DOI: 10.4274/tjh.2015.0203 Turk J Hematol 2016:33:273-280

The Role of Azacitidine in the Treatment of Elderly Patients with Acute Myeloid Leukemia: Results of a Retrospective Multicenter Study

Akut Miyeloid Lösemili Yaşlı Hastaların Tedavisinde Azasitidinin Rolü: Retrospektif Çok Merkezli Bir Calısmanın Sonucları

Anıl Tombak¹, Mehmet Ali Ucar¹, Aydan Akdeniz¹, Eyüp Naci Tiftik¹, Deniz Gören Sahin², Olga Meltem Akay², Murat Yıldırım³, Oral Nevruz³, Cem Kis⁴, Emel Gürkan⁴, Serife Medeni Solmaz⁵, Mehmet Ali Özcan⁵, Rahsan Yıldırım⁶, İlhami Berber⁷, Mehmet Ali Erkurt⁷, Tülin Fıratlı Tuğlular⁸, Pınar Tarkun⁹, İrfan Yavaşoğlu¹⁰, Mehmet Hilmi Doğu¹¹, İsmail Sarı¹¹, Mustafa Merter¹², Muhit Özcan¹², Esra Yıldızhan¹³, Leylagül Kaynar¹³, Özgür Mehtap⁹, Ayşe Uysal¹⁴, Fahri Şahin¹⁴, Ozan Salim¹⁵, Mehmet Ali Sungur¹⁶

¹Mersin University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Hematology, Mersin, Turkey ²Osmangazi University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Hematology, Eskişehir, Turkey ³Gülhane Training and Research Hospital, Clinic of Hematology, Ankara, Turkey ⁴Cukurova University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Hematology, Adana, Turkey ⁵Dokuz Eylül University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Hematology, İzmir, Turkey ⁶Atatürk University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Hematology, Erzurum, Turkey ⁷İnönü University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Hematology, Malatya, Turkey ⁸Marmara University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Hematology, İstanbul, Turkey ⁹Kocaeli University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Hematology, Kocaeli, Turkey ¹⁰Adnan Menderes University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Hematology, Aydın, Turkey ¹¹Pamukkale University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Hematology, Denizli, Turkey ¹²Ankara University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Hematology, Ankara, Turkey ¹³Erciyes University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Hematology, Kayseri, Turkey ¹⁴Ege University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Hematology, İzmir, Turkey ¹⁵Akdeniz University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Hematology, Antalya, Turkey ¹⁶Düzce University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Biostatistics, Düzce, Turkey

Abstract

Objective: In this study, we aimed to investigate the efficacy and safety of azacitidine (AZA) in elderly patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML), including patients with >30% bone marrow (BM) blasts.

Materials and Methods: In this retrospective multicenter study, 130 patients of \geq 60 years old who were ineligible for intensive chemotherapy or had progressed despite conventional treatment were included.

Results: The median age was 73 years and 61.5% of patients had >30% BM blasts. Patients received AZA for a median of four cycles (range: 1-21). Initial overall response [including complete remission (CR)/CR with incomplete recovery/partial remission] was 36.2%. Hematologic improvement (HI) of any kind was documented in 37.7% of all patients. HI was also documented in 27.1% of patients who were unresponsive to treatment. Median overall survival (OS) was 18

Öz

Amac: Bu calışmada, kemik iliğindeki (Kİ) blast oranı >%30 olan olguları da içeren akut miyeloid lösemili (AML) yaşlı hastalarda, azasitidinin (AZA) etkinliğinin ve güvenliğinin araştırılmasını amaçladık.

Gerec ve Yöntemler: Bu geriye dönük, cok merkezli calışmaya, yoğun kemoterapi için uygun olmayan ya da konvansiyonel tedavilere rağmen hastalığı ilerleyen ≥60 yaştaki 130 hasta dahil edildi.

Bulgular: Ortanca yaş 73 idi, hastaların %61,5'inde Kİ blast oranı >%30 olarak bulundu. Hastalar, ortanca 4 döngü (1-21 aralığında) AZA almıştı. Başlanqıç genel yanıt oranı [tam yanıtı (TY)/eksik düzelmenin olduğu TY/kısmi yanıtı içeren] %36,2 idi. Herhangi bir hematolojik düzelme (HD), tüm hastaların %36,2'sinde tespit edildi. HD tedaviye yanıtsız hastaların %27,1'inde de saptandı. Ortanca genel sağkalım, yanıt verenlerde 18 ay, yanıt vermeyenlerde 12 ay idi (p=0,005). Tedaviye yanıtsız hasta grubunda HD'nin, HD olmayanlara kıyasla genel sağkalımı arttırdığı görüldü (ortanca sağkalım 14 aya



months for responders and 12 months for nonresponders (p=0.005). In the unresponsive patient group, any HI improved OS compared to patients without any HI (median OS was 14 months versus 10 months, p=0.068). Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status of <2, increasing number of AZA cycles (\geq 5 courses), and any HI predicted better OS. Age, AML type, and BM blast percentage had no impact.

Conclusion: We conclude that AZA is effective and well tolerated in elderly comorbid AML patients, irrespective of BM blast count, and HI should be considered a sufficient response to continue treatment with AZA.

Keywords: Azacitidine, Acute myeloid leukemia, Elderly, Bone marrow blasts, Prognostic factors, Overall survival

Introduction

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is predominantly a disease of older patients with a median age at diagnosis of ~70 years [1,2]. Older patients with AML have significant comorbidities, a poorer performance status, more unfavorable cytogenetic abnormalities, and a higher incidence of secondary AML than their younger counterparts and only approximately 1/3 of elderly AML patients are eligible for conventional anthracycline/ cytarabine-based intensive chemotherapeutic approaches [3,4,5]. However, overall results of intensive chemotherapy remain poor even for those who do meet inclusion criteria for such treatment [1,3,4,5]. Patients not suitable for intensive chemotherapy or who did not respond to these treatment options are frequently offered best supportive care (BSC) only, and the prognosis is dismal [6,7].

The hypomethylating agents decitabine and azacitidine (AZA) have significant activity in patients with a myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) [8,9]. The use of AZA was associated with improved survival when compared to BSC or low-dose cytarabine in patients with high-risk MDS, including those with marrow blast counts ranging from 20% to 30%, leading to AZA approval in these disease categories [8,10]. In untreated or relapsed/ refractory AML, a few studies have also shown significant response rates of AZA therapy [11,12,13,14,15]. However, there are limited data showing the efficacy of AZA in AML patients with >30% bone marrow (BM) blasts.

In this retrospective multicenter study, we aimed to investigate the efficacy and safety of AZA in elderly patients with AML (including patients with >30% BM blasts) defined according to the World Health Organization (WHO).

Materials and Methods

Patients and Eligibility Criteria

Between June 2009 and June 2014, 130 patients of \geq 60 years old with AML from 16 specialized centers for hematology in Turkey, defined according to WHO criteria, were included. Eligibility criteria included all \geq 60-year-old AML patients who were treated

kıyasla 10 ay, p=0,068). Doğu Kooperatif Onkoloji Grubu performans durumunun <2 olması, AZA döngü sayısının artması (≥5 döngü) ve herhangi bir HD olması, daha iyi genel sağkalımı öngörüyordu. Yaşın, AML tipinin, Kİ blast yüzdesinin etkisi yoktu.

