REVIEW

DOI: 10.4274/tjh.galenos.2019.2018.0393 Turk J Hematol 2019;36:141-154

Extended Half-Life Coagulation Factors: A New Era in the Management of Hemophilia Patients

Uzatılmış Yarı Ömürlü Koagülasyon Faktörleri: Hemofili Tedavisinde Yeni Bir Dönem

🕑 Muhlis Cem Ar¹*, 🕲 Can Balkan²*, 🕲 Kaan Kavaklı²*

¹istanbul University-Cerrahpaşa, Cerrahpaşa Faculty of Medicine Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Hematology, İstanbul, Turkey
²Ege University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, Division of Hemato-Oncology, İzmir, Turkey
*Members of the Executive Board of Hemophilia Scientific Subcommittee, Turkish Society of Hematology

Abstract

Despite effective factor replacement and various treatment schedules, there remain several challenges and unmet needs in the prophylactic treatment of hemophilia limiting its adoption and thereby posing an increased risk of spontaneous bleeding. In this regard, extended half-life (EHL) recombinant factor VIII (rFVIII) and factor IX (rFIX) products promise optimal prophylaxis by decreasing the dose frequency, increasing the compliance, and improving the quality of life without compromising safety and efficacy. EHL products might lead to higher trough levels without increasing infusion frequency, or could facilitate the ability to maintain trough levels while reducing infusion frequency. This paper aims to provide a comprehensive review of the rationale for developing EHL coagulation factors and their utility in the management of hemophilia, with special emphasis on optimal techniques for half-life extension and criteria for defining EHL coagulation factors, as well as indications, efficacy, and safety issues of the currently available EHL-rFVIII and EHL-rFIX products. Potential impacts of these factors on quality of life, health economics, and immune tolerance treatment will also be discussed alongside the challenges in pharmacokinetic-driven prophylaxis and difficulties in monitoring the EHL products with laboratory assays.

Keywords: Hemophilia, Factor replacement therapy, Extended halflife products, Laboratory assays, Pharmacokinetics, Quality of life

Öz

Etkin faktör yerine koyma tedavisi ve değişik tedavi programlarına rağmen günümüzde hemofilinin profilaktik tedavisinde hala çözülmemiş sorunlar ve karşılanmamış gereksinimler vardır. Bu nedenle kanama riski ve kanamaya bağlı komplikasyonlar önemini korumaktadır. Bu bağlamda profilakside kullanılacak uzatılmış yarı ömürlü rekombinant faktör VIII ve faktör IX ürünleri tedavinin güvenlilik ve etkililiğinden ödün vermeksizin doz sıklığının azaltılması, hasta uyumunun artması ve yaşam kalitesinin düzelmesini sağlayarak optimal tedavi koşullarının oluşmasına yardımcı olabilir. Uzatılmış yarı ömürlü faktörler infüzyon sıklığını artırmadan daha yüksek çukur değerler ulaşılması veya mevcut çukur değerin daha seyrek infüzyonla idamesi konusunda önemli bir açılım sağlayabilir. Bu derlemede uzatılmış yarı ömürlü faktör konsantrelerinin geliştirilmesine neden gerek duyulduğu, hemofili tedavisindeki olası yerleri, faktör yarı ömrünü uzatmak için kullanılan teknikler ve mevcut uzatılmış yarı ömürlü faktör konsantrelerinin etkililik, güvenlilik ve endikasyonları ile ilişkili kapsamlı bilgi sunulacaktır. Ayrıca bu ürünlerin yaşam kalitesi ve sağlık ekonomisi üzerine etkileri, immün tolerans tedavisindeki verleri, farmakokinetik temelli profilaside kullanımları ile laboratuvar izleminde karşılaşılan güçlükler tartışılacaktır.

Anahtar Sözcükler: Hemofili, Faktör replasman tedavisi, Uzatılmış yarı ömürlü ürünler, Farmakokinetik, Yaşam kalitesi

Introduction

Hemophilia A and B are X-linked monogenic inborn coagulation defects that lead to deficiencies of factor VIII (FVIII) and factor IX (FIX) in approximately 1 of 5000 and 1 of 30,000 male live births, respectively [1,2,3].

The disease phenotype is characterized by recurrent spontaneous or traumatic bleeding episodes predominantly involving the weight-bearing joints, skeletal muscle, and soft tissues. Intracranial and retroperitoneal hematomas are rare but life-threatening complications of severe hemophilia [1,3]. The bleeding phenotype has been defined as "severe",

Received/Geliş tarihi: November 11, 2018 Accepted/Kabul tarihi: May 13, 2019

[©]Copyright 2019 by Turkish Society of Hematology

Turkish Journal of Hematology, Published by Galenos Publishing House

Address for Correspondence/Yazışma Adresi: Muhlis Cem AR, M.D., PhD,

İstanbul University-Cerrahpaşa, Cerrahpaşa Faculty of Medicine Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Hematology, İstanbul, Turkey

Phone : +90 212 414 30 00 E-mail : mcemar68@yahoo.com ORCID-ID: orcid.org/0000-0002-0332-9253

"moderate", and "mild" based on the level of the residual endogenous factor being <1 IU/dL, 1-5 IU/dL, and 5-40 IU/dL, respectively [4,5].

Replacement of the missing factor constitutes the mainstay of hemophilia treatment. Factor replacement is given either on demand to treat acute bleeding or prophylactically to prevent bleeding [2,6]. In severe hemophilia, recurrent bleeding, typically in the form of joint bleeds and skeletal muscle hematomas, results in progressive hemophilic arthropathy and muscle contractures, which eventually lead to irreversible joint damage, significant disability, and decreased quality of life unless treated with FVIII and FIX [4,5,6,7,8].

Regular prophylactic factor replacement to maintain circulating factor levels of >1 IU/dL (1%) has been recommended as the optimal therapy for people with severe hemophilia, based on evidence showing that prophylaxis is associated with substantial reduction in bleeding episodes and related complications and consequently with an improvement in the quality of life and life expectancy [9,10,11].

In the prophylactic setting, people with severe hemophilia A usually require intravenous injections three times a week, while those with severe hemophilia B are usually treated twice weekly, owing to the longer half-life of FIX compared to FVIII (18-20 h vs. 8-12 h) [2,12].

Due to the relatively short half-life of conventional factor concentrates, frequent intravenous administrations are required to maintain plasma factor levels above the target threshold level to avoid bleeding, and this necessitates frequent injections [13,14]. The requirement for frequent dosing not only creates venous access problems but also poses an obstacle to patient adherence and proper use and adoption of prophylaxis [15,16,17,18]. This, in turn, may lead to treatment failure, resulting in increased disability [19,20,21,22,23]. Hence, there is an unmet need for factor concentrates with longer half-lives that would allow for a more successful prophylaxis at less frequent dosing [23,24,25] and would consequently result in reduced prophylactic treatment burden for patients and caregivers.

Much effort has been devoted to the optimization of the pharmacokinetics (PKs) of recombinant factors by molecular modifications to achieve extended half-life (EHL) FVIII and FIX products [3,23,26,27]. The new-generation EHL factor concentrates are expected to facilitate the implementation of optimal prophylaxis, allowing longer treatment intervals without loss of efficacy. Treatment with EHL factors would reduce the burden of frequent intravenous interventions, enable higher adherence to treatment, and improve quality of life [4,28,29,30,31].

During the past decade numerous techniques have been invented for the development of EHL-rFVIII and -rFIX molecules, all of which principally exert their effect by decreasing the clearance of the factors. A combination of reduced proteolysis in peripheral blood, decreased renal and hepatic elimination, and decreased receptor-mediated endocytosis usually results in prolongation of the half-life of the factor [32]. Several novel EHL-rFVIII and -rFIX products have entered the market or are about to launch following the completion of their phase 3 studies [33,34,35,36,37,38,39,40,41].

This paper aims to provide a comprehensive review of the rationale for developing EHL coagulation factors and their utility in the management of hemophilia, with special emphasis on optimal techniques for half-life extension and criteria for defining EHL coagulation factors, as well as indications, efficacy, and safety issues of the currently available EHL-rFVIII and EHL-rFIX products. Potential impacts of these factors on quality of life, health economics, and immune tolerance treatment will be also be discussed alongside the challenges in PK-driven prophylaxis and difficulties in monitoring EHL products with laboratory assays.

Evolution of Factor Replacement Therapy

Management of hemophilia mainly depends on replacing the missing coagulation factor to stop (episodic or on-demand therapy) or to prevent (prophylaxis) bleeding episodes [4,5]. The concept of prophylaxis is based on early experiences with patients having mild to moderate hemophilia (factor levels >1%) who bled less frequently and rarely developed arthropathy [7]. Prophylaxis has been considered as the gold standard for the management of hemophilia as it prevents bleeding and delays development of joint damage by providing sufficient levels of the missing factor [17,42]. Several prospective studies have definitively shown the superiority of prophylaxis compared to on-demand treatment in reducing the frequency of joint bleeds and hemophilic arthropathy, and in improving the quality of life [17,43,44,45,46]. Since 1994 prophylaxis with coagulation factors has been regarded as the standard of care for the management of hemophilia. Early implementation of prophylaxis might prevent the development of arthropathy in children and might slow down the progression of established arthropathy in adults [5,47,48].

