

DOI: 10.14744/SEMB.2022.11129 Med Bull Sisli Etfal Hosp 2023;57(1):118–123

**Original Research** 



# What Would be the Difference Between Operative Treatment of Patients with Tibia Fractures out of Working Hours; Intramedullary Nailing for Tibial Shaft Fractures

<sup>10</sup> Mehmet Selcuk Saygili,<sup>1</sup> <sup>10</sup> Ali Cagri Tekin,<sup>1</sup> <sup>10</sup> Tugrul Ergun,<sup>2</sup> <sup>10</sup> Baris Ozkul,<sup>3</sup> <sup>10</sup> Deniz Akbulut,<sup>4</sup> <sup>10</sup> Mustafa Alper Incesoy,<sup>5</sup> <sup>10</sup> Bilal Demir<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology, Prof. Dr. Cemil Taşçıoğlu City Hospital, İstanbul, Türkiye <sup>2</sup>Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology, İstinye University Faculty of Medicine, İstanbul, Türkiye <sup>3</sup>Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology, Üniversity of Health Sciences Türkiye, Metin Sabanci Baltalimani Bone Diseases Training and Research Hospital, Istanbul, Türkiye

<sup>4</sup>Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology, Van Akdamar Hospital, Van, Türkiye

<sup>5</sup>Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology, University of Health Sciences Türkiye, Adiyaman Training and Research Hospital, Adiyaman, Türkiye

## Abstract

**Objectives:** Performing orthopedic surgery in and out of working hours may affect the success of the surgery. Timing of surgery in tibial shaft fractures is controversial. In this study, the effect of the timing of surgery on the success and complications of intramedullary nailing of tibial fractures is examined.

**Methods:** Archieves of patients with tibial shaft fractures treated with reamed intramedullary nails between 2010 and 2016 were retrospectively analyzed. Fifty-seven patients were included in the study. Patients were categorized by the time of the surgery. Day time (Group I) is between 7:00 am and 5:00 pm and after hour (Group II) is between 5:00 pm and 07:00 am. Group I (n: 40) and Group II (n: 17) were evaluated. Technical errors, surgery time, and length of hospital stay statistical analysis was performed between the two groups in terms of technical errors, complication rates, length of hospital stay, and duration of surgery.

**Results:** The mean duration of operation (p=0.419), number of distal screws (p=0.847), time to union (p=0.454), experience of the surgeon (p=0.192), and technical error rate (p=0.654) did not differ significantly between two groups. Length of hospital stay and time to surgery from emergency were significantly higher in day time group.

**Conclusion:** Technical errors and surgery time of intramedullary nailing of tibial shaft fractures are not higher at after hour before midnight than day time. Non-urgent tibial shaft fractures might be treated with intramedullary nailing at after hours before midnight for efficient use of hospitals.

Keywords: Intramedullary nailing, Tibial shaft fracture, Time of day, Time of surgery

Please cite this article as "Saygili MS, Tekin AC, Ergun T, Ozkul B, Akbulut D, Incesoy MA, et al. What Would be the Difference Between Operative Treatment of Patients with Tibia Fractures out of Working Hours; Intramedullary Nailing for Tibial Shaft Fractures. Med Bull Sisli Etfal Hosp 2023;57(1):118–123".

ntramedullary nailing is widely accepted treatment choice for tibial shaft fractures.<sup>[1-3]</sup> Timing of the surgery is controversial in the literature. Fractures which require

emergent or urgent care are treated without regard for operation team's condition or time of the day. Some fractures do not require urgent care and surgeon decides the

Address for correspondence: Ali Cagri Tekin, MD. Prof. Dr. Cemil Taşçıoğlu Şehir Hastanesi, Ortopedi ve Travmatoloji Bölümü, İstanbul, Türkiye Phone: +90 532 406 54 85 E-mail: cagrtekin@yahoo.com

Submitted Date: April 29, 2022 Accepted Date: August 01, 2022 Available Online Date: March 21, 2023 <sup>®</sup>Copyright 2023 by The Medical Bulletin of Sisli Etfal Hospital - Available online at www.sislietfaltip.org OPEN ACCESS This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).



time of surgery. At after hours time fatigue of the surgeon, experience level and decreased availability of night time operating room staff are some of the reason for delayed operations. Controversially to prevent intensity of day time scheduled operations, some non-urgent fractures may be operated at after hours.

Time to surgical treatment is not a prognostic factor for functional outcomes of tibia fractures.<sup>[4-7]</sup> Ricci et al.<sup>[6]</sup> reported that femoral fractures which were treated at after hours need more re-operations than tibia fractures. Although the functional outcomes are similar for time of surgery; healing time, complications, operation times, and length of hospital stays are different.

The aim of this study is to evaluate the technical errors, operation times, complications, and length of hospital stay between closed intramedullary nailing for tibia shaft fractures at day time and after hours. In our study, we assumed that there would be higher rates of technical errors and complications in after hour cases.

### Methods

After IRB approval (June 09, 2017/8) archieves of patients with tibia shaft fractures whom treated with reamed intramedullary tibial nail between 2010 and 2016 in our hospital were evaluated. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Patients with additional injury, pathological fracture, metabolic disease, previous treatment for this fracture (deterioration of reduction after conservative treatment and non-union), multitrauma patients, and neurovascular injuries were excluded from the study. The remaining 57 patients after exclusion were included in the study. Patients were categorized by the time of the surgery. Day time (Group I) is between 7:00 am and 5:00 pm and after hour (Group II) is between 5:00 pm and 07:00 am. Group I (n: 40) and Group II (n: 17) were evaluated. All tibia fractures are 42 for AO/ OTA classification. Open fractures (n: 17) were classified according to Gustilo-Anderson Classification. Age, sex, comorbities, surgery time, length of hospital stay, time to surgery, experience of surgeons, number of distal locking screws, union time, ethiology (mechanism of injury), fracture type, concominant fibula fracture, and technical errors were evaluated.

## **Surgical Technique**

The implants used in all patients were obtained from Tasarimmed<sup>®</sup>. The operations were performed with transpatellar approach in supine position under spinal anesthesia with fluoroscopy guidence. The nail that is in appropriate length and diameter for the bone was implanted intramedullary after the medulla was reamerized with flexible reamer. Proximal locking screws were implanted with an external guide. Distal locking screws were applied freehand under fluoroscopy.

## **Clinical Evaluation**

Technical errors were described as improper proximal insertion point, fracture gap over 3 mm, tibial varus and valgus, protrusion at the knee, improper nail length and diameter, and iatrogenic fractures. Hernigou and Cohen<sup>[8]</sup> described the anatomical structures at risk and examined the most appropriate site of entry for tibial nailing (sweet spot). Proximal entry points which were far away about 5 mm from sweet spot at both anteroposterior and lateral radiographs were accepted as improper entry points. Tibial malalignment was sustained 5° or more angulation in any plane.<sup>[9]</sup> Intramedullary nail to canal diameter ratio <0.8 or >0.99 and distance between distal nail tip and ankle joint >5 mm were accepted as technical errors.<sup>[10]</sup> Radiological measurements were made from the post-operative radiographs through the pictures archives and communication systems program by an orthopedic surgeon who did not attend the operation.

Experience of the surgeon could affect the duration of the operation and technