

An Approach to Pediatric or Mentally Deficient Donors from a Bioethical Perspective: Considerations and Recommendations on Behalf of the Donor Research Team of the Turkish Society of Hematology (DART)

Pediatric veya Zihinsel Olarak Yetersiz Donörlere Biyoetik Bakış Açısıyla Bir Yaklaşım: Türk Hematoloji Derneği Donör Araştırma Takımı (DART) Adına Düşünceler/Öneriler

✉ Şükrü Keleş¹, ✉ Can Boğa², ✉ Funda Tekkesin³, ✉ İlknur Nizam Özen⁴, ✉ İlknur Kozanoğlu²; On Behalf of the Turkish Society of Hematology's Donor Research Team (DART)

¹Karadeniz Technical University School of Medicine, Department of Medical History and Ethics, Trabzon, Turkey

²Başkent University Faculty of Medicine, Adult Bone Marrow Transplant Unit, Adana, Turkey

³Ümraniye Training and Research Hospital, Division of Hematology, İstanbul, Turkey

⁴University of Health Sciences Turkey, Antalya Training and Research Hospital, Division of Hematology, Antalya, Turkey

To the Editor,

Donor status is an important consideration. It is possible to encounter ethical dilemmas in medical interventions that do not provide medical benefits to the donor candidate. In contemporary ethical thinking, careful evaluation of the altruistic attitude of the donor is required for human dignity. The purpose of this letter is to address bioethically appropriate approaches for pediatric or mentally deficient donor candidates.

Developing a bioethically appropriate approach to donors and striving to establish policy regulations through guidelines and other similar normative texts can alleviate the moral distress of donor candidates and transplant team professionals. While emotional distress in donor candidates is often due to practical risks, uncertainty, and family conflicts [1], for the healthcare team, it arises when it is not known how to behave morally [2]. It is important to manage emotional and moral distress when the recipient's survival depends on the donation [3].

Children are a special group with developmental, psychological, and physiological differences compared to adults [4]. Although children 18 years or younger can be accepted as donors with the approval of their legal representatives [5], this does not mean that children are left to their sole discretion for becoming donors. It is ethically appropriate to give them age-suitable information and obtain their consent with different forms prepared considering the characteristics of different age groups.

There are limited studies on how pediatric donors are affected by transplant processes, both medically and psychologically [5]. Pain, distress, and fear should be reduced and long-term separation from the parents should be carefully evaluated.

Increased self-esteem and avoidance of guilt are reported to be in the best interests of the child [6]. There are also viewpoints that find it problematic to evaluate the best interests of the child donor over the welfare of the sibling recipient. The common perspective on this issue is to focus on the self-regarding interests of the pediatric donor candidate [7]. The psychological effects of having an ill sibling on the pediatric donor are complex [7]. Accordingly, the general trend in Western countries is to provide psychological support to donor candidates [5]. However, parents may find it difficult to make decisions regarding the well-being of both the patient and the pediatric donor [8]. Therefore, a donor advocate is defined; this may be a health professional who does not treat the ill sibling, a social worker, a religious official, or a lawyer [9]. Mentally deficient donor candidates, meanwhile, should be preferred only rarely when other suitable donors cannot be found for high-risk patients. The donor candidates in this group should be given preliminary information, preferably by a psychiatrist.

It seems important to consider how to increase ethical action options without straying from the teaching of evidence-based medicine. Thus, it is necessary to recognize the ethical problems for donors and transplant teams and to develop relevant solutions. In this direction, it is recommended to organize joint workshops and panels and conduct qualitative and quantitative research with universities, specialist associations, and non-governmental organizations to determine the principles needed in practice.

Keywords: Donor selection, Clinical ethics, Malignant diseases, Benign diseases, Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation, Pediatric diseases

Anahtar Sözcükler: Verici seçimi, Klinik etik, Malign hastalıklar, Benin hastalıklar, Hematopoietik kök hücre nakli, Pediatrik hastalıklar

Authorship Contributions

Concept: C.B.; Design: C.B.; Literature Search: Ş.K, F.T., İ.N.Ö., İ.K.; Writing: Ş.K, F.T., İ.N.Ö., İ.K.

Conflict of Interest: No conflict of interest was declared by the authors.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared that this study received no financial support.

References

- Gutiérrez-Aguirre CH, Jaime-Pérez JC, de la Garza-Salazar F, Guerrero-González G, Guzmán-López A, Ruiz-Argüelles GJ, Gómez-Almaguer D, Cantú-Rodríguez OG. Moral distress: its manifestations in healthy donors during peripheral blood hematopoietic stem cell harvesting. *Transplant Cell Ther* 2021;27:853-858.
- Austin W, Rankel M, Kagan L, Bergum V, Lernermeier G. To stay or to go, to speak or stay silent, to act or not to act: moral distress as experienced by psychologists. *Ethics Behav* 2005;15:197-212.
- Begley A, Piggott S. Exploring moral distress in potential sibling stem cell donors. *Nursing Ethics* 2013;20:178-188.
- Sağlık Bakanlığı. Pediatrik Popülasyonda Yürütülen Klinik Araştırmalarda Etik Yaklaşımlara İlişkin Kılavuz. Ankara, Ministry of Health, 2015. Available at <https://www.titck.gov.tr/mevzuat/2382>.
- Türk Hematoloji Derneği. Kanıta Dayalı Hematopoietik Kök Hücre Donör Kılavuzu. İstanbul, Galenos Yayınevi, 2022. Available at <https://www.thd.org.tr/thdData/userfiles/file/DONOR-KLAVUZU-2020.pdf>.
- Pentz RD, Chan KW, Neumann JL, Champlin RE, Korbling M. Designing an ethical policy for bone marrow donation by minors and others lacking capacity. *Camb Q Health Ethics* 2004;13:149-155.
- Pulsipher MA, Nagler A, Iannone R, Nelson RM. Weighing the risks of G-CSF administration, leukopheresis, and standard marrow harvest: ethical and safety considerations for normal pediatric hematopoietic cell donors. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2006;46:422-433.
- van Walraven SM, Nicoloso-de Faveri G, Axdorph-Nygell UA, Douglas KW, Jones DA, Lee SJ, Pulsipher M, Ritchie L, Halter J, Shaw BE; WMDA Ethics and Clinical Working Groups. Family donor care management: principles and recommendations. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 2010;45:1269-1273.
- FACT-JACIE International Standards for Hematopoietic Cellular Therapy - Product Collection, Processing, and Administration. Eighth Edition, 8.1, 2021. Available at <https://www.ebmt.org/8th-edition-fact-jacie-standards>.

©Copyright 2021 by Turkish Society of Hematology
Turkish Journal of Hematology, Published by Galenos Publishing House



Address for Correspondence/Yazışma Adresi: İlknur Kozanoğlu, M.D., Başkent University Faculty of Medicine, Adult Bone Marrow Transplant Unit, Adana, Turkey
E-mail : ipamuk5@gmail.com ORCID: orcid.org/0000-0002-5268-1210

Received/Geliş tarihi: April 18, 2022
Accepted/Kabul tarihi: June 14, 2022

DOI: 10.4274/tjh.galenos.2022.2022.0172