EHL Coagulation Factors: An Unmet Need

FVIII and FIX are large, complex proteins with relatively short half-lives, necessitating frequent dosing to maintain therapeutic levels. EHL coagulation factors are designed to have prolonged half-lives through some structural modifications, such as chemical alterations or fusion of the factor protein to another molecule with a longer half-life. In theory, an extended half-life product is expected to result in better adherence to treatment and improved prophylactic outcomes by allowing for less frequent injections [49].

The optimal method for half-life extension should not cause any change in the biological activity and safety of the coagulation factor [49]. The definition of a clinically relevant extension of half-life is usually based on some practical criteria such as the dosing schedule and the intended clinical application (e.g., ondemand vs prophylaxis) [49]. The lower clearance rate of EHL factors provides potential for reducing treatment burden with less frequent injections and equal or improved efficacy without increasing the overall factor consumption [14]. This allows greater flexibility for individualizing prophylaxis according to the needs of the patient, leading to better adherence and consequently improved standard of care in hemophilia [14,50].

Technologies Used for Extending the Half-Life of Recombinant Clotting Factors

The strategies to prolong the half-life of recombinant coagulation factors include *i*) covalent attachment of the coagulation factor to polyethylene glycol (PEG; PEGylation) to reduce interaction with clearance receptors; *ii*) integrating the coagulation factor with the fragmented crystallizable (Fc) portion of the immunoglobulin G1 (lgG1) molecule to divert the molecule away from lysosomal degradation to delay its clearance or *iii*) combining the coagulation factor and recombinant albumin, to rescue endocytosed proteins from the intracellular degradation pathway; and *iv*) single-chain technology for augmenting the stability of the molecule [4,19,51,52].

PEGylation

The pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties of the coagulation factors can be changed through PEGylation, which involves the covalent binding of PEG to FVIII or FIX [19]. The circulating half-life of the PEGylated factor concentrates is increased through PEGylation, which decreases the binding potential of the PEGylated proteins to their clearance receptors and consequently reduces their degradation [19,52]. Overall, PEGylation of therapeutic molecules has generally been associated with a low risk of immunogenicity [19]. The preclinical study results of the extended half-life product BAY 94-9027 suggested that this compound was significantly less immunogenic in hemophilia A mice, normal rats, and normal rabbits when compared to the un-PEGylated rFVIII. However, human data are lacking [53].

PEGylated factors have been reported to have a half-life prolongation of about 1.5-fold when compared to the standard half-life (SHL) factors. Excellent safety and efficacy data in previously treated adults and children with severe hemophilia A have been reported with EHL factors. No inhibitory antibodies have been identified to FVIII, the PEGylated product, or to PEG [4,29,33,54,55,56]. The development of anti-PEG antibodies in patients treated with other PEGylated protein products has been reported, which led the FDA to recommend the screening of anti-PEG antibodies in all subjects receiving experimental PEGylated therapeutics, as well as the evaluation of the potential roles of these antibodies on efficacy and safety [57,58,59].

Fusion Protein Technology (Fc Fusion and Albumin Fusion)

Fusion protein technology involves genetic fusion with a protein that has a particularly long half-life, such as immunoglobulins (Fc fusion) or albumin [28,60,61]. Albumin and IgG are naturally occurring proteins with long half-lives (exceeding 20 days) and account for about 80% of the proteins in plasma, making them useful tools for fusion protein technologies [52]. The prolonged half-lives of albumin and IgG are a result of neonatal Fc receptor (FcRn)-mediated recycling, a naturally occurring recycling pathway that prolongs the half-lives of various proteins by diverting them away from lysosomal degradation, which leads to delayed clearance and extended functional plasma half-lives [19,49,52].

Albumin fusion technology has recently been utilized for the prolongation of the half-life of rFIX [28]. The mean half-life extension of rFVIII-Fc is about 1.5-fold that of SHL-FVIII [4,29]. The modest increase in the half-life of FVIII when attached to albumin as compared with the fivefold half-life extension seen with FIX when fused with Fc has been considered to be mainly due to the interaction of FVIII with von Willebrand factor (VWF) as the main regulator of FVIII clearance [54,62].

The long-term efficacy and safety of rFVIII-Fc in the management of bleeding and prophylaxis of previously treated patients (PTPs) with severe hemophilia A have been confirmed by several studies, including pivotal phase III trials (A-LONG) done in adults and adolescents aged >12 years, the Kids A-LONG trial performed in children aged \leq 12 years, and ASPIRE, a recently completed extension study [4,34,63,64].

Single-Chain Technology

Human FVIII is a heterodimeric structure consisting of a heavy chain (A1-A2-B domains) and a light chain (A3-C1-C2 domains) attached to each other by noncovalent bonds, which makes it relatively unstable and easily dissociable to inactivated FVIII chains [4,23,49]. A novel recombinant single-chain FVIII (rVIII-SingleChain) has been engineered, in which the heavy and light chains are covalently bound through a truncated B domain [4,65,66]. This single-chain design has been reported to yield a more stable and homogeneous product, with increased binding affinity for VWF and improved PKs relative to the full-length rFVIII, potentially prolonging the half-life of FVIII [4,23,29]. Although rVIII-SingleChain was well tolerated in clinical studies and did not lead to the development of inhibitory antibodies,

the extension of the half-life using this technology was modest, being 1.1- to 1.4-fold of the original FVIII half-life [65,66,67].

Currently Available EHL-rFIX and EHL-rFVIII Products

Three EHL-rFIX products have completed phase 3 clinical studies and are licensed for adolescent and adult patients [26,41], including rFIXFc (Alprolix, Sobi, Stockholm, Sweden; Bioverativ, a Sanofi company, Waltham, MA, USA) [37], nonacog beta pegol (N9-GP, Novo Nordisk A/S, Bagsværd, Denmark) [39], and rFIX-FP (Idelvion, CSL Behring, King of Prussia, PA, USA) [38] (Table 1).

There are four EHL-rFVIII products that have completed phase 3 clinical studies. rFVIIIFc (Elocta, Sobi, Stockholm, Sweden; Eloctate, Bioverativ, Waltham, MA, USA) [34] and octocog alfa pegol (Adynovate, BAX 855, Baxalta, Vienna, Austria) [33] are licensed in some countries. Turoctocog alfa pegol (N8-GP, Novo Nordisk A/S, Bagsværd, Denmark) [35] has completed a phase 3 study and phase 2/3 data were published for BAY 94-9027 (Jivi, Bayer Healthcare AG, Leverkusen, Germany) [56]. Finally, a B-domain-truncated single-chain rFVIII concentrate, ScrFVIII (Afstyla), has recently been licensed by CSL Behring in some countries [40]. However, this product displays a modest extension in half-life and is not regarded as an extended halflife concentrate (Table 1).

Considering the mechanisms of half-life extension in rFIX concentrates, rFIX-Fc (Alprolix) fuses the Fc immunoglobulin region with FIX, while rFIX-FP (Idelvion) combines FIX with albumin and N9-GP (Rebinyn/Refixia) is a PEGylated version of FIX. As with EHL-rFIX concentrates, EHL-rFVIII concentrates are also based on Fc fusion (rFVIIIFc, Elocta/Eloctate) or PEGylation (N8-GP; BAX 855 and BAY 94-9027). BAY 94-9027 and N8-GP

are B-domain-deleted (BDD) rFVIII, whereas BAX 855 is a full-length rFVIII [6,41,56,68] (Table 1).

The main characteristics of EHL concentrates are provided in Table 2. For all three EHL-rFIX, an unmodified rFIX protein was used and an increase in the extension of rFIX half-life (3.8fold, ranging from 2.4- to 4.8-fold) and extension in the dosing frequency for prophylaxis (ranging from 7 to 14 days) were evident when compared to the SHL-FIX [26]. Management of bleeding episodes as well as prophylactic replacement therapy with all three EHL-rFIX products have been reported to be successful, providing evidence for high overall hemostatic activity. Bleeding episodes could effectively be treated with 1 or 2 injections. A consistent decrease in clearance and increased area under the curve (AUC) as well as an increased incremental recovery were noted for all three EHL-rFIX for the same dose of 50 IU/kg of EHL-rFIX in comparison to SHL-rFIX, leading to substantial and meaningful prolongations of halflife and justifying a once weekly dosing regimen. Overall, the safety profiles of all three EHL-rFIX products were satisfactory in the adolescent and adult setting, with no signs of inhibitor development or drug-related serious adverse events (Table 2).

The half-life extension of EHL-rFVIII products is in the range of 1.4- to 1.6-fold and the annualized bleeding rates (ABRs) were below 4, ranging from 1.3 to 3.6. Bleeds were treated successfully with EHL-rFVIII, resolving with one or two injections in more than 96% of episodes. Hemostatic efficacy was rated as good or excellent in more than 90% of the bleeding episodes. No inhibitor development has been reported in clinical trials with the EHL-FVIII products (Table 2).