Sonuç: AZA, yaşlı, eşlik eden hastalıkları olan AML'li hastalarda, Kİ blast sayısından bağımsız olarak etkindir ve iyi tolere edilmektedir ve HD'nin, AZA ile tedaviye devam etmek için yeterli bir yanıt olduğu göz önünde bulundurulmalıdır.

Anahtar Sözcükler: Azasitidin, Akut miyeloid lösemi, Yaşlı, Kemik iliği blastları, Prognostik faktörler, Genel sağkalım

with at least one dose of AZA. Demographic data, comorbidities (cardiovascular diseases, diabetes mellitus, prior/concomitant malignancies, pulmonary disease, renal insufficiency), Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) status, transfusion dependency, cytogenetic risk status according to the refined Medical Research Council (MRC) criteria [16], treatment prior to AZA, and concomitant treatments were recorded. AZA was administered at 75 mg/m² subcutaneously daily for 7 days and 100 mg/m² subcutaneously daily for 7 days. The local ethics committee approved this retrospective analysis.

Efficacy and Safety Assessments

Assessment of response was performed after a median of 4 cycles of AZA. BM aspirations/punctures were performed and reviewed by the principal investigator (hematologist) at each center. Overall responses including complete remission (CR), partial remission (PR), CR with incomplete recovery (CRi), and failure were defined according to International Working Group (IWG) criteria for AML [17]. Patients with persisting peripheral blasts following AZA were also classified as nonresponders if BM puncture was not performed. Hematologic improvement (HI) was evaluated using IWG criteria for MDS from the collected transfusion records of the patients [18]. Specific hematologic and nonhematological adverse events were graded according to Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) v4.0, published on 28 May 2009, by the National Cancer Institute. All data including response, HI, and adverse events were determined and recorded by principal hematologists at the respective centers.

Statistical Analysis

Categorical data were analyzed by chi-square or Fisher's exact test according to expected count rule and summarized as frequency and percentage. Both univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses were used to obtain the odds ratio (OR) of variables that significantly affected response rate. Survival times and curves were estimated by Kaplan-Meier method and compared by log-rank test. Both univariate and multivariate Cox regression models were constructed for obtaining the hazard ratio (HR) of variables that significantly affected survival. Statistical analyses were performed with PASW v.18 software (Predictive Analytics Software is a registered trademark of SPSS Inc.), and p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Patient Characteristics

Patient baseline characteristics are summarized in Table 1. A total of 130 patients with AML (58 women, 72 men) receiving AZA were included in the study. Median age was 73, ranging from 60 to 88 years; 31.5% (n=41) of patients were 60-69 years old, 49.2% (n=64) were 70-79 years old, and 19.2% (n=25) were ≥80 years old. ECOG performance status (ECOG-PS) was ≥ 2 in 54.6% (n=71) and there were comorbidities in 66.2% (n=86) of the cases; of these, 89.5% (n=77) had <3 and 10.5% (n=9) had ≥3 comorbidities. Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) level was <225 IU/L in 20.8% (n=27) and was \geq 225 IU/L in 75.4% (n=98) of the cases, and 40.8% (n=53) of the patients had a leukocyte count of >10x10 $^{9}/L$. Median absolute neutrophil count (ANC) was 1.1x10⁹/L, median hemoglobin concentration was 8.7 g/L, and median platelet count was 57x10⁹/L. Ninety-four (72.3%) patients had peripheral blood blasts and 80 patients (61.5%) had >30% BM blasts. One hundred and twelve patients (86.2%) required erythrocyte and/ or thrombocyte transfusion (transfusion-dependent), while 5.4% had an unfavorable karyotype and 50.8% had an intermediate karyotype according to MRC criteria [16].

Treatment Modalities

While 54.6% (n=71) of the patients did not receive any treatment prior to AZA, intensive chemotherapy, hydroxyurea, low-dose cytarabine, erythropoietin-stimulating agents, iron chelation therapy, lenalidomide, and granulocyte-colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) were used in 16.9% (n=22), 16.9% (n=22), 5.4% (n=7), 3.1% (n=4), 1.5% (n=2), 0.8% (n=1), and 0.8% (n=1) of the cases, respectively.

AZA was administered as first-line therapy in 79.2% of patients (n=103). No CR or early relapse after conventional (intensive) chemotherapy and after other disease-modifying treatments was the reason for AZA treatment in 13.8% (n=18) and 6.9% (n=9) of patients, respectively. AZA was administered at 75 mg/m² subcutaneously daily for 7 days and 100 mg/m² subcutaneously daily for 7 days in 81.5% and 18.5% of the patients, respectively. A median number of 4 (range: 1-21) AZA courses were given in 28-day intervals. In all AZA cycles, hydroxyurea (11.5%) or G-CSF (7.7%) was given concomitantly when deemed necessary by the treating physician.

Response to Azacitidine and Survival

Initial overall response (including CR/CRi/PR according to IWG) was evaluated after a median of 4 cycles of AZA. While there was no response in 53.8% (n=70) of patients, CR, CRi, and PR

