzle S, Fertig N, Parak WJ. Cytotoxicity of colloidal CdSe and CdSe/ZnS nanoparticles. Nano Lett. 2005;5:331-338.
- 35. Li KG, Chen JT, Bai SS, Wen X, Song SY, Yu Q, Li J, Wang YQ. Intracellular oxidative stress and cadmium ions release induce cytotoxicity of unmodified cadmium sulfide quantum dots. Toxicol In Vitro. 2009;23:1007-1013.
- 36. Male KB, Lachance B, Hrapovic S, Sunahara G, Luong JHT. Assessment of cytotoxicity of quantum dots and gold nanoparticles using cell-based impedance spectroscopy. *Anal Chem.* 2008;80:5487-5493.
- 37. Gao J, Chen X, Cheng Z. Near-infrared quantum dots as optical probes for tumor imaging. *Curr Top Med Chem.* 2010;10:1147-1157.
- 38. Hocaoglu I, Demir F, Birer O, Kiraz A, Sevrin C, Grandfils C, Acar HY. Emission tunable, cyto/hemocompatible, near-IR-emitting Ag2S quantum dots by aqueous decomposition of DMSA. Nanoscale. 2014;6:11921-11931.
- 39. Rao BS, Shanbhoge R, Rao BN, Adiga SK, Upadhya D, Aithal BK, Kumar MR. Preventive efficacy of hydroalcoholic extract of Cymbopogon citratus against radiation-induced DNA damage on V79 cells and free radical scavenging ability against radicals generated in vitro. Hum Exp Toxicol.. 2009;28:195-202.
- 40. Mosmann T. Rapid colorimetric assay for cellular growth and survival: Application to proliferation and cytotoxicity assays. *J Immunol Methods*. 1983;65:55-63.
- 41. Hansen MB, Nielsen SE, Berg K. Re-examination and further development of a precise and rapid dye method for measuring cell growth/cell kill. *J Immunol Methods.* 1989;119:203-210.
 - . Kuźma Ł, Wysokińska H, Rózalski M, Krajewska U, Kisiel W. An unusual taxodione derivative from hairy roots of Salvia austriaca. *Fitoterapia*. 2012;83:770-773.
- 3. Di Virgilio AL, Iwami K, Wätjen W, Kahl R, Degen GH. Genotoxicity of the isoflavones genistein, daidzein and equol in V79 cells. *Toxicol Lett.* 2004;151:1511-62.
- 44. Saquib Q, Al-Khedhairy AA, Siddiqui MA, Abou-Tarboush FM, Azam A, Musarrat J. Titanium dioxide nanoparticles induced cytotoxicity, oxidative stress and DNA damage in human amnion epithelial (WISH) cells. *Toxicol in Vitro*. 2012;26:351-561.

- 45. Ahamed M, Akhtar MJ, Siddiqui MA, Ahmad J, Musarrat J, Al-Khedhairy AA, AlSalhi MS, Alrokayan SA. Oxidative stress mediated apoptosis induced by nickel ferrite nanoparticles in cultured A549 cells. Toxicology. 2011; 283:101-108.
- 46. Winnik FM, Maysinger D. Quantum dot cytotoxicity and ways to reduce it. *Acc Chem Res*.2013;46:672-680.
- Manshian BB, Soenen SJ, Brown A, Hondow N, Wills J, Jenkins GJ, Doak SH. Genotoxic capacity of Cd/Se semiconductor quantum dots with differing surface chemistries. Mutagenesis. 2016;31:97-106.
- Smith WE, Brownell J, White CC, Afsharinejad Z, Tsai J, Hu X, Polyak SJ, Gao X, Kavanagh TJ, Eaton DL. In vitro toxicity assessment of amphiphillic polymer-coated CdSe/ZnS quantum dots in two human liver cell models. ACS Nano. 2012;6:9475-9484.
- 49. Smulders S, Luyts K, Brabants G, Golanski L, Martens J, Vanoirbeek J, Hoet PH. Toxicity of nanoparticles embedded in paints compared to pristine nanoparticles, in vitro study. Toxicol Lett. 2015;232:333-339.
- 50. Smulders S, Luyts K, Brabants G, Landuyt KV, Kirschhock C, Smolders E, Golanski L, Vanoirbeek J, Hoet PH. Toxicity of nanoparticles embedded in paints compared with pristine nanoparticles in mice. Toxicol Sci. 2014;141:132-140.
- 51. Soenen SJ, Manshian BB, Himmelreich U, Demeester J, Braeckmans K, De Smedt SC. The performance of gradient alloy quantum dots in cell labeling. *Biomaterials*. 2014;35:7249-7258.
- 52. Derfus AM, Chan WCW, Bhatia SN. Probing the Cytotoxicity of Semiconductor Quantum Dots. *Nano Lett.* 2004;4:11-18.