Data on the use of EHL products in the pediatric age group [64,69,70,71] revealed low median ABRs ranging from 1 to 3

Table 1. Currently availa	ble EHL-rFVIII an	d -rFIX cond	centrates [41].			
	Generic name	Company	Mechanism	Half-life (h)	Current status	Reference
Factor VIII - extended half	-life concentrates	•				
Elocta/eloctate (rFVIII-Fc)	Efraloctocog alfa	Bioverativ/ Sobi	Fc (IgG1) fusion to B-domain- deleted FVIII	19.0	Licensed	[34]
Adynovate (BAX 855)	Octocog alfa pegol	Shire	PEGylated to full-length FVIII, porcine sequence	14.3	Licensed	[33]
N8-GP	Turoctocog alfa pegol	Novo Nordisk	PEGylated (40 kDa) B-domain- truncated FVIII	19.0	In phase 3 trials	[35]
Jivi (BAY 94-9027)	Damoctocog alfa pegol	Bayer	PEGylated (60 kDa) B-domain- deleted FVIII	18.7	Licensed	[36]
Factor IX - extended half-	life concentrates					
Alprolix	rFIX-Fc	Bioverativ/ Sobi	Fc fusion to FIX	82.1	Licensed	[37]
Idelvion	rFIX-FP	CSL Behring	Albumin fusion to FIX	102	Licensed	[38]
Rebinyn/refixia (N9-GP)	Nonacog beta pegol	Novo Nordisk	PEGylation of FIX	96.3	Licensed	[39]
EHL-rFVIII: Extended half-life reco	mbinant factor VIII, FP: f	usion protein, PE	G: polyethylene glycol.			,

Table 2. Characteristics of El	IL-rFIX and -rFVII	ll products.				
			EHL-rFIX pr	oducts		
	rFIXFc (Alprolix) [37]		rFIX-FP (Idelvion) [39]		N9-GP (Rebinyn/Refixia) [38]	
General properties	1					
rFIX protein	Non-modified		Non-modified		Non-modified	
Half-life extension moiety	Fc portion of Ig		Recombinant human albumin		40-kDa polyethylene glycol moiety	
Linking method	Fusion of Fc to rFIX		Fusion of recombinant albumin to rFIX		Site-directed glycoPEGylation of rFIX	
Cell line	HEK cells		CHO cells		CHO cells	
Mechanism of half-life extension	FcRn recycling		FcRn recycling		Decreased renal filtration, proteolytic degradation, and receptor-mediated clearance of protein	
Half-life properties						
Mean half-life for EHL product	82.1		102		96.25	
Mean half-life for standard rFIX	33.8		24.2		19.3	
EHL-rFIX to SHL-rFIX ratio	2.4-fold		4.2 fold		4.8-fold	
Pharmacokinetic/dynamic properties	rFIXFc (Alprolix)		rFIX-FP (Idelvion)		N9-GP (Rebinyn/Refixia)	
Dose used, IU/kg	50		50		40	-
Area under the curve (AUC), h-IU/dL	3664		7176		14,130	-
Clearance, mL/kg	0.74		0.77		0.42	-
Incremental recovery, IU/dL or IU/kg	0.92		1.27		2	-
Efficacy	rFIXFc (Alprolix)		rFIX-FP (Idelvion)		N9-GP (Rebinyn/Refixia)	
Dose, IU/kg	50	100	40	75	10	40
Dosing frequency, days	Every 7 days	Every 10/14 days	Every 7 days	Every 14 days	Every 7 days	Every 7 days
Number of participants	61	26	40	21	30	29
Annualized bleeding rate, median (IQR)	3.0 (1.0, 4.4)	1.4 (0.0, 3.4)	0.0 (0.0, 1.87)	1.08 (0.0, 2.7)	2.93 (0.9, 6.0)	1.0 (0.0, 4.0)
No. of injections required for bleed resolution	1-2		1-2		1-2	
Overall hemostatic efficacy, %	97.2		96.7		97.1	
Safety profile	rFIXFc (Alprolix)		rFIX-FP (Idelvion)		N9-GP (Rebinyn/Refixia)	
No. of patients with inhibitors	0		0		0	
No. of patients with non- inhibitor antibodies	3		0		3	
No. of deaths, thromboembolism	0		0		0	
No. of drug-related serious adverse events	0		0		0	
No. of drug-unrelated serious adverse events	11		3		4	

	EHL-rFVIII products						
	BAY 94-9027 (Jivi) [35]	BAX 855 (Adynovate) [36]	rFVIIIFc (Elocta/Eloctate) [34]	N8-GP [33]			
General properties							
rFIX/rFVIII protein	B-domain-deleted rFVIII	Full-length rFVIII	B-domain-deleted rFVIII	B-domain-deleted rFVIII			
Half-life extension moiety	60-kDa PEG molecule	2x20-kDa PEG molecule	Fc portion of Ig	40-kDa PEG molecule			
Linking method							
Cell line	ВНК	СНО	НЕК	СНО			
Mechanism of half-life extension	Decreased renal filtration, proteolytic degradation, and receptor-mediated clearance	Decreased renal filtration, proteolytic degradation, and receptor- mediated clearance	Neonatal Fc receptor recycling	Decreased renal filtration, proteolytic degradation, and receptor-mediated clearance			
Half-life properties		1					
Mean half-life for EHL product	18.7	14.3	19.0	19.0			
Min-max half-life	13.7-28.1	14.3-16.0	17.1-21.1	11.6-27.3			
Fold increase in half-life	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.6			
Efficacy		I	I				
Bleeds resolved with 1 injection, %	-	85.4	87.3	84			
Bleeds resolved with 1 or 2 injections, %	-	95.9	97.8	96			
ABR on prophylaxis, median	2.88 (once weekly)	1.9 (twice weekly)	1.6 (every 3-5 days) [34]	1.3 (q4 days)			
Overall hemostatic efficacy, %	>90	>90	>90	>90			
Safety		1	•	1			
No. of patients with non- inhibitor antibodies	-	-	-	-			
	Pharmacokinetics of EHL-rFVIII and -rFIX products in children						
	Half-life		Dosing	Reference			
rFVIIIFc	14.9 (in 6-12 year olds), 12.7 (<6 year olds)		Twice weekly with 25 IU/kg on day 1 and 50 IU/kg on day 4	[65]			
rFIXFc	70.3 h (6-12 year olds), 66.5 (<6 year olds)		50-60 IU/kg once weekly	[70]			
rFIX-FP	91 h		50 IU/kg once weekly	[71]			
N9-GP	76.3 h (6-12 year olds), 69.6 (<6 year olds)		40 IU/kg once weekly	[69]			

annualized bleeding rate.

bleeds, with no major difference between the products and no inhibitor development [26]. The modest prolongation achieved in the half-life of the FVIII products achieved through extension techniques could only reduce the treatment frequency to twice weekly.

The safety and efficacy as well as the PK profile of rVIII-SingleChain have been studied within the framework of a clinical trial program called AFFINITY, consisting of a series of phase I/III studies [29,66,72]. Preliminary data from this program showed excellent/good hemostatic efficacy in both prophylactic and episodic treatment with a good safety profile. No inhibitor development has been reported to date with rVIII-SingleChain [72]. PK analysis showed a favorable PK profile for rVIII-SingleChain compared to full-length rFVIII, though the half-life was relatively shorter and the clearance relatively higher in the pediatric group [73].

Criteria for Classifying a Replacement Factor as an EHL Product

The advent of EHL recombinant factors has been an important evolution in concentrate manufacturing, providing a new treatment tool for individualized hemophilia care [41,74,75]. However, as is the case with every new treatment option that enters the market, the introduction of EHL coagulation factors has also raised concerns regarding their optimal utility to provide the best possible outcome for each patient [52].

Ideally, EHL recombinant factors should allow reduced dosing frequency with retention of hemostatic efficacy compared to SHL recombinant factors for the majority of patients [76]. However, the current literature does not provide clarity regarding the definition of EHL and SHL products [76].

Given the tight non-covalent association of FVIII with VWF in the circulation, which imposes a biological limit on the extension of the half-life of FVIII beyond that of VWF, the EHL-rFVIII products have not had an equally substantial improvement in half-life as observed with EHL-rFIX products [76,77]. EHL-rFIX products demonstrated a 3- to 5-fold increase in half-life compared to standard FIX concentrates, providing a clear threshold for differentiating the EHL products from the standard ones [76,78].

However, this is not always the case for FVIII products. In a modeling study designed to identify the minimum half-life extension ratio required for a reduction in dosing frequency while maintaining the proportion of patients with plasma rFVIII levels >1 IU/dL with no increase in the total weekly dose, the authors found that a meaningful reduction in the infusion burden of an EHL-rFVIII product (relative to a standard rFVIII) is only possible when the half-life extension ratio is \geq 1.3 [79]. In addition, it has been suggested that both the AUC ratio and the half-life ratio should be used to provide sufficient PK evidence for a solid definition of EHL [76]. Accordingly, "EHL-rFVIII" designation requires the fulfillment of the following 3 criteria: i) the product should be designed and engineered with relevant technology used to extend the circulating biological half-life; ii) the difference from the SHL-rFVIII comparator should be demonstrated for the majority of patients according to the proposed "bio-difference" criterion based on the lower limit of the 90% CI for the AUC ratio being above the FDA/EMA cut-off for bioequivalence (1.25 or 125%); and iii) a half-life ratio of 1.3 or higher, based on modeling, should be achieved [76,79].