Total number of patients, n130Median age, years (range)73 (60-88)Age categories, n (%)41 (31.5)60-69 years64 (49.2)70-79 years25 (19.2)Ables, n (%)72 (55.4)Type of AML, n (%)72 (55.4)Type of AML, n (%)9 (6.9)AML-RCA9 (6.9)AML-NOS68 (52.3)Peripheral blood blasts, n (%)94 (72.3)0%7 (5.4)>0%94 (72.3)Unknown29 (22.3)Median (range), %15 (0-90)20%-30%66 (27.7)30% (off-label use)80 (61.5)Unknown49.5 (20-97)YBE count (10 ⁹ /L), n (%)51 (40.8)>10x109/L53 (40.8)Median (range), %49.9 (0.7-146)ANC (109/L), median (range)67. (5-786)Ho (gl,), median (range)87. (4.2-14)Hb (g/L), median (range)87. (4.2-14)Hb (g/L), median (range)87. (4.2-14)Hb (g/L), median (range)87. (4.2-14)Hb (g/L), median (range)87. (4.2-14)Hb (g/L), median (range)87. (4.2-14)Hb (g/L), median (range)87. (4.2-14)Hb (g/L), median (range)87. (4.2-14)Hatelet count (10 ⁹ /L), median (range)87. (5.2-8)LUH (IU/L)222527. (20.8)LURANN98. (75.4)LUNANN98. (75.4)LUNANN98. (75.4)LUNANN98. (75.4)	Table 1. Baseline characteristics.		
Age categories, n (%) 60-69 years 41 (31.5) 70-79 years 64 (49.2) >80 years 25 (19.2) Males, n (%) 72 (55.4) Type of AML, n (%) 72 (55.4) t-AML 6 (4.6) AML-RCA 9 (6.9) AML-MRF 47 (36.2) AML-NOS 68 (52.3) Peripheral blood blasts, n (%) 94 (72.3) Unknown 29 (22.3) Median (range), % 94 (72.3) Unknown 29 (22.3) Median (range), % 80 (61.5) Some marrow blasts, n (%) 29 (22.3) Median (range), % 80 (61.5) Unknown 29 (22.3) Median (range), % 80 (61.5) Unknown 29 (22.3) Median (range), % 80 (61.5) Unknown 14 (10.8) Median (range), % 36 (27.7) >30% (off-label use) 80 (61.5) Unknown 14 (10.8) Median (range), % 49.5 (20-97) WBC count (10 ⁹ /L), n (%) <td< th=""><th>Total number of patients, n</th><th>130</th></td<>	Total number of patients, n	130	
60-69 years 41 (31.5) 70-79 years 64 (49.2) ≥80 years 25 (19.2) Males, n (%) 72 (55.4) Type of AML, n (%) 72 (55.4) t-AML 6 (4.6) AML-RCA 9 (6.9) AML-MRF 47 (36.2) AML-NOS 68 (52.3) Peripheral blood blasts, n (%) 94 (72.3) 0% 7 (5.4) >0% 94 (72.3) Unknown 29 (22.3) Median (range), % 36 (27.7) 20%-30% 36 (27.7) >30% (off-label use) 80 (61.5) Unknown 14 (10.8) Median (range), % 49.5 (20-97) WBC count (109/L), n (%) 53 (40.8) Median (range), % 49.0, 7-146) >10x109/L 53 (40.8) Median (range), % 4.9 (0.7-146) ANC (109/L), median (range) 8.7 (4.2-14) Platelet count (109/L), median (range) 57 (5-786) LDH (U/L) 57 (20.8)	Median age, years (range)	73 (60-88)	
70-79 years 64 (49.2) ≥80 years 25 (19.2) Males, n (%) 72 (55.4) Type of AML, n (%) 6 (4.6) AML-RCA 9 (6.9) AML-NRF 47 (36.2) AML-NOS 68 (52.3) Peripheral blood blasts, n (%) 68 (52.3) 0% 7 (5.4) >0% 94 (72.3) Unknown 29 (22.3) Median (range), % 36 (27.7) >0% 36 (27.7) >30% (off-label use) 80 (61.5) Unknown 14 (10.8) Median (range), % 49.5 (20-97) WBC count (10⁹/L), n (%) 53 (40.8) Median (range), % 4.9 (0.7-146) >10x 10 ⁹ /L 53 (40.8) Median (range), % 4.9 (0.7-146) >10x 10 ⁹ /L, median (range) 8.7 (4.2-14) Platelet count (10 ⁹ /L), median (range) 57 (5-786) LDH (U/L) 57 (20.8)	Age categories, n (%)		
≥80 years 25 (19.2) Males, n (%) 72 (55.4) Type of AML, n (%) 72 (55.4) t-AML 6 (4.6) AML-RCA 9 (6.9) AML-MRF 47 (36.2) AML-NOS 68 (52.3) Peripheral blood blasts, n (%) 68 (52.3) 0% 7 (5.4) >0% 94 (72.3) Unknown 29 (22.3) Median (range), % 15 (0-90) Bone marrow blasts, n (%) 29 (22.3) Q0%-30% 36 (27.7) >30% (off-label use) 80 (61.5) Unknown 14 (10.8) Median (range), % 49.5 (20-97) WBC count (10 ⁹ /L), n (%) 53 (40.8) Median (range), % 4.9 (0.7-146) ANC (10 ⁹ /L), median (range) 5.7 (4.2-14) Platelet count (10 ⁹ /L), median (range) 8.7 (4.2-14) Platelet count (10 ⁹ /L), median (range) 5.7 (5-786) LDH (U/L) 2225 27 (20.8)	60-69 years	41 (31.5)	
Males, n (%) 72 (55.4) Type of AML, n (%) t-AML 6 (4.6) AML-RCA 9 (6.9) AML-MRF 47 (36.2) AML-NOS 68 (52.3) Peripheral blood blasts, n (%) 68 (52.3) Q% 7 (5.4) >0% 94 (72.3) Unknown 29 (22.3) Median (range), % 15 (0-90) Bone marrow blasts, n (%) 20%-30% 20%-30% 36 (27.7) >30% (off-label use) 80 (61.5) Unknown 14 (10.8) Median (range), % 49.5 (20-97) VBC count (10%/L), n (%) 53 (40.8) Median (range), % 49.0 (7-146) ANC (10%/L), median (range) 1.1 (0.05-142.7) Hb (g/L), median (range) 8.7 (4.2-14) Platelet count (10%/L), median (range) 57 (5-786) LDH (IU/L) 225 27 (20.8)	70-79 years	64 (49.2)	
Type of AML, n (%) t-AML 6 (4.6) AML-RCA 9 (6.9) AML-MRF 47 (36.2) AML-NOS 68 (52.3) Peripheral blood blasts, n (%) 68 (52.3) O% 7 (5.4) >0% 94 (72.3) Unknown 29 (22.3) Median (range), % 15 (0-90) Bone marrow blasts, n (%) 20%-30% 20%-30% 36 (27.7) >30% (off-label use) 80 (61.5) Unknown 14 (10.8) Median (range), % 49.5 (20-97) WBC count (10 ⁹ /L), n (%) 53 (40.8) ≤10x10 ⁹ /L 77 (59.2) >10x10 ⁹ /L 53 (40.8) Median (range), % 4.9 (0.7-146) ANC (10 ⁹ /L), median (range) 8.7 (4.2-14) Platelet count (10 ⁹ /L), median (range) 57 (5-786) LDH (IU/L) <225	≥80 years	25 (19.2)	
t-AML 6 (4.6) AML-RCA 9 (6.9) AML-MRF 47 (36.2) AML-NOS 68 (52.3) Peripheral blood blasts, n (%) 0 0% 7 (5.4) >0% 94 (72.3) Unknown 29 (22.3) Median (range), % 15 (0-90) Bone marrow blasts, n (%) 20(2.3) 20%-30% 36 (27.7) >30% (off-label use) 80 (61.5) Unknown 14 (10.8) Median (range), % 49.5 (20-97) WBC count (10 ⁹ /L), n (%) 53 (40.8) Median (range), % 4.9 (0.7-146) ANC (10 ⁹ /L), median (range) 1.1 (0.05-142.7) Hb (g/L), median (range) 8.7 (4.2-14) Platelet count (10 ⁹ /L), median (range) 57 (5-786) LDH (IU/L) 57 (5-786) <225	Males, n (%)	72 (55.4)	
AML-RCA 9 (6.9) AML-MRF 47 (36.2) AML-NOS 68 (52.3) Peripheral blood blasts, n (%) 0% 0% 7 (5.4) >0% 94 (72.3) Unknown 29 (22.3) Median (range), % 15 (0-90) Bone marrow blasts, n (%) 20 (2.3) 20%-30% 36 (27.7) >30% (off-label use) 80 (61.5) Unknown 14 (10.8) Median (range), % 49.5 (20-97) WBC count (10 ⁹ /L), n (%) 53 (40.8) Median (range), % 4.9 (0.7-146) ANC (10 ⁹ /L), median (range) 1.1 (0.05-142.7) Hb (g/L), median (range) 8.7 (4.2-14) Platelet count (10 ⁹ /L), median (range) 57 (5-786) LDH (IU/L) 225 27 (20.8)	Type of AML, n (%)		
AML-MRF 47 (36.2) AML-NOS 68 (52.3) Peripheral blood blasts, n (%) 7 (5.4) 0% 7 (5.4) >0% 94 (72.3) Unknown 29 (22.3) Median (range), % 15 (0-90) Bone marrow blasts, n (%) 20 (27.7) 20%-30% 36 (27.7) >30% (off-label use) 80 (61.5) Unknown 14 (10.8) Median (range), % 49.5 (20-97) WBC count (10°/L), n (%) 53 (40.8) ≤10x10°/L 77 (59.2) >10x10°/L 53 (40.8) Median (range), % 4.9 (0.7-146) ANC (10°/L), median (range) 8.7 (4.2-14) Platelet count (10°/L), median (range) 8.7 (4.2-14) Platelet count (10°/L), median (range) 57 (5-786) LDH (IU/L) 225 225	t-AML	6 (4.6)	