- 53. Choi O, Clevenger TE, Deng B, Surampalli RY, Ross Jr L, Hu Z. Role of sulfide and ligand strength in controlling nanosilver toxicity. *Water Res.* 2009;43:1879-1886.
- 54. Munari M, Sturve J, Frenzilli G, Sanders MB, Brunelli A, Marcomini A, Nigro M, Lyons BP. Genotoxic effects of CdS quantum dots and Ag2S nanoparticles in fish cell lines (RTG-2). Mutat Res Genet Toxicol Environ Mutagen. 2014;775-776:89-93.
- 55. Zhang Y, Hong G, Zhang Y, Chen G, Li F, Dai H, Wang Q. Ag2S quantum dot: a bright and biocompatible fluorescent nanoprobe in the second near-infrared window. ACS Nano. 2012;6:3695-3702.
- 56. Akhtar MJ, Kumar Š, Murthy RC, Ashquin M, Khan MI, Patil G, Ahmad I. The primary role of iron-mediated lipid peroxidation in the differential cytotoxicity caused by two varieties of talc nanoparticles on A549 cells and lipid peroxidation inhibitory effect exerted by ascorbic acid. Toxicol In Vitro. 2010;24:1139-1147.
 - Barillet S, Jugan ML, Laye M, Leconte Y, Herlin-Boime N, Reynaud C, Carrière M. In vitro evaluation of SiC nanoparticles impact on A549 pulmonary cells: cyto-, genotoxicity and oxidative stress. Toxicol Lett. 2010;198:324-330.
- 58. Mahmoudi M, Simchi A, Milani AS, Stroeve P. Cell toxicity of superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles. J Colloid Interface Sci. 2009;336:510-508.
- 59. Sharma V, Shukla RK, Saxena N, Parmar D, Das M, Dhawan A. DNA damaging potential of zinc oxide nanoparticles in human epidermal cells. *Toxicol Lett.* 2009;185:211-218.

- 60. Fotakis G, imbrell JA. In vitro cytotoxicity assays: Comparison of LDH, neutral red, MTT and protein assay in hepatoma cell lines following exposure to cadmium chloride. *Toxicol Lett.* 2006; 160: 171-177.
- 61. Chaung W, Mi LJ,Boorstein RJ. The p53 status of Chinese hamster V79 cells frequently used for studies on DNA damage and DNA repair. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 1997;25:992-994.
- Chen Z, Wang Y, Ba T, Li Y, Pu J, Chen T, Song Y, Gu Y, Qian Q, Yang J, Jia G. Genotoxic evaluation of titanium dioxide nanoparticles in vivo and in vitro. Toxicol Lett. 2014;226:314-319.
- 63. Darne C, Terzetti F, Coulais C, Fontana C, Binet S, Gaté L, Guichard Y. Cytotoxicity and genotoxicity of panel of single- and multiwalled carbon nanotubes: in vitro effects on normal Syrian hamster embryo and immortalized v79 hamster lung cells. J Toxicol. 2014;2014:872195.
- 64. Guichard Y, Fontana C, Chavinier E, Terzetti F, Gaté L, Binet S, Darne C. Cytotoxic and genotoxic evaluation of different synthetic amorphous silica nanomaterials in the V79 cell line. *Toxicol Ind Health.* 2015; 32: 1639-1650.
- 65. Kang SJ, Kim BM, Lee YJ, Chung HW. Titanium dioxide nanoparticles trigger p53-mediated damage response in peripheral blood lymphocytes. *Environ Mol Mutagen.* 2008; 49: 399-405.
- 66. Gangwa S, Brown JS, Wang A, et al. Informing selection of nanomaterial concentrations for ToxCast in vitro testing based on occupational exposure potential. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2011;119:1539-1546.
- 67. Nogueira DR, Mitjans M, Infante MR, Vinardell MP. Comparative sensitivity of tumor and non-tumor cell lines as a reliable approach for in vitro cytotoxicity screening of lysine-based surfactants with potential pharmaceutical applications. *Int J Pharm.* 2011;420:51-58.
- 68. Kong B, Seog JH, Graham LM, Lee SB. Experimental considerations on the cytotoxicity of nanoparticles. *Nanomedicine*. 2011; 6: 929-941.
- 69. Maccormack TJ, Clark RJ, Dang MK, Ma G, Kelly JA, Veinot JG, Goss GG. Inhibition of enzyme activity by nanomaterials: Potential mechanisms and implications for nanotoxicity testing. *Nanotoxicology*. 2012; 6: 514-525.
- 70. Monteiro-Riviere NA, Oldenburg SJ, Inman AO. Interactions of aluminum nanoparticles with human epidermal keratinocytes. *J Appl Toxicol.* 2010; 30: 276-285.