BAX 855 and rFVIIIFc have been reported to clearly comply with all 3 of these criteria, while rFVIII-SingleChain failed to fulfill the criteria since it cannot be fully differentiated from the standard rFVIII (Advate®), based on the 90% Cls for the AUC ratio extending below 1.25 and a half-life extension ratio of 1.09 when compared to Advate®. This suggests that rFVIII-SingleChain may behave like standard rFVIII in some patients despite its modified PK characteristics [76]. Although there are some limitations imposed by the different study designs and reporting, current evidence suggests that both BAY 94-9027 and N8-GP fulfill the criteria for EHL-rFVIII, signifying that they should be classified as EHL-rFVIII products [76].

Definition and classification are always of help for a better understanding of the potential benefits and limitations of recombinant factor products. However, one should never forget that these cannot substitute for careful clinical monitoring of patients, including measurement of rFVIII levels and individual PK profiles.

Indications and Utility of EHL: Switching from SHL Factors to EHL Factors

The published phase I-III studies on prophylaxis with EHLrFVIII and -rFIX products revealed improved PK profiles with prolonged half-lives ranging from 1.2- to 1.5-fold for FVIII and 3- to 5-fold for FIX [19]. EHL products were shown to be well tolerated with no inhibitor development in the PTP population. They were efficacious in the treatment and prevention of bleeding episodes with the potential to reduce the infusion load and to achieve higher trough levels [19,74,76].

The market availability of effective EHL products with the potential of reducing infusion frequency will inevitably induce a transition from SHL to EHL factor concentrates, in both episodic treatment and prophylactic settings. Extension of half-life might lead to higher trough levels without increasing infusion frequency, or could facilitate the ability to maintain trough levels while reducing infusion frequency. Either of these strategies could be implemented to improve outcomes, depending on the characteristics of the patient [74,75]. In a study using dosing simulations to investigate potential clinical outcomes via different prophylactic regimens with rFVIIIFc and rFVIII, the authors suggested that patients with different needs might benefit in different ways from transitioning from rFVIII to rFVIIIFc [14]. A high correlation of PK data between rFVIIIFc and rFVIII was also noted with a one-third lower average clearance for rFVIIIFc, which could be useful for adjusting doses in the case of a transition between the two products [14].

Accordingly, "standardized" (dose and interval fixed to once weekly for FIX and twice weekly for FVIII), "PK-driven" (dosed to a target trough, fixed interval), "phenotype-driven" (variable dose and interval according to bleeding pattern and activity), and "convenience-driven" (higher dose, longer interval) strategies have been used for the prophylaxis regimens in pivotal clinical trial programs [19].

There might be a concern regarding inhibitor development when switching between different FVIII concentrates as product type is one of treatment-related factors for inhibitor development [77]. Recent real-world data on EHL factor concentrates are in support of the data obtained from previous clinical studies with these products in PTPs, stating that no inhibitor formation was observed in patients who switched from conventional factor VIII or IX replacement to treatment with EHL-rFVIII or -rFIX [78,79,80]. In non-adherent patients, switching to a standardized prophylaxis regimen with EHL factors (once or twice weekly) has been associated with a successful treatment outcome leading to trough levels sufficient to suppress target joint bleeding [19]. Patients who were bleeding under conventional rFVIII treatment have been shown to benefit both from improved bleeding control and reduced injection frequency when switched to rFVIIIFc prophylaxis with similar prophylactic factor consumption [14,84]. Thus, the same total weekly prophylactic dose might be given initially, in divided daily doses, twice weekly instead of 3 times a week when switching from SHL-FVIII to rFVIIIFc. Thereafter, the dose and dosing interval can be adjusted depending on the patient's clinical needs [14].

Accordingly, data from the ALONG trial showed that 30% of hemophilia A patients in the individualized prophylaxis arm achieved 5-day dosing intervals in the last 3 months of the study [34]. In the ASPIRE trial, the phase 3b extension study, interim data revealed that further prolongation of dosing intervals to 7-day intervals was possible in 2 of the 33 patients who were on twice weekly dosing and 10 of 37 who were on every-5day dosing in the ALONG study [59]. Overall, median ABRs were lower with rFVIIIFc prophylaxis (individualized prophylaxis: 0.66, weekly prophylaxis: 2.03; modified prophylaxis: 1.97) as compared with on-demand treatment (18.36) [63].

A 30% lower total weekly dose of rFVIIIFc has been shown to be likely to give the same FVIII exposure considering that rFVIIIFc has a 30% lower clearance when compared to rFVIII [14]. Based on this, one can conclude that patients on prophylactic treatment who are well controlled with a conventional FVIII product might maintain the same level of bleeding control while benefiting from reduced injection frequency and decreased prophylactic factor consumption when switched to EHL-rFVIII. However, this has to be further investigated in clinical trials.

While the primary focus has been the potential use of EHL factor concentrates in patients with severe hemophilia, the utility of EHL products for the management of bleeding in patients with mild and moderate deficiencies has also been considered [52]. Further support with real-life data on the potential advantages of EHL factor concentrates may enable identifying the needs and characteristics of individual patients and the difference in the behavior of SHL-FVIII products as compared to EHL products. This may help guide clinicians when switching hemophilia A patients from conventional FVIII to EHL products.

Nonetheless, it should be noted that commenting on the comparative efficacy of new long-acting therapies is not possible due to the lack of head-to-head studies. Furthermore, it is difficult to compare different EHL products with the current clinical data since the published studies greatly vary with regard to study populations, study designs, and protocols and they evaluate outcomes using different end-points such as ABR, inhibitor development, number of breakthrough bleeds, or dosing intervals.

Current Evidence on the Impact of Quality of Life and Health Economics

Prophylaxis is considered as the gold standard for countries in which it is economically affordable [41,85,86], and it is associated with a better health-related quality of life (HRQoL) as compared to episodic treatment [87]. Furthermore, it leads to a decrease in bleeding-related hospitalization, shortens length of hospital stay, and thus reduces resource utilization [85,88].

EHL factors offer better protection from bleeding while reducing the frequency of injections and allowing trough activity levels to remain above key thresholds for longer periods relative to conventional factor products [19]. Thus, longer half-lives and reduced clearance of EHL factors are suggested to result in reduced factor consumption while maintaining higher trough levels and leading to improved protection from bleeding. This, in turn, causes a considerable reduction in hemophilia-related complications and their associated cost burden [19].

In two phase III studies done with rFVIIIFc and rFIXFc, HRQoL was assessed in adults with severe hemophilia A and B, respectively, who received prophylactic or episodic factor replacement regimens [34,37]. The post hoc analyses of these studies revealed that prophylaxis with rFVIIIFc or rFIXFc was associated with significant improvements in HRQoL (particularly in 'Physical Health' and 'Sports and Leisure' domains) over time. This has also been noted in patients who had been receiving prophylaxis with SHL-rFVIII/FIX and were switched to EHL-rFVIIIFc and -rFIXFc. Thus, EHL factor concentrates seem to further improve the HRQoL of hemophilia patients [87].

In an analysis of the potential financial consequences of introducing rFVIIIFc to a private payer system in the United States, rFVIIIFc was anticipated to have a budget impact of 1.4% across 2 years for a private payer population of 1,000,000 through reducing the ABR by approximately 3.1 bleeds per

individual patient with hemophilia A [85]. The total population budget was predicted to decrease for episodic treatment with the introduction of rFVIIIFc based on the lower factor consumption data observed in the ASPIRE trial [63,85].

For prophylaxis, the cost per bleed avoided after the introduction of rFVIIIFc was estimated to be 1974\$ in year 1 and 1808\$ in year 2, while the small decrease in cost per bleed avoided over time was considered to be associated with the likelihood of patients uncontrolled on a fixed prophylaxis regimen to switch to an individualized regimen in year 2, resulting in a more efficient use of factor therapy [85].

In the scenario without rFVIIIFc, the annual cost for the management of the estimated annual 388 bleeding episodes was 2,044,868\$, which equates to each bleeding episode costing approximately 5270\$. The cost per bleed avoided with rFVIIIFc on the market was approximately 1891\$, indicating that prophylaxis with rFVIIIFc provides good value for money in the prevention of joint bleeds and related comorbidities [85].

The introduction of rFVIIIFc to a private payer system is anticipated to have a minimal budget impact while reducing the ABR, alongside a potential for reduced dosing schedule required for rFVIIIFc and reduction in total factor consumption, facilitating adherence to prophylaxis regimens with a likely positive impact on patient quality of life and economic burden [85].

Role of EHL Factors in Immune Tolerance Treatment

Occurring in up to 30% of patients with severe hemophilia A, the development of alloantibodies (inhibitors) directed against FVIII is the most serious complication of replacement therapy [2], leading to treatment failure, preventing patients from receiving long-term prophylaxis, and exposing them to an increased risk of mortality, morbidity, and disability [2,6,89].

The current management of patients with inhibitor development involves treating acute bleeding with agents that bypass the need for FVIII or FIX, i.e. activated prothrombin complex concentrate or activated recombinant factor FVII, and using immune tolerance induction (ITI) in order to eradicate the inhibitory antibodies [89]. Several protocols of ITI have been released with overall success rates of about 70% [89,90].