AML-NOS 68 (52.3) Peripheral blood blasts, n (%) 7 0% 7 (5.4) >0% 94 (72.3) Unknown 29 (22.3) Median (range), % 15 (0-90) Bone marrow blasts, n (%) 36 (27.7) 20%-30% 36 (27.7) >30% (off-label use) 80 (61.5) Unknown 14 (10.8) Median (range), % 49.5 (20-97) WBC count (10 ⁹ /L), n (%) 53 (40.8) ≤10x10 ⁹ /L 77 (59.2) >10x10 ⁹ /L 77 (59.2) >10x10 ⁹ /L 53 (40.8) Median (range), % 4.9 (0.7-146) ANC (10 ⁹ /L), median (range) 1.1 (0.05-142.7) Hb (g/L), median (range) 8.7 (4.2-14) Platelet count (10 ⁹ /L), median (range) 57 (5-786) LDH (IU/L) 225 225	AML-RCA	9 (6.9)	
Peripheral blood blasts, n (%) Peripheral blood blasts, n (%) 0% 7 (5.4) >0% 94 (72.3) Unknown 29 (22.3) Median (range), % 15 (0-90) Bone marrow blasts, n (%) 20%-30% 20%-30% 36 (27.7) >30% (off-label use) 80 (61.5) Unknown 14 (10.8) Median (range), % 49.5 (20-97) WBC count (10 ⁹ /L), n (%) 53 (40.8) ≤10x10 ⁹ /L 53 (40.8) Median (range), % 4.9 (0.7-146) ANC (10 ⁹ /L), median (range) 1.1 (0.05-142.7) Hb (g/L), median (range) 8.7 (4.2-14) Platelet count (10 ⁹ /L), median (range) 57 (5-786) LDH (IU/L) <225	AML-MRF	47 (36.2)	
0% 7 (5.4) >0% 94 (72.3) Unknown 29 (22.3) Median (range), % 15 (0-90) Bone marrow blasts, n (%) 20%-30% 20%-30% 36 (27.7) >30% (off-label use) 80 (61.5) Unknown 14 (10.8) Median (range), % 49.5 (20-97) WBC count (10°/L), n (%) 77 (59.2) <10x10°/L	AML-NOS	68 (52.3)	
>0% 94 (72.3) Unknown 29 (22.3) Median (range), % 15 (0-90) Bone marrow blasts, n (%) 36 (27.7) 20%-30% 36 (27.7) >30% (off-label use) 80 (61.5) Unknown 14 (10.8) Median (range), % 49.5 (20-97) WBC count (10 ⁹ /L), n (%) 77 (59.2) <10x10 ⁹ /L 77 (59.2) >10x10 ⁹ /L 53 (40.8) Median (range), % 4.9 (0.7-146) ANC (10 ⁹ /L), median (range) 1.1 (0.05-142.7) Hb (g/L), median (range) 8.7 (4.2-14) Platelet count (10 ⁹ /L), median (range) 57 (5-786) LDH (IU/L) <225	Peripheral blood blasts, n (%)		
Unknown 29 (22.3) Median (range), % 15 (0-90) Bone marrow blasts, n (%) 36 (27.7) 20%-30% 36 (27.7) >30% (off-label use) 80 (61.5) Unknown 14 (10.8) Median (range), % 49.5 (20-97) WBC count (10 ⁹ /L), n (%) 77 (59.2) ≤10x10 ⁹ /L 53 (40.8) Median (range), % 4.9 (0.7-146) ANC (10 ⁹ /L), median (range) 1.1 (0.05-142.7) Hb (g/L), median (range) 8.7 (4.2-14) Platelet count (10 ⁹ /L), median (range) 57 (5-786) LDH (IU/L) <225	0%	7 (5.4)	
Median (range), % 15 (0-90) Bone marrow blasts, n (%) 36 (27.7) 20%-30% 36 (27.7) >30% (off-label use) 80 (61.5) Unknown 14 (10.8) Median (range), % 49.5 (20-97) WBC count (10 ⁹ /L), n (%) 77 (59.2) ≤10x10 ⁹ /L 53 (40.8) Median (range), % 4.9 (0.7-146) ANC (10 ⁹ /L), median (range) 8.7 (4.2-14) Platelet count (10 ⁹ /L), median (range) 57 (5-786) LDH (IU/L) <225	>0%		
Bone marrow blasts, n (%) 20%-30% 36 (27.7) >30% (off-label use) 80 (61.5) Unknown 14 (10.8) Median (range), % 49.5 (20-97) WBC count (10°/L), n (%) 53 (40.8) ≤10x10°/L 53 (40.8) Median (range), % 4.9 (0.7-146) ANC (10°/L), median (range) 1.1 (0.05-142.7) Hb (g/L), median (range) 8.7 (4.2-14) Platelet count (10°/L), median (range) 57 (5-786) LDH (IU/L) 225 ≥225 27 (20.8)	Unknown	29 (22.3)	
20%-30% 36 (27.7) >30% (off-label use) 80 (61.5) Unknown 14 (10.8) Median (range), % 49.5 (20-97) WBC count (10°/L), n (%) 77 (59.2) ≤10x10°/L 77 (59.2) >10x10°/L 53 (40.8) Median (range), % 4.9 (0.7-146) ANC (10°/L), median (range) 1.1 (0.05-142.7) Hb (g/L), median (range) 8.7 (4.2-14) Platelet count (10°/L), median (range) 57 (5-786) LDH (IU/L) 225 27 (20.8)	Median (range), %	15 (0-90)	
>30% (off-label use) 80 (61.5) Unknown 14 (10.8) Median (range), % 49.5 (20-97) WBC count (10 ⁹ /L), n (%) 77 (59.2) ≤10x10 ⁹ /L 53 (40.8) Median (range), % 4.9 (0.7-146) ANC (10 ⁹ /L), median (range) 1.1 (0.05-142.7) Hb (g/L), median (range) 8.7 (4.2-14) Platelet count (10 ⁹ /L), median (range) 57 (5-786) LDH (IU/L) 2225 ≥225 27 (20.8)	Bone marrow blasts, n (%)		
Unknown 14 (10.8) Median (range), % 49.5 (20-97) WBC count (10 ⁹ /L), n (%) 77 (59.2) ≤10x10 ⁹ /L 53 (40.8) >10x10 ⁹ /L 53 (40.8) Median (range), % 4.9 (0.7-146) ANC (10 ⁹ /L), median (range) 1.1 (0.05-142.7) Hb (g/L), median (range) 8.7 (4.2-14) Platelet count (10 ⁹ /L), median (range) 57 (5-786) LDH (IU/L) 225 ≥225 27 (20.8)	20%-30%	36 (27.7)	
Median (range), %49.5 (20-97)WBC count (10 ⁹ /L), n (%)77 (59.2) $\leq 10x10^9/L$ 77 (59.2) $>10x10^9/L$ 53 (40.8)Median (range), %4.9 (0.7-146)ANC (10 ⁹ /L), median (range)1.1 (0.05-142.7)Hb (g/L), median (range)8.7 (4.2-14)Platelet count (10 ⁹ /L), median (range)57 (5-786)LDH (IU/L)<225	>30% (off-label use)	80 (61.5)	
WBC count (10 ⁹ /L), n (%) $\leq 10 \times 10^9/L$ 77 (59.2) >10x 10 ⁹ /L 53 (40.8) Median (range), % 4.9 (0.7-146) ANC (10 ⁹ /L), median (range) 1.1 (0.05-142.7) Hb (g/L), median (range) 8.7 (4.2-14) Platelet count (10 ⁹ /L), median (range) 57 (5-786) LDH (IU/L) < 225 27 (20.8)	Unknown	14 (10.8)	
$\leq 10 \times 10^9 / L$ 77 (59.2)> $10 \times 10^9 / L$ 53 (40.8)Median (range), %4.9 (0.7-146)ANC (10^9 / L), median (range)1.1 (0.05-142.7)Hb (g/L), median (range)8.7 (4.2-14)Platelet count (10^9 / L), median (range)57 (5-786)LDH (IU/L)<225	Median (range), %	49.5 (20-97)	
>10x10 ⁹ /L 53 (40.8) Median (range), % 4.9 (0.7-146) ANC (10 ⁹ /L), median (range) 1.1 (0.05-142.7) Hb (g/L), median (range) 8.7 (4.2-14) Platelet count (10 ⁹ /L), median (range) 57 (5-786) LDH (IU/L) <225	WBC count (10 ⁹ /L), n (%)		
Median (range), % 4.9 (0.7-146) ANC (10 ⁹ /L), median (range) 1.1 (0.05-142.7) Hb (g/L), median (range) 8.7 (4.2-14) Platelet count (10 ⁹ /L), median (range) 57 (5-786) LDH (IU/L) <225	≤10x10 ⁹ /L	77 (59.2)	
ANC (10 ⁹ /L), median (range) 1.1 (0.05-142.7) Hb (g/L), median (range) 8.7 (4.2-14) Platelet count (10 ⁹ /L), median (range) 57 (5-786) LDH (IU/L) <225	>10x10 ⁹ /L	53 (40.8)	
Hb (g/L), median (range) 8.7 (4.2-14) Platelet count (10 ⁹ /L), median (range) 57 (5-786) LDH (IU/L) <225	Median (range), %	4.9 (0.7-146)	
Platelet count (10 ⁹ /L), median (range) 57 (5-786) LDH (IU/L) <225	ANC (10 ⁹ /L), median (range)	1.1 (0.05-142.7)	
LDH (IU/L) <225	Hb (g/L), median (range)	8.7 (4.2-14)	
<225 ≥225 27 (20.8)	Platelet count (10 ⁹ /L), median (range)	57 (5-786)	
≥225 27 (20.8)	LDH (IU/L)		
	<225		
Unknown 98 (75.4)	≥225	27 (20.8)	
	Unknown	98 (75.4)	
Transfusion dependency (TD), n (%) 5 (3.8)	Transfusion dependency (TD), n (%)	5 (3.8)	
No	No		
Any type of TD	Any type of TD		
RBC-TD 18 (13.8)	RBC-TD	18 (13.8)	
PLT-TD 112 (86.2)	PLT-TD	112 (86.2)	
RBC-TD + PLT-TD 40 (30.7)	RBC-TD + PLT-TD	40 (30.7)	