- Díaz B, Sánchez-Espinel C, Arruebo M, Faro J, de Miguel E, Magadán S, Yagüe C, Fernández-Pacheco R, Ibarra MR, Santamaría J, González-Fernández A. Assessing Methods for Blood Cell Cytotoxic Responses to Inorganic Nanoparticles and Nanoparticle Aggregates. *Small.* 2008; 4: 2025-2034.
 - Karlsson HL, Di Bucchianico S, Collins AR, Dusinska M. Can the comet assay be used reliably to detect nanoparticle-induced genotoxicity? *Environ Mol Mutagen.* 2015;56: 82-96.
- 73. Shukla RK, Sharma V, Pandey AK, Singh S, Sultana S, Dhawan A. ROS-mediated genotoxicity induced by titanium dioxide nanoparticles in human epidermal cells. *Toxicol In Vitro*. 2011; 25: 231-241.
 74. Deng R, Li C, Chen C, Chen C, Zhang X, Zhang X, Deng M, Wang O, Facilia
 - Dong B, Li C, Chen G, Chen G, Zhang Y, Zhang Y, Deng M, Wang Q, Facile Synthesis of Highly Photoluminescent Ag2Se Quantum Dots as a New Fluorescent Probe in the Second Near-Infrared Window for in Vivo Imaging. *Chem. Mater.*, 2013; 25: 2503-2509.

- 75. Gu Y-P, Cui R, Zhang Z-L, Xie Z-X, Pang D-W. Ultrasmall Near-Infrared Ag2Se Quantum Dots with Tunable Fluorescence for in Vivo Imaging. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2012;134: 79-82.
- Tang H, Yang ST, Yang YF, Ke DM, Liu JH, Chen X, Wang H, Liu Y. BloodClearance, Distribution, Transformation, Excretion, and Toxicity of Near-Infrared Quantum Dots Ag2Se in Mice. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces. 2016;20;8(28):17859-69.
- 77. Hocaoglu I, Çizmeciyan MN, Erdem R, et al. Development of highly luminescent and cytocompatible near-IR-emitting aqueous Ag2S quantum dots. *J Mater Chem* 2012;22: 14674-14681.
- Gopinath P, Gogoi SK, Sanpui P, Paul A, Chattopadhyay A, Ghosh SS. Signaling gene cascade in silver nanoparticle induced apoptosis. *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*. 2010; 77: 240-5.
- 79. Sherr CJ. Principles of Tumor Suppression. Cell. 2004;116:235-46.
- 80. Ryan BM, O'Donovan N and Duffy MJ. Survivin: A new target for anti-cancer therapy. *Cancer Treat Rev.*2009;35:553-562.
- 81. Chougule M, Patel AR, Sachdeva P, Jackson T, Singh M, Anticancer activity of Noscapine, an opioid alkaloid in combination with Cisplatin in human non-small cell lung cancer. *Lung Cancer*. 2011;71:271-282.
- 82. Gao C, Wang A-Y. Significance of Increased Apoptosis and Bax Expression in Human Small Intestinal Adenocarcinoma. *J Histochem Cytochem.* 2009;57:1139-48.
- 83. Timmer JC and Salvesen GS. Caspase substrates. *Cell Death And Differentiation*. Cell Death Differ. 2007 Jan;14(1):66-72
- 84. Youle RJ and Strasser A. The BCL-2 protein family: opposing activities that mediate cell death. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2008; 9: 47-59
- 85. Jänicke RU, Sprengart ML, Wati MR, Porter AG. Caspase-3 is required for DNA fragmentation and morphological changes associated with apoptosis. *J Biol Chem.* 1998; 273: 9357-9360.
- 86. Porter AG, Jänicke RU. Emerging roles of caspase-3 in apoptosis. *Cell Death Differ*.1999; 6: 99-104
- 87. Saquib Q, Al-Khedhairy AA, Ahmad J, et al. Zinc ferrite nanoparticles activate IL-1b, NFKB1, CCL21 and NOS2 signaling to induce mitochondrial dependent intrinsic apoptotic pathway in WISH cells. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol.* 2013; 273:289-297.
- 88. Farnebo M, Bykov VJN and Wiman KG. The p53 tumor suppressor: A master regulator of diverse cellular processes and therapeutic target in cancer. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2010; 396:85-89.
- 89. Blanc-Brude OP, Yu J, Simosa H, Conte MS, Sessa WC, Altieri DC. Inhibitor of apoptosis protein survivin regulates vascular injury. *Nat. Med.*. 2002;8:987-994
- 90. Marusawa H, Matsuzawa S, Welsh K, Zou H, Armstrong R, Tamm I, Reed JC. HBXIP functions as a cofactor of survivin in apoptosis suppression. *The EMBO* J. 2003; 22: 2729-40.
- 91. Fuentes-Prior P and Salvesen Guy S. The protein structures that shape caspase activity, specificity, activation and inhibition. *Biochem J.* 2004; 384: 201-32.