While several meta-analyses failed to demonstrate a significant difference of inhibitor development in patients treated with recombinant FVIII compared to plasma-derived products, a randomized prospective study revealed that the use of recombinant products in previously untreated patients (PUPs) was associated with a 1.8 times greater risk of inhibitor development compared to plasma-derived products [91]. There is no published study to date presenting definitive results

with novel EHL concentrates in PUPs, while all the studies in PTPs showed an excellent safety profile with substantially no inhibitor development after switching to novel products [6]. Nonetheless, at the immunogenicity level, so far, available data from PTP clinical trials suggest that EHL factors are safe, with no increased risk for inhibitor development [34,64,89].

A potential role for EHL factors for the induction of immune tolerance has also been suggested [19,52]. A series of case reports regarding the use of EHL-rFVIII in ITI described the successful treatment of children with severe hemophilia A and high-titer inhibitor using different doses of rFVIIIFc in ITI ranging from 50 to 200 IU/kg per dose [92,93]. Hence, with no reports of any EHL factors causing inhibitor formation in the initial clinical trials and lack of randomized trials in PUPs and in ITI, the rationale of safely using rFVIIIFc should depend on case reports and strong laboratory data [52,89]. The available evidence encourages the consideration of the use of rFVIIIFc to eradicate inhibitors, particularly in refractory patients and those with a high-risk profile (i.e. those with a family history of failure of ITI with standard factors or history of a high-peak inhibitor) [52,89].

Challenges in Pharmacokinetic-Guided Prophylaxis with EHL Products

Prophylactic dosing in severe hemophilia is generally tailored according to the individual needs of the patients. Tailoring of treatment has been guided by either clinical bleeding phenotype or individual PKs of a particular factor concentrate in a patient [19].

PK-tailored prophylaxis was shown to have superior hemostatic efficacy compared to on-demand treatment, along with decreased factor consumption [17]. PK-tailored dosing, explored in several of the phase 3 clinical trials with EHL factors, was associated with good efficacy in bleeding control in these prospective studies [19]. Hence, all licensed EHL products recommend tailoring the dose to the individual patient's PK response, since standardized dosing may result in patients being undertreated if factor clearance is higher than expected [41].

Individualized PK-based dosing is considered as an alternative option for maintaining a predetermined factor trough level [94,95]. However, given the burden and cost of frequent blood sampling required for personalized PK assessment and the likelihood of a lack of ready access to the expertise required for such evaluations, the current prescribing information for the available EHL-FVIII products does not include individualized PKbased dosing [94], while the recommended fixed dose is based on individualized PK-guided dosing in some clinical trials [94]. Unlike hemophilia A, PK-guided prophylaxis has limited value in most adult patients with hemophilia B on standard FIX products [96,97] and PK-guided dosing strategies for EHL-rFIX products is considered likely to be challenging due to the inter-individual variability and complexity of FIX PKs and the uncertainty regarding the optimal sampling time that best accounts for a prolonged half-life [98]. Accordingly, population-based PK estimation with reduced plasma sampling is considered a more practical and less expensive PK-based estimate of factor requirements than an individualized approach in both hemophilia A and hemophilia B [94,98].

In addition, there are several challenges with PK-guided prophylaxis when EHL factor concentrates are used [99]. In contrast to available conventional FVIII products, which present approximately the same PK characteristics enabling similar treatment outcome when used interchangeably, EHL products demonstrate unique PKs resulting in different dose and dosing interval requirements and consequently variable treatment outcome [14]. Long-term outcome data are also lacking for using low ABR targets as a surrogate for preserved joint health in prophylaxis [19,100].

Given the unpredictable impact of bioengineered products on individual patients, increased knowledge on the PK parameters of new anti-hemophilic molecules with prolonged half-lives will improve tailored prophylaxis based on individual needs and PK characteristics, offering new possibilities for effective prophylaxis [17,19]. Population-based PK models using EHL factors will be available in the near future for routine clinical use to help guide PK tailoring [19].

Challenges in Monitoring Treatment Via Laboratory Assays

Monitoring factor levels through laboratory assays is an important part of ensuring patient safety during hemophilia management. Commonly used laboratory assays for measuring FVIII or FIX activity may not be the optimal method for some EHL-rFVIII or -rFIX products, such as those modified through PEGylation or fusion to albumin or immunoglobulin [68,101].

While measurement of recombinant coagulation factor concentrates has always been complicated by the discordance between the measurements carried out with different types of assays [68], the molecular modifications applied to extend the half-lives of clotting factors lead to additional novel interactions with the reagents [68] and create new challenges for laboratories, especially those using one-stage assays to assess therapeutic efficacy [101]. Although it differs between different EHL products to what extent the accuracies of laboratory assays are affected (i.e. how well assay monitoring works) [68], the heterogeneity in assay monitoring is considered to be associated with clinically significant over- or underestimation of plasma factor concentration, which might have an adverse impact on the management of patients and might result in an unnecessary search for inhibitor antibodies [68,101]. Apart from the molecular modifications done to prolong the half-lives of the clotting factors, various properties of the original molecules themselves, as well as those of the assays, along with the use of different calibration methods contribute to the discrepancies in determining the plasma factor activity levels [68,102].

Chromogenic assays show less variability than one-stage assays in the measurement of FVIII activity levels, possibly due to a restricted choice of available assay kits and reagents [103,104]. Comparison among assays used for characterization of potency for various modified rFVIII products indicates that the results of chromogenic assays are more reliable across different kits [23,101,103,105].

Hence, chromogenic assays are considered as the assays of choice for monitoring patients treated with several EHL-rFVIII or -rFIX concentrates. However, there are several challenges associated with the implementation of chromogenic assays in routine clinical laboratory practice, including increased expenses and technical complexity as well as the higher inter-laboratory variability at very low factor levels when compared to one-stage assays [68,103,106].

Concordance between assays used in laboratories and by pharmaceutical companies to measure the potency of a product, effective communication between the laboratories and the clinicians, and conveyance of relevant information by companies for correct monitoring of their products to both local laboratories and clinicians are essential for proper monitoring [68,101]. Additional laboratory and clinical studies are required for optimization and standardization of the laboratory assays to correctly measure and monitor EHL concentrates [68].

Conclusion

EHL factor concentrates have been shown to be well tolerated, safe, and efficacious in the treatment and prevention of bleeding episodes in people with hemophilia. These concentrates have the potential to induce higher trough levels with less frequent injections; thus, they reduce the infusion burden and facilitate adherence to prophylactic regimens [19,76,85]. Moreover, significant improvements in HRQoL have been shown with EHL factors in a large proportion of subjects, including those who have been on prophylaxis with SHL products. EHL products seem to have filled this gap by increasing adherence and further improving the HRQoL of hemophilia patients [87]. In addition to that, the usage of EHL factors in PTPs has not been associated with increased inhibitor formation. Results of clinical studies in PUPs and in the setting of ITI are eagerly awaited. However, available data with rFVIIIFc encourage the use of EHL products in ITI protocols to eradicate inhibitors, particularly in refractory patients and those with high-risk profiles [52,89].

Given the evolutions in the treatment of hemophilia in recent years with the advent of multiple non-replacement treatment options entering the market, clinicians and patients now face the prospect of having a variety of choices for individualizing treatments according to their needs [41]. EHL-rFIX and -rFVIII products have already become important alternatives in improving hemophilia care in clinical practice, while issues like the potential impact of different mechanisms of half-life prolongation on longterm safety (e.g., fusion technology versus PEGylation), cost-effectiveness, and immunogenicity in PUPs are yet to be clarified [29]. EHL factor concentrates will very soon be challenged by alternative products, including subcutaneous non-factor treatments like emicizumab or fitusiran and gene therapy. Exciting developments are about to occur in the near future of hemophilia treatment and we have to wait until all the battle lines are drawn and new options fall into place before discussing which one is optimal.

Acknowledgment

The manuscript was prepared by the Hemophilia Scientific Subcommittee of the Turkish Society of Hematology. Sobi has provided financial support to the Turkish Society of Hematology to cover costs for editorial assistance, but has not influenced the content.

Ethics

Ethics Committee Approval: This manuscript is a review of the literature and therefore does not require an approval from the ethics committee.

Informed Consent: This manuscript is a review of the literature and not a clinical trial. We therefore did not obtain informed consent.

Authorship Contributions

Concept: M.C.A., C.B., K.K.; Design: M.C.A.; Analysis or Interpretation: M.C.A., C.B., K.K.; Literature Search: M.C.A., C.B., K.K.; Writing: M.C.A.

Conflict of Interest: No conflict of interest was declared by the authors.

Financial Disclosure: There are no financial conflicts of interest to disclose except that Sobi has provided a non-restricted medical grant to the Turkish Society of Hematology to cover the expenses of editorial assistance.

References

- 1. Mannucci PM, Tuddenham EG. The hemophilias--from royal genes to gene therapy. N Engl J Med 2001;344:1773-1779.
- Bolton-Maggs PH, Pasi KJ. Haemophilias A and B. Lancet 2003;361:1801-1809.