Table 1. Continuation	
MRC cytogenetic risk, n (%)	
Not evaluable	14 (10.7)
Good	58 (44.6)
Intermediate	56 (43.1)
High	1 (0.8)
Comorbidities, n (%)	66 (50.8)
Number of comorbidities, n (%)	7 (5.4)
<3	86 (66.2)
≥3	77 (89.5)
ECOG-PS score, n (%)	
ECOG <2	9 (10.5)
ECOG ≥2	52 (40)
Unknown	71 (54.6)
Treatment prior to azacitidine, n (%)	7 (5.4)
None	71 (54.6)
Reason for treatment, n (%)	
First-line treatment	103 (79.2)
No CR to/early relapse after intensive chemotherapy	18 (13.8)
No CR to other prior treatments	9 (6.9)
t-AML: Treatment-related acute myeloid leukemia, AML-RC with recurrent cytogenetic abnormalities, AML-MRF: acu MDS-related features, AML-NOS: acute myeloid leukemia WBC: white blood cell, ANC: absolute neutrophil count, Hb dehydrogenase, RBC: red blood cell, PLT: platelet, MRC: m	te myeloid leukemia with a not otherwise specified, : hemoglobin, LDH: lactate

related cytogenetics, ECOG: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group, G-CSF: granulocyte-colony stimulating factor, CR: complete remission.

were documented in 13.1% (n=17), 6.2% (n=8), and 16.9% (n=22) of the cases, respectively (Table 2). Any HI according to IWG criteria was documented in 37.7% (n=49) of the patients; neutrophil, erythroid, and platelet responses were observed in 18.5% (n=24), 3.8% (n=5), and 15.4% (n=20) of the patients, respectively (Table 2). HI was also documented in 27.1% (n=19) of 70 patients who were unresponsive to treatment.

Median overall survival (OS) was 12.3 [95% confidence interval (CI): 10.1-14.6] months as of the first diagnosis of AML. Disease-free survival (DFS) and event-free survival (EFS) were 16.2 (95% CI: 6.7-25.7) and 8.3 (95% CI: 6.1-10.6) months, respectively. Median OS was 18 (95% CI: 10.6-25.4) months for responders (defined as CR/CRi/PR) and 12 (95% CI: 9.2-14.8) months for nonresponders (p=0.005). In addition, median OS was 14 (95% CI: 4.1-23.9) months in patients unresponsive to treatment (without CR/CRi/PR) but with any HI (n=19), and was 10 (95% CI: 4.1-15.9) months in patients unresponsive to treatment and also without any HI (n=51) (p=0.068). Median OS of the patients who received AZA as a rescue after intensive chemotherapy was 24 (95% CI: 13.3-34.7) months as of the first diagnosis of AML.

Table 2. Response to azacitidine according to InternationalWorking Group criteria.		
Response	n (%)	
No response	70 (53.8)	
Overall response ¹	47 (36.2)	
CR	17 (13.1)	
CRi	8 (6.2)	
PR	22 (16.9)	
Not evaluable	13 (10)	
н	n (%)	
No HI	81 (62.3)	
Any HI	49 (37.7)	
Neutrophil response	24 (18.5)	
Erythroid response	5 (3.8)	
Platelet response	20 (15.4)	
¹ Overall response includes CR, CRi, and PR.		

CR: Complete response, CRi: complete response with incomplete recovery, PR: partial response, HI: hematologic improvement.

In univariate analysis the following parameters had a significant effect on both treatment response and OS: ECOG-PS score, number of AZA cycles, and any HI. However, sex, age, absolute number of comorbidities, presence of peripheral blasts, AML type, leukocyte count at the time of diagnosis, treatment prior to AZA, and BM blast count had no significant impact on treatment response and OS (Table 3). Since the number of patients with good (n=1) and poor-risk cytogenetics (n=7) was low, the effect of cytogenetics on response to treatment and OS was not evaluated. Similarly, since the number of patients receiving AZA at 100 mg/m² was low (n=24), the impact of altered dosing schedules of AZA was not evaluated.

In multivariate analysis, all variables with p<0.05 in univariate analysis were included, and it was found that increasing number of AZA cycles (\geq 5) was associated with a better response rate and ECOG-PS score of \geq 2 was a significant predictor of shorter OS (Table 4).

Toxicity and Adverse Events

A total of 351 adverse events were documented. CTCAE grade 3-4 neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, and anemia were documented in 34.6%, 40.8%, and 39.2% of patients, respectively. Febrile neutropenia was documented in 60.8% of the patients. Other nonhematological toxicities were usually mild, the most common adverse events being mucositis, diarrhea, injection site pain, and nausea.