- 3. Arruda VR, Doshi BS, Samelson–Jones BJ. Emerging therapies for hemophilia: controversies and unanswered questions. F1000Res 2018:7.
- 4. Balkaransingh P, Young G. Novel therapies and current clinical progress in hemophilia A. Ther Adv Hematol 2018;9:49–61.
- Srivastava A, Brewer AK, Mauser-Bunschoten EP, Key NS, Kitchen S, Llinas A, Ludlam CA, Mahlangu JN, Mulder K, Poon MC, Street A; Treatment Guidelines Working Group on Behalf of the World Federation of Hemophilia. Guidelines for the management of hemophilia. Haemophilia 2013;19:1-47.
- Castaman G, Linari S. Pharmacokinetic drug evaluation of recombinant factor VIII for the treatment of hemophilia A. Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol 2018;14:143-151.
- Ar MC, Vaide I, Berntorp E, Björkman S. Methods for individualising factor VIII dosing in prophylaxis. Eur J Haematol Suppl 2014;76:16-20.
- Klamroth R, Pollmann H, Hermans C, Faradji A, Yarlas AS, Epstein JD, Ewenstein BM. The relative burden of haemophilia A and the impact of target joint development on health-related quality of life: results from the ADVATE Post-Authorization Safety Surveillance (PASS) study. Haemophilia 2011;17:412-421.
- Manco-Johnson MJ, Abshire TC, Shapiro AD, Riske B, Hacker MR, Kilcoyne R, Ingram JD, Manco-Johnson ML, Funk S, Jacobson L, Valentino LA, Hoots WK, Buchanan GR, DiMichele D, Recht M, Brown D, Leissinger C, Bleak S, Cohen A, Mathew P, Matsunaga A, Medeiros D, Nugent D, Thomas GA, Thompson AA, McRedmond K, Soucie JM, Austin H, Evatt BL. Prophylaxis versus episodic treatment to prevent joint disease in boys with severe hemophilia. N Engl J Med 2007;357:535-544.
- Darby SC, Kan SW, Spooner RJ, Giangrande PL, Hill FG, Hay CR, Lee CA, Ludlam CA, Williams M. Mortality rates, life expectancy, and causes of death in people with hemophilia A or B in the United Kingdom who were not infected with HIV. Blood 2007;110:815-825.
- Löfqvist T, Nilsson IM, Berntorp E, Pettersson H. Haemophilia prophylaxis in young patients - a long-term follow-up. J Intern Med 1997;241:395-400.
- Ljung R. Aspects of prophylactic treatment of hemophilia. Thromb J 2016;14(Suppl 1):30.
- 13. Carcao M. Changing paradigm of prophylaxis with longer acting factor concentrates. Haemophilia 2014;20(Suppl 4):99-105.
- Berntorp E, Negrier C, Gozzi P, Blaas PM, Lethagen S. Dosing regimens, FVIII levels and estimated haemostatic protection with special focus on rFVIIIFc. Haemophilia 2016;22:389-396.
- Roosendaal G, Lafeber F. Prophylactic treatment for prevention of joint disease in hemophilia - cost versus benefit. N Engl J Med 2007;357:603-605.
- Brown SA, Aledort LM, Astermark J, Berntorp E, van den Berg M, Blanchette V, Donfield S, Gringeri A, Hilgartner M, Kulkarni R, Leissinger C, Negrier C, Nuss R, Petterson H, Petrini P, Poulios N, Schramm W; Round Table Group. Unresolved issues in prophylaxis. Haemophilia 2002;8:817-821.
- Dargaud Y, Delavenne X, Hart DP, Meunier S, Mismetti P. Individualized PKbased prophylaxis in severe haemophilia. Haemophilia 2018;24(Suppl 2):3-17.
- Hacker MR, Geraghty S, Manco-Johnson M. Barriers to compliance with prophylaxis therapy in haemophilia. Haemophilia 2001;7:392-396.
- 19. Pipe SW. New therapies for hemophilia. Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program 2016;2016:650–656.
- 20. Sheridan C. Hemophilia market awaits next-generation therapies. Nat Biotechnol 2011;29:960.
- 21. Fischer K, Van Den Berg M. Prophylaxis for severe haemophilia: clinical and economical issues. Haemophilia 2003;9:376-381.
- 22. Berntorp E. Joint outcomes in patients with haemophilia: the importance of adherence to preventive regimens. Haemophilia 2009;15:1219-1227.

- Graf L. Extended half-life factor VIII and factor IX preparations. Transfus Med Hemother 2018;45:86-91.
- von Mackensen S, Kalnins W, Krucker J, Weiss J, Miesbach W, Albisetti M, Pabinger I, Oldenburg J. Haemophilia patients' unmet needs and their expectations of the new extended half-life factor concentrates. Haemophilia 2017;23:566-574.
- Zollner SB, Raquet E, Müller-Cohrs J, Metzner HJ, Weimer T, Pragst I, Dickneite G, Schulte S. Preclinical efficacy and safety of rVIII-SingleChain (CSL627), a novel recombinant single-chain factor VIII. Thromb Res 2013;132:280-287.
- Young G, Mahlangu JN. Extended half-life clotting factor concentrates: results from published clinical trials. Haemophilia 2016;22(Suppl 5):25–30.
- Arruda VR, Doshi BS, Samelson-Jones BJ. Novel approaches to hemophilia therapy: successes and challenges. Blood 2017;130:2251-2256.
- Schulte S. Challenges for new haemophilia products from a manufacturer's perspective. Thromb Res 2014;134(Suppl 1):72-76.
- 29. Mancuso ME, Santagostino E. Outcome of clinical trials with new extended half-life FVIII/IX concentrates. J Clin Med 2017:6.
- Tiede A. Half-life extended factor VIII for the treatment of hemophilia A. J Thromb Haemost 2015;13(Suppl 1):176-179.
- Coppola A, Di Capua M, Di Minno MN, Di Palo M, Marrone E, Ieranò P, Arturo C, Tufano A, Cerbone AM. Treatment of hemophilia: a review of current advances and ongoing issues. J Blood Med 2010;1:183-195.
- 32. Kontermann RE. Strategies for extended serum half-life of protein therapeutics. Curr Opin Biotechnol 2011;22:868–876.
- Konkle BA, Stasyshyn O, Chowdary P, Bevan DH, Mant T, Shima M, Engl W, Dyck-Jones J, Fuerlinger M, Patrone L, Ewenstein B, Abbuehl B. Pegylated, full-length, recombinant factor VIII for prophylactic and on-demand treatment of severe hemophilia A. Blood 2015;126:1078-1085.
- 34. Mahlangu J, Powell JS, Ragni MV, Chowdary P, Josephson NC, Pabinger I, Hanabusa H, Gupta N, Kulkarni R, Fogarty P, Perry D, Shapiro A, Pasi KJ, Apte S, Nestorov I, Jiang H, Li S, Neelakantan S, Cristiano LM, Goyal J, Sommer JM, Dumont JA, Dodd N, Nugent K, Vigliani G, Luk A, Brennan A, Pierce GF; A-LONG Investigators. Phase 3 study of recombinant factor VIII Fc fusion protein in severe hemophilia A. Blood 2014;123:317-325.
- 35. Lentz SR, Misgav M, Ozelo M, Salek SZ, Veljkovic D, Recht M, Cerqueira M, Tiede A, Brand B, Mancuso ME, Seremetis S, Lindblom A, Martinowitz U. Results from a large multinational clinical trial (guardian[™]1) using prophylactic treatment with turoctocog alfa in adolescent and adult patients with severe haemophilia A: safety and efficacy. Haemophilia 2013;19:691-697.
- Boggio LN, Hong W, Wang M, Eyster ME, Michaels LA. Bleeding phenotype with various bay 94-9027 dosing regimens: subanalyses from the PROTECT VIII study. Blood 2014;124:1526.
- 37. Powell JS, Pasi KJ, Ragni MV, Ozelo MC, Valentino LA, Mahlangu JN, Josephson NC, Perry D, Manco-Johnson MJ, Apte S, Baker RI, Chan GC, Novitzky N, Wong RS, Krassova S, Allen G, Jiang H, Innes A, Li S, Cristiano LM, Goyal J, Sommer JM, Dumont JA, Nugent K, Vigliani G, Brennan A, Luk A, Pierce GF; B-LONG Investigators. Phase 3 study of recombinant factor IX Fc fusion protein in hemophilia B. N Engl J Med 2013;369:2313-2323.
- Santagostino E, Martinowitz U, Lissitchkov T, Pan-Petesch B, Hanabusa H, Oldenburg J, Boggio L, Negrier C, Pabinger I, von Depka Prondzinski M, Altisent C, Castaman G, Yamamoto K, Álvarez-Roman MT, Voigt C, Blackman N, Jacobs I; PROLONG-9FP Investigators Study Group. Longacting recombinant coagulation factor IX albumin fusion protein (rIX-FP) in hemophilia B: results of a phase 3 trial. Blood 2016;127:1761-1769.
- Collins PW, Young G, Knobe K, Karim FA, Angchaisuksiri P, Banner C, Gürsel T, Mahlangu J, Matsushita T, Mauser-Bunschoten EP, Oldenburg J, Walsh CE, Negrier C; Paradigm 2 Investigators. Recombinant long-acting glycoPEGylated factor IX in hemophilia B: a multinational randomized phase 3 trial. Blood 2014;124:3880-3886.

- Schmidbauer S, Witzel R, Robbel L, Sebastian P, Grammel N, Metzner HJ, Schulte S. Physicochemical characterisation of rVIII-SingleChain, a novel recombinant single-chain factor VIII. Thromb Res 2015;136:388-395.
- 41. Ling G, Nathwani AC, Tuddenham EGD. Recent advances in developing specific therapies for haemophilia. Br J Haematol 2018;181:161-172.
- Berntorp E, Shapiro AD. Modern haemophilia care. Lancet 2012;379:1447-1456.
- 43. Manco-Johnson MJ, Kempton CL, Reding MT, Lissitchkov T, Goranov S, Gercheva L, Rusen L, Ghinea M, Uscatescu V, Rescia V, Hong W. Randomized, controlled, parallel-group trial of routine prophylaxis vs. on-demand treatment with sucrose-formulated recombinant factor VIII in adults with severe hemophilia A (SPINART). J Thromb Haemost 2013;11:1119-1127.
- 44. Gringeri A, Lundin B, von Mackensen S, Mantovani L, Mannucci PM; ESPRIT Study Group. A randomized clinical trial of prophylaxis in children with hemophilia A (the ESPRIT Study). J Thromb Haemost 2011;9:700–710.
- 45. Royal S, Schramm W, Berntorp E, Giangrande P, Gringeri A, Ludlam C, Kroner B, Szucs T; European Haemophilia Economics Study Group. Quality-of-life differences between prophylactic and on-demand factor replacement therapy in European haemophilia patients. Haemophilia 2002;8:44-50.
- Aledort LM, Haschmeyer RH, Pettersson H. A longitudinal study of orthopaedic outcomes for severe factor-VIII-deficient haemophiliacs. The Orthopaedic Outcome Study Group. J Intern Med 1994;236:391-399.
- Berntorp E, Boulyjenkov V, Brettler D, Chandy M, Jones P, Lee C, Lusher J, Mannucci P, Peak I, Rickard K. Modern treatment of haemophilia. Bull World Health Organ 1995;73:691-701.
- Medical and Scientific Advisory Council (MASAC). Recommendations Concerning Prophylaxis. Medical Bulletin 193. New York, National Hemophilia Foundation, 1994.
- Schulte S. Innovative coagulation factors: albumin fusion technology and recombinant single-chain factor VIII. Thromb Res 2013;131(Suppl 2):2-6.
- Weber A, Engelmaier A, Hainzelmayer S, Minibeck E, Anderle H, Schwarz HP, Turecek PL. Development, validation, and application of a novel ligandbinding assay to selectively measure PEGylated recombinant human coagulation factor VIII (BAX 855). Bioconjug Chem 2015;26:2133-2142.
- 51. Mahdi AJ, Obaji SG, Collins PW. Role of enhanced half-life factor VIII and IX in the treatment of haemophilia. Br J Haematol 2015;169:768-776.
- Wynn TT, Gumuscu B. Potential role of a new PEGylated recombinant factor VIII for hemophilia A. J Blood Med 2016;7:121-128.
- Coyle TE, Reding MT, Lin JC, Michaels LA, Shah A, Powell J. Phase I study of BAY 94-9027, a PEGylated B-domain-deleted recombinant factor VIII with an extended half-life, in subjects with hemophilia A. J Thromb Haemost 2014;12:488-496.
- 54. Cafuir LA, Kempton CL. Current and emerging factor VIII replacement products for hemophilia A. Ther Adv Hematol 2017;8:303-313.
- Mullins ES, Stasyshyn O, Alvarez-Roman MT, Osman D, Liesner R, Engl W, Sharkhawy M, Abbuehl BE. Extended half-life pegylated, full-length recombinant factor VIII for prophylaxis in children with severe haemophilia A. Haemophilia 2017;23:238-246.
- Reding MT, Ng HJ, Poulsen LH, Eyster ME, Pabinger I, Shin HJ, Walsch R, Lederman M, Wang M, Hardtke M, Michaels LA. Safety and efficacy of BAY 94-9027, a prolonged-half-life factor VIII. J Thromb Haemost 2017;15:411-419.
- 57. Ganson NJ, Kelly SJ, Scarlett E, Sundy JS, Hershfield MS. Control of hyperuricemia in subjects with refractory gout, and induction of antibody against poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG), in a phase I trial of subcutaneous PEGylated urate oxidase. Arthritis Res Ther 2006;8:12.
- Armstrong JK, Hempel G, Koling S, Chan LS, Fisher T, Meiselman HJ, Garratty G. Antibody against poly(ethylene glycol) adversely affects PEGasparaginase therapy in acute lymphoblastic leukemia patients. Cancer 2007;110:103-111.

- Lubich C, Allacher P, De la Rosa M, Bauer A, Prenninger T, Horling FM, Siekmann J, Oldenburg J, Scheiflinger F, Reipert BM. The mystery of antibodies against polyethylene glycol (PEG) – What do we know? Pharm Res 2016;33:2239-2249.
- Andersen JT, Sandlie I. The versatile MHC class I-related FcRn protects IgG and albumin from degradation: implications for development of new diagnostics and therapeutics. Drug Metab Pharmacokinet 2009;24:318-332.
- Schulte S. Half-life extension through albumin fusion technologies. Thromb Res 2009;124(Suppl 2):6-8.
- Berntorp E. Haemophilia treatment in 2030. Haemophilia 2016;22(Suppl 5):15-19.
- Nolan B, Mahlangu J, Perry D, Young G, Liesner R, Konkle B, Rangarajan S, Brown S, Hanabusa H, Pasi KJ, Pabinger I, Jackson S, Cristiano LM, Li X, Pierce GF, Allen G. Long-term safety and efficacy of recombinant factor VIII Fc fusion protein (rFVIIIFc) in subjects with haemophilia A. Haemophilia 2016;22:72–80.
- 64. Young G, Mahlangu J, Kulkarni R, Nolan B, Liesner R, Pasi J, Barnes C, Neelakantan S, Gambino G, Cristiano LM, Pierce GF, Allen G. Recombinant factor VIII Fc fusion protein for the prevention and treatment of bleeding in children with severe hemophilia A. J Thromb Haemost 2015;13:967-977.
- 65. Stasyshyn O, Djambas Khayat C, Iosava G, Ong J, Abdul Karim F, Fischer K, Veldman A, Blackman N, St Ledger K, Pabinger I. Safety, efficacy and pharmacokinetics of rVIII-SingleChain in children with severe hemophilia A: results of a multicenter clinical trial. J Thromb Haemost 2017;15:636-644.
- 66. Mahlangu J, Kuliczkowski K, Karim FA, Stasyshyn O, Kosinova MV, Lepatan LM, Skotnicki A, Boggio LN, Klamroth R, Oldenburg J, Hellmann A, Santagostino E, Baker RI, Fischer K, Gill JC, P'Ng S, Chowdary P, Escobar MA, Khayat CD, Rusen L, Bensen-Kennedy D, Blackman N, Limsakun T, Veldman A, St Ledger K, Pabinger I; AFFINITY Investigators. Efficacy and safety of rVIII-SingleChain: results of a phase 1/3 multicenter clinical trial in severe hemophilia A. Blood 2016;128:630-637.
- 67. Klamroth R, Simpson M, von Depka-Prondzinski M, Gill JC, Morfini M, Powell JS, Santagostino E, Davis J, Huth-Kühne A, Leissinger C, Neumeister P, Bensen-Kennedy D, Feussner A, Limsakun T, Zhou M, Veldman A, St Ledger K, Blackman N, Pabinger I. Comparative pharmacokinetics of rVIII-SingleChain and octocog alfa (Advate) in patients with severe haemophilia A. Haemophilia 2016;22:730-738.
- Van den Bossche D, Peerlinck K, Jacquemin M. New challenges and best practices for the laboratory monitoring of factor VIII and factor IX replacement. Int J Lab Hematol 2018;40(Suppl 1):21–29.
- 69. Carcao M, Zak M, Karim FA, Hanabusa H, Kearney S, Lu MY, Persson P, Rangarajan S, Santagostino E. Safety, efficacy and pharmacokinetics of nonacog beta pegol (N9-GP) in prophylaxis and treatment of bleeding episodes in previously treated pediatric hemophilia B patients. Blood 2014;124:1513.
- 70. Barnes C, Fischer K, Kulkarni R. Safety, efficacy and pharmacokinetics of recombinant factor IX Fc fusion protein in children with haemophilia B (Kids BLONG). In: Haematology Society of Australia and New Zealand, the Australian & New Zealand Society of Blood Transfusion, and the Australasian Society of Thrombosis and Haemostasis Annual Scientific Meeting, 2015.
- 71. Kenet G, Chambost H, Male C, Lambert T, Álvarez-Román M, Halimeh S, Chan A, Barnes C, Chernova T, Blatny J, Mancuso M, Meunier S, Komrska V, Laws HJ, Morfini M, Curtain J, Blazek B, Voigt C, Jacobs J, Santagostino E. Efficacy, pharmacokinetics (PK) and safety results of a phase 3 clinical study of recombinant fusion protein linking coagulation factor IX with albumin (RIX-FP) in previously treated children with hemophilia B (abstract OR346). J Thromb Haemost 2015;13:227-228.
- Mahlangu J, Kuliczkowski K, Stasyshyn O, Skotricki A, Kasinova M, Kennedy DB, France N, Pabinger I; The AFFINITY Study Group. RVIII-SingleChain: results of the pivotal phase I/III PK, efficacy and safety clinical trial in adults and adolescents with severe hemophilia A. J Thromb Haemost 2015;13:86.