Discussion

Incidence of AML increases with age and most patients are deemed unsuitable for intensive treatment options. Outcomes

following conventional chemotherapeutic approaches are poor. AZA is a hypomethylating agent, and owing to its acceptable tolerability profiles and emerging evidence of clinical efficacy, it may provide an exciting approach to the treatment of elderly patients with AML. It is licensed for patients with 20%-30% blasts and it confers a survival benefit in these patients [14]; studies suggest 10%-20% CR rates with AZA [14,19,20,21] and

these patients have OS rates equivalent or superior to other conventional treatments [14,19,21]. However, data on AZA activity in AML patients with BM blast counts of >30% are limited and the drug can be used off-label in these patients, although several analyses have also suggested that AZA is active and well tolerated in patients with >30% BM blasts as well [11,12,15,20].

Table 3. Univariate analysis for response and overall survival.				
	Overall response rate, %	p-value	Median overall survival, months, and 95% Cl	p-value
Sex, Female/Male	44.4/36.5	0.383	12.3/13.3 10.3-14.3/9.1-17.6	0.303
Age, 60-69/70-79/≥80 years	44.7/37.9/38.1	0.783	19/12.3/15 3.9-34.1/10.2-14.5/1.2-28.9	0.057
Absolute number of comorbidities, <3/≥3	33.8/55.6	0.273	13/9 10.2-15.8/1.6-16.4	0.662
Peripheral blasts, 0%/>0%	42.9/36.4	0.706	12.3/12.3 6.2-12.3/10.1-14.5	0.379
BM blast count, 20%-30%/>30%	41.7/39.1	0.801	13/12.3 7.8-18.2/9.6-15.1	0.929
AML type, t-AML/AML-RCA/AML-MRF/AML-NOS	50.0/43.2/57.1/35.0	0.592	7/11.3/6/14.1 4.4-9.6/9.2-13.4/3.5-12.9/11.9-16.2	0.091
Treatment prior to AZA, No/Yes	47.6/30.2	0.056	12.3/13.2 9.1-15.6/9.9-16.4	0.158
Leukocyte count at diagnosis, ≤10x10 ⁹ / L/>10x10 ⁹ /L	40.3/40.0	0.976	14/10.5 8.9-19.1/7.8-13.1	0.225
LDH, ≥225/<225 IU/L	41.9/38.5	0.758	11/19 8.6-13.4/12.1-25.9	0.018
ECOG, ≥2/<2	29.7/53.2	0.012	10/14.1 8.1-11.9/7.8-20.3	0.034
Number of AZA cycles, ≥5/<5	61.9/28	0.001	14.1/9 8.5-19.6/4.0-14.0	0.011
Transfusion dependency, Yes/No	36.3/66.7	0.025	12.3/20 9.8-14.8/4.2-35.9	0.077
Any HI, Yes/No	59.6/27.1	0.001	18/10 10.0-26.0/5.1-14.9	0.002

CI: Confidence interval, BM: bone marrow, t-AML: treatment-related acute myeloid leukemia, AML-RCA: acute myeloid leukemia with recurrent cytogenetic abnormalities, AML-MRF: acute myeloid leukemia with MDS-related features, AML-NOS: acute myeloid leukemia not otherwise specified, LDH: lactate dehydrogenase, ECOG: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group, AZA: azacitidine, HI: hematologic improvement.

	Response	Response		Overall survival	
	OR (95% CI)	p-value	HR (95% CI)	p-value	
LDH, ≥225/<225 IU/L	0.715 (0.248-2.058)	0.533	1.862 (0.977-3.549)	0.059	
ECOG, ≥2/<2	2.360 (0.969-5.748)	0.059	1.677 (1.020-2.758)	0.042	
Number of AZA cycles, ≥5/<5	0.312 (0.123-0.793)	0.014	0.576 (0.332-1.001)	0.050	
Transfusion dependency, yes/no	3.165 (0.790-12.683)	0.104	1.509 (0.650-3.505)	0.339	
Any HI, yes/no	0.311 (0.125-0.776)	0.012	0.621 (0.359-1.077)	0.090	

In the current study, we retrospectively analyzed the efficacy and toxicity of AZA in 130 patients with AML who were ≥60 years of age, and this cohort also included 80 patients (61.5% of the cases) with >30% BM blasts. We found a CR rate similar to the CR rates of recent studies [14,19,20,21], which was documented in 13.1% of our patient cohort. Median OS was 12.3 months and OS was longer in responders compared to nonresponders. We also showed that AZA was effective in the group with >30% BM blasts and that BM blast count of 20%-30% versus >30% has no significant impact on response rate or OS. In addition, although the response rate and OS were somewhat poor with the presence of peripheral blasts, these results were not statistically significant. In a study conducted by van der Helm et al. it was shown that BM blast percentage had no impact on OS as well [22]. In a recent phase 3 study of AZA versus conventional care regimens in newly diagnosed AML patients of ≥65 years with >30% BM blasts, Dombret et al. confirmed the clinical observation that AZA can have meaningful clinical activity (e.g., transfusion independency) and improve survival, even though no CR is achieved [23]. Thus, we recommend that AML patients with >30% BM blasts should not be precluded from treatment with AZA and the presence of peripheral blasts should not be a reason for therapy cessation.

HI was found to be a predictor of prolonged survival; significantly longer OS was observed in patients achieving any kind of HI compared to patients without any HI (p=0.002), and similar results have been shown in recent AML patient cohorts [15,20]. However, interestingly, we also found that in the unresponsive (without CR/CRi/PR) patient group, OS was significantly longer for patients who achieved HI compared to those without any HI (p=0.068). In other words, although this was not a statistically significant result, HI without CR/CRi or PR was also associated with a better OS. If commonly used AML response criteria were to be applied [17], patients who experience HI without CR, CRi, or PR would be called nonresponders and treatment with AZA would be discontinued. With these results, we can conclude that, since cytopenias are the cause of mortality in the majority of patients with AML, the goal of therapy with AZA should not just be CR or PR, and therapy should be continued in patients with any HI although there is not any simultaneous BM response.

Another result of our study was that, as the number of AZA courses increased, response rate and OS increased. This is not a surprise, because the epigenetic therapeutic effects of AZA are dependent on the S-phase of the cell cycle and each cycle of therapy can only affect the fraction of the malignant clone that enters the S-phase. Thus, the best responses can occur after as many as 12 cycles of therapy, with a median of 3-3.5 cycles [24]. Therefore, the treatment should not be interrupted in the early stages of therapy and it should be continued as long as the response is durable and/or until overt clinical progression occurs.

We confirm the results of previous studies [15,20,25] that WHO-AML type, treatment prior to AZA, sex, and age had no significant effect on OS. Not the age but rather the absolute number of comorbidities may adversely affect OS. In our study, a cut-off of $<3/\geq3$ comorbidities was analyzed and there was a trend for reduced OS for patients with ≥ 3 comorbidities, which was, however, not statistically significant (p=0.662). Similarly, LDH of \geq 225 IU/L was associated with reduced OS (p=0.018), but it had no impact on treatment response (p=0.758). Importantly, ECOG-PS of ≥ 2 was found to be the only baseline factor affecting OS in both univariate and multivariate analysis. Recently, an Austrian group reported that the absolute number of comorbidities and LDH of \geq 225 IU/L were independent adverse predictors of OS in their larger cohort (n=302) [15] and borderline significant in their previously published smaller cohort (n=155) [20]. As we found in our study, ECOG-PS of ≥ 2 was an independent adverse predictor of OS in both of the Austrian studies [15,20], and in a French study as well [25]. In our opinion, older age, WHO-AML type, prior treatments, and LDH level should not lead to a decision to withhold treatment of AZA in favor of BSC if the patient has an ECOG-PS score of <2.

Elevated leukocyte count had no impact on OS in our study, but conflicting results exist in the literature. Both aforementioned Austrian publications showed that leukocyte count of neither >10x10⁹/L nor >15x10⁹/L significantly affected OS [15,20], but the French publication showed a significant effect of leukocyte count of >15x10⁹/L on OS [25]. We think that AML patients with high leukocyte counts should not be precluded from treatment with AZA, and cytoreduction with hydroxyurea or low-dose cytarabine may be an appropriate approach in such patients.

As expected, transfusion dependence prior to AZA was associated with reduced OS in our study in univariate analysis, which was, however, not statistically significant (p=0.077). Transfusion dependence was not a predictor of reduced OS in the multivariate analysis of the Austrian studies, as well [15,20].

The most commonly observed toxicity was febrile neutropenia, at a rate higher than seen in the literature [12,13,15]. Other nonhematological toxicities were mild. However, due to the retrospective nature of this analysis, toxicities in general were probably underestimated.

Certainly, our study has several shortcomings, since it was a retrospective study, the patient population was heterogeneous, and the effect of cytogenetics on response to treatment was not evaluated.

In conclusion, AZA is effective and well tolerated in elderly comorbid AML patients with fewer required erythrocyte and platelet transfusions, irrespective of BM blast count. HI should be considered a sufficient response to continue treatment with AZA and treatment should not be interrupted since OS and response to treatment increase with increasing numbers of AZA cycles.

Ethics

Ethics Committee Approval: This study was approved by Mersin University Ethics Committee, Informed Consent: It is a retrospective study.

Authorship Contributions

Concept: Anil Tombak, Design: Anil Tombak, Data Collection and Processing: Anıl Tombak, Mehmet Ali Uçar, Aydan Akdeniz, Eyüp Naci Tiftik, Deniz Gören Şahin, Olga Meltem Akay, Murat Yıldırım, Oral Nevruz, Cem Kis, Emel Gürkan, Şerife Medeni Solmaz, Mehmet Ali Özcan, Rahşan Yıldırım, İlhami Berber, Mehmet Ali Erkurt, Tülin Fıratlı Tuğlular, Pınar Tarkun, İrfan Yavaşoğlu, Mehmet Hilmi Doğu, İsmail Sarı, Mustafa Merter, Muhit Özcan, Esra Yıldızhan, Leylagül Kaynar, Özgür Mehtap, Ayşe Uysal, Fahri Şahin, Ozan Salim, Mehmet Ali Sungur; Analysis or Interpretation: Anıl Tombak, Mehmet Ali Uçar, Aydan Akdeniz, Eyüp Naci Tiftik, Deniz Gören Şahin, Olga Meltem Akay, Murat Yıldırım, Oral Nevruz, Cem Kis, Emel Gürkan, Şerife Medeni Solmaz, Mehmet Ali Özcan, Rahşan Yıldırım, İlhami Berber, Mehmet Ali Erkurt, Tülin Fıratlı Tuğlular, Pınar Tarkun, İrfan Yavaşoğlu, Mehmet Hilmi Doğu, İsmail Sarı, Mustafa Merter, Muhit Özcan, Esra Yıldızhan, Leylagül Kaynar, Özgür Mehtap, Ayşe Uysal, Fahri Şahin, Ozan Salim, Mehmet Ali Sungur; Literature Search: Anıl Tombak, Mehmet Ali Uçar, Aydan Akdeniz, Eyüp Naci Tiftik, Deniz Gören Şahin, Olga Meltem Akay, Murat Yıldırım, Oral Nevruz, Cem Kis, Emel Gürkan, Şerife Medeni Solmaz, Mehmet Ali Özcan, Rahşan Yıldırım, İlhami Berber, Mehmet Ali Erkurt, Tülin Fıratlı Tuğlular, Pınar Tarkun, İrfan Yavaşoğlu, Mehmet Hilmi Doğu, İsmail Sarı, Mustafa Merter, Muhit Özcan, Esra Yıldızhan, Leylagül Kaynar, Özgür Mehtap, Ayşe Uysal, Fahri Şahin, Ozan Salim, Mehmet Ali Sungur; Writing: Anıl Tombak.

Conflict of Interest: The authors of this paper have no conflicts of interest, including specific financial interests, relationships, and/or affiliations relevant to the subject matter or materials included.

References

- 1. Klepin HD, Balducci L. Acute myelogenous leukemia in older adults. Oncologist 2009;14:222-232.
- Appelbaum FR, Gundacker H, Head DR, Slovak ML, Willman CL, Godwin JE, Anderson JE, Petersdorf SH. Age and acute myeloid leukemia. Blood 2006;107:3481-3485.
- Anderson JE, Kopecky KJ, Willman CL, Head D, O'Donnell MR, Luthardt FW, Norwood TH, Chen IM, Balcerzak SP, Johnson DB, Appelbaum FR. Outcome after induction chemotherapy for older patients with acute myeloid leukemia is not improved with mitoxantrone and etoposide compared to cytarabine and daunorubicin: a Southwest Oncology Group study. Blood 2002;100:3869-3876.
- Kantarjian H, O'Brien S, Cortes J, Giles F, Faderl S, Jabbour E, Garcia-Manero G, Wierda W, Pierce S, Shan J, Estey E. Results of intensive chemotherapy

in 998 patients age 65 years or older with acute myeloid leukemia or highrisk myelodysplastic syndrome: predictive prognostic models for outcome. Cancer 2006;106:1090-1098.