- Mahlangu J, Lepatan LM, Vilchevska K, Oldenburg J, Stasyshyn O, Fischer K, Iosava G, Khayat CD, Simpson M, Wang M. RVIII-singlechain pharmacokinetics in adults, adolescents and children. J Thromb Haemost 2015;13:603.
- Berntorp E, Andersson NG. Prophylaxis for hemophilia in the era of extended half-life factor VIII/factor IX products. Semin Thromb Hemost 2016;42:518-525.
- 75. Dunn A. The long and short of it: using the new factor products. Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program 2015;2015:26-32.
- Mahlangu J, Young G, Hermans C, Blanchette V, Berntorp E, Santagostino E. Defining extended half-life rFVIII-A critical review of the evidence. Haemophilia 2018;24:348-358.
- Peyvandi F, Garagiola I. Product type and other environmental risk factors for inhibitor development in severe hemophilia A. Res Pract Thromb Haemost 2018;2:220-227.
- Dunn AL, Ahuja SP, Mullins ES. Real-world experience with use of Antihemophilic Factor (Recombinant), PEGylated for prophylaxis in severe haemophilia A. Haemophilia 2018;24:84–92.
- Keepanasseril A, Stoffman J, Bouskill V, Carcao M, Iorio A, Jackson S; Association of Hemophilia Centre Directors of Canada (AHCDC). Switching to extended half-life products in Canada – preliminary data. Haemophilia 2017;23:365–367.
- Wang C, Young G. Clinical use of recombinant factor VIII Fc and recombinant factor IX Fc in patients with haemophilia A and B. Haemophilia 2018;24:414-419.
- Pipe SW, Montgomery RR, Pratt KP, Lenting PJ, Lillicrap D. Life in the shadow of a dominant partner: the FVIII-VWF association and its clinical implications for hemophilia A. Blood 2016;128:2007-2016.
- Collins P, Chalmers E, Chowdary P, Keeling D, Mathias M, O'Donnell J, Pasi KJ, Rangarajan S, Thomas A. The use of enhanced half-life coagulation factor concentrates in routine clinical practice: guidance from UKHCDO. Haemophilia 2016;22:487-498.
- Hermans C, Mahlangu J, Booth J, Schütz H, Santagostino E, Young G, Lee HY, Steinitz-Trost KN, Blanchette V, Berntorp E. Pharmacokinetic modelling and validation of the half-life extension needed to reduce the burden of infusions compared with standard factor VIII. Haemophilia 2018;24:376-384.
- 84. Shapiro AD, Ragni MV, Kulkarni R, Oldenberg J, Srivastava A, Quon DV, Pasi KJ, Hanabusa H, Pabinger I, Mahlangu J, Fogarty P, Lillicrap D, Kulke S, Potts J, Neelakantan S, Nestorov I, Li S, Dumont JA, Jiang H, Brennan A, Pierce GF. Recombinant factor VIII Fc fusion protein: extended-interval dosing maintains low bleeding rates and correlates with von Willebrand factor levels. J Thromb Haemost 2014;12:1788–1800.
- McMullen S, Buckley B, Hall E 2nd, Kendter J, Johnston K. Budget impact analysis of prolonged half-life recombinant FVIII therapy for hemophilia in the United States. Value Health 2017;20:93–99.
- Berntorp E, Fischer K, Miners A. Models of prophylaxis. Haemophilia 2012;18(Suppl 4):136-140.
- 87. Wyrwich KW, Krishnan S, Auguste P, Poon JL, von Maltzahn R, Yu R, Pierce GF, Mei B, Mahlangu J, von Mackensen S. Changes in health-related quality of life with treatment of longer-acting clotting factors: results in the A-LONG and B-LONG clinical studies. Haemophilia 2016;22:866-872.
- Zhou ZY, Koerper MA, Johnson KA, Riske B, Baker JR, Ullman M, Curtis RG, Poon JL, Lou M, Nichol MB. Burden of illness: direct and indirect costs among persons with hemophilia A in the United States. J Med Econ 2015;18:457– 465.
- 89. Janbain M, Pipe S. What is the role of an extended half-life product in immune tolerance induction in a patient with severe hemophilia A and high-titer inhibitors? Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program 2016;2016:648-649.

- Oldenburg J, Austin SK, Kessler CM. ITI choice for the optimal management of inhibitor patients - from a clinical and pharmacoeconomic perspective. Haemophilia 2014;20(Suppl 6):17-26.
- 91. Peyvandi F, Mannucci PM, Garagiola I, El-Beshlawy A, Elalfy M, Ramanan V, Eshghi P, Hanagavadi S, Varadarajan R, Karimi M, Manglani MV, Ross C, Young G, Seth T, Apte S, Nayak DM, Santagostino E, Mancuso ME, Sandoval Gonzalez AC, Mahlangu JN, Bonanad Boix S, Cerqueira M, Ewing NP, Male C, Owaidah T, Soto Arellano V, Kobrinsky NL, Majumdar S, Perez Garrido R, Sachdeva A, Simpson M, Thomas M, Zanon E, Antmen B, Kavakli K, Manco-Johnson MJ, Martinez M, Marzouka E, Mazzucconi MG, Neme D, Palomo Bravo A, Paredes Aguilera R, Prezotti A, Schmitt K, Wicklund BM, Zulfikar B, Rosendaal FR. A randomized trial of factor VIII and neutralizing antibodies in hemophilia A. N Engl J Med 2016;374:2054-2064.
- Groomes CL, Gianferante DM, Crouch GD, Parekh DS, Scott DW, Lieuw K. Reduction of factor VIII inhibitor titers during immune tolerance induction with recombinant factor VIII-Fc fusion protein. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2016;63:922-924.
- 93. Malec LM, Ragni MV, Journeycake JM, Alabek M. Immune tolerance induction using rFVIIIFc (Eloctate) [abstract]. Blood 2015;126:3531.
- Lambert T, Benson G, Dolan G, Hermans C, Jiménez-Yuste V, Ljung R, Morfini M, Zupančić-Šalek S, Santagostino E. Practical aspects of extended half-life products for the treatment of haemophilia. Ther Adv Hematol 2018;9:295-308.
- 95. Ljung R, Auerswald G, Benson G, Jetter A, Jiménez-Yuste V, Lambert T, Morfini M, Remor E, Sørensen B, Salek SZ. Novel coagulation factor concentrates: issues relating to their clinical implementation and pharmacokinetic assessment for optimal prophylaxis in haemophilia patients. Haemophilia 2013;19:481-486.
- Björkman S. Pharmacokinetics of plasma-derived and recombinant factor IX: implications for prophylaxis and on-demand therapy. Haemophilia 2013;19:808–813.
- 97. Djambas Khayat C. Once-weekly prophylactic dosing of recombinant factor IX improves adherence in hemophilia B. J Blood Med 2016;7:275-282.

- 98. Iorio A, Fischer K, Blanchette V, Rangarajan S, Young G, Morfini M; Pharmacokinetic (PK) Expert Working Group of the International Prophylaxis Study Group (the IPSG). Tailoring treatment of haemophilia B: accounting for the distribution and clearance of standard and extended half-life FIX concentrates. Thromb Haemost 2017;117:1023-1030.
- 99. Collins PW, Fischer K, Morfini M, Blanchette VS, Bjorkman S; International Prophylaxis Study Group Pharmacokinetics Expert Working Group. Implications of coagulation factor VIII and IX pharmacokinetics in the prophylactic treatment of haemophilia. Haemophilia 2011;17:2-10.
- 100.Fischer K, Berntorp E. Targeting factor replacement therapy in severe hemophilia: which level is important? Semin Thromb Hemost 2015;41:860-863.
- 101. Kitchen S, Tiefenbacher S, Gosselin R. Factor activity assays for monitoring extended half-life FVIII and factor IX replacement therapies. Semin Thromb Hemost 2017;43:331–337.
- 102.Sommer JM, Buyue Y, Bardan S, Peters RT, Jiang H, Kamphaus GD, Gray E, Pierce GF. Comparative field study: impact of laboratory assay variability on the assessment of recombinant factor IX Fc fusion protein (rFIXFc) activity. Thromb Haemost 2014;112:932-940.
- 103.Potgieter JJ, Damgaard M, Hillarp A. One-stage vs. chromogenic assays in haemophilia A. Eur J Haematol 2015; 94(Suppl 77):38-44.
- 104. Pickering W, Hansen M, Kjalke M, Ezban M. Factor VIII chromogenic assays can be used for potency labeling and postadministration monitoring of N8-GP. J Thromb Haemost 2016;14:1579–1587.
- 105. Dodt J, Hubbard AR, Wicks SJ, Gray E, Neugebauer B, Charton E, Silvester G. Potency determination of factor VIII and factor IX for new product labelling and postinfusion testing: challenges for caregivers and regulators. Haemophilia 2015;21:543-549.
- 106.Turecek PL, Romeder-Finger S, Apostol C, Bauer A, Crocker-Buqué A, Burger DA, Schall R, Gritsch H. A world-wide survey and field study in clinical haemostasis laboratories to evaluate FVIII: C activity assay variability of ADYNOVATE and OBIZUR in comparison with ADVATE. Haemophilia 2016;22:957-965.