- Menzin J, Lang K, Earle CC, Kerney D, Mallick R. The outcomes and costs of acute myeloid leukemia among the elderly. Arch Intern Med 2002;162:1597– 1603.
- Deschler B, de Witte T, Mertelsmann R, Lübbert M. Treatment decision-making for older patients with high-risk myelodysplastic syndrome or acute myeloid leukemia: problems and approaches. Haematologica 2006;91:1513–1522.
- Kantarjian H, Ravandi F, O'Brien S, Cortes J, Faderl S, Garcia-Manero G, Jabbour E, Wierda W, Kadia T, Pierce S, Shan J, Keating M, Freireich EJ. Intensive chemotherapy does not benefit most older patients (age 70 years or older) with acute myeloid leukemia. Blood 2010;116:4422-4429.
- Fenaux P, Mufti GJ, Hellstrom-Lindberg E, Santini V, Finelli C, Giagounidis A, Schoch R, Gattermann N, Sanz G, List A, Gore SD, Seymour JF, Bennett JM, Byrd J, Backstrom J, Zimmerman L, McKenzie D, Beach C, Silverman LR; International Vidaza High-Risk MDS Survival Study Group. Efficacy of azacitidine compared with that of conventional care regimens in the treatment of higher-risk myelodysplastic syndromes: a randomised, openlabel, phase III study. Lancet Oncol 2009;10:223-232.
- Itzykson R, Thépot S, Quesnel B, Dreyfus F, Beyne-Rauzy O, Turlure P, Vey N, Recher C, Dartigeas C, Legros L, Delaunay J, Salanoubat C, Visanica S, Stamatoullas A, Isnard F, Marfaing-Koka A, de Botton S, Chelghoum Y, Taksin AL, Plantier I, Ame S, Boehrer S, Gardin C, Beach CL, Adès L, Fenaux P; Groupe Francophone des Myelodysplasies (GFM). Prognostic factors for response and overall survival in 282 patients with higher-risk myelodysplastic syndromes treated with azacitidine. Blood 2011;117:403-411.
- Silverman LR, McKenzie DR, Peterson BL, Holland JF, Backstrom JT, Beach CL, Larson RA; Cancer and Leukemia Group B. Further analysis of trials with azacitidine in patients with myelodysplastic syndrome: studies 8421, 8921, and 9221 by the Cancer and Leukemia Group B. J Clin Oncol 2006;24:3895-3903.
- Al-Ali HK, Jaekel N, Junghanss C, Maschmeyer G, Krahl R, Cross M, Hoppe G, Niederwieser D. Azacitidine in patients with acute myeloid leukemia medically unfit for or resistant to chemotherapy: a multicenter phase I/II study. Leuk Lymphoma 2012;53:110-117.
- Maurillo L, Venditti A, Spagnoli A, Gaidano G, Ferrero D, Oliva E, Lunghi M, D'Arco AM, Levis A, Pastore D, Di Renzo N, Santagostino A, Pavone V, Buccisano F, Musto P. Azacitidine for the treatment of patients with acute myeloid leukemia: report of 82 patients enrolled in an Italian Compassionate Program. Cancer 2012;118:1014–1022.
- Sudan N, Rossetti JM, Shadduck RK, Latsko J, Lech JA, Kaplan RB, Kennedy M, Gryn JF, Faroun Y, Lister J. Treatment of acute myelogenous leukemia with outpatient azacitidine. Cancer 2006;107:1839–1843.
- 14. Fenaux P, Mufti GJ, Hellström-Lindberg E, Santini V, Gattermann N, Germing U, Sanz G, List AF, Gore S, Seymour JF, Dombret H, Backstrom J, Zimmerman L, McKenzie D, Beach CL, Silverman LR. Azacitidine prolongs overall survival compared with conventional care regimens in elderly patients with low bone marrow blast count acute myeloid leukemia. J Clin Oncol 2010;28:562-569.
- Pleyer L, Burgstaller S, Girschikofsky M, Linkesch W, Stauder R, Pfeilstocker M, Schreder M, Tinchon C, Sliwa T, Lang A, Sperr WR, Krippl P, Geissler D, Voskova D, Schlick K, Thaler J, Machherndl-Spandl S, Theiler G, Eckmüllner O, Greil R. Azacitidine in 302 patients with WHO-defined acute myeloid leukemia: results from the Austrian Azacitidine Registry of the AGMT-Study Group. Ann Hematol 2014;93:1825–1838.
- 16. Grimwade D, Hills RK, Moorman AV, Walker H, Chatters S, Goldstone AH, Wheatley K, Harrison CJ, Burnett AK; National Cancer Research Institute Adult Leukaemia Working Group. Refinement of cytogenetic classification in acute myeloid leukemia: determination of prognostic significance of rare recurring chromosomal abnormalities among 5876 younger adult patients treated in the United Kingdom Medical Research Council trials. Blood 2010;116:354-365.

- 17. Cheson BD, Bennett JM, Kopecky KJ, Büchner T, Willman CL, Estey EH, Schiffer CA, Doehner H, Tallman MS, Lister TA, Lo-Coco F, Willemze R, Biondi A, Hiddemann W, Larson RA, Löwenberg B, Sanz MA, Head DR, Ohno R, Bloomfield CD; International Working Group for Diagnosis, Standardization of Response Criteria, Treatment Outcomes, and Reporting Standards for Therapeutic Trials in Acute Myeloid Leukemia. Revised recommendations of the International Working Group for Diagnosis, Standardization of Response Criteria, Treatment Outcomes, and Reporting Standards for Therapeutic Trials in Acute Myeloid Leukemia. J Clin Oncol 2003;21:4642-4649.
- Cheson BD, Bennett JM, Kantarjian H, Pinto A, Schiffer CA, Nimer SD, Löwenberg B, Beran M, de Witte TM, Stone RM, Mittelman M, Sanz GF, Wijermans PW, Gore S, Greenberg PL; World Health Organization (WHO) International Working Group. Report of an international working group to standardize response criteria for myelodysplastic syndromes. Blood 2000;96:3671-3674.
- 19. Quintas-Cardama A, Ravandi F, Liu-Dumlao T, Brandt M, Faderl S, Pierce S, Borthakur G, Garcia-Manero G, Cortes J, Kantarjian H. Epigenetic therapy is associated with similar survival compared with intensive chemotherapy in older patients with newly diagnosed acute myeloid leukemia. Blood 2012;120:4840-4845.
- Pleyer L, Stauder R, Burgstaller S, Schreder M, Tinchon C, Pfeilstocker M, Steinkirchner S, Melchardt T, Mitrovic M, Girschikofsky M, Lang A, Krippl P, Sliwa T, Egle A, Linkesch W, Voskova D, Angermann H, Greil R. Azacitidine in patients with WHO-defined AML - results of 155 patients from the Austrian Azacitidine Registry of the AGMT-Study Group. J Hematol Oncol 2013;6:32.

- Radujkovic A, Dietrich S, Bochtler T, Krämer A, Schöning T, Ho AD, Dreger P, Luft T. Azacitidine and low-dose cytarabine in palliative patients with acute myeloid leukemia and high bone marrow blast counts--a retrospective single-center experience. Eur J Haematol 2014;93:112-117.
- 22. van der Helm LH, Veeger NJ, van Marwijk Kooy M, Beeker A, de Weerdt O, de Groot M, Alhan C, Hoogendoorn M, Laterveer L, van de Loosdrecht AA, Koedam J, Vellenga E, Huls G. Azacitidine results in comparable outcome in newly diagnosed AML patients with more or less than 30% bone marrow blasts. Leuk Res 2013;37:877-882.
- 23. Dombret H, Seymour JF, Butrym A, Wierzbowska A, Selleslag D, Jang JH, Kumar R, Cavenagh J, Schuh AC, Candoni A, Récher C, Sandhu I, Bernal del Castillo T, Al-Ali HK, Martinelli G, Falantes J, Noppeney R, Stone RM, Minden MD, McIntyre H, Songer S, Lucy LM, Beach CL, Döhner H. International phase 3 study of azacitidine vs conventional care regimens in older patients with newly diagnosed AML with >30% blasts. Blood 2015;126:291-299.
- 24. Gore SD. New ways to use DNA methyltransferase inhibitors for the treatment of myelodysplastic syndrome. Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program 2011;2011:550-555.
- 25. Thepot S, Itzykson R, Seegers V, Recher C, Raffoux E, Quesnel B, Delaunay J, Cluzeau T, Marfaing Koka A, Stamatoullas A, Chaury MP, Dartigeas C, Cheze S, Banos A, Morel P, Plantier I, Taksin AL, Marolleau JP, Pautas C, Thomas X, Isnard F, Beve B, Chait Y, Guerci A, Vey N, Dreyfus F, Ades L, Ifrah N, Dombret H, Fenaux P, Gardin C; Groupe Francophone des Myélodysplasies (GFM), Acute Leukemia French Association (ALFA); Groupe Ouest-Est des Leucémies Aiguës; Maladies du Sang (GOELAMS). Azacitidine in untreated acute myeloid leukemia: a report on 149 patients. Am J Hematol 2014;89:410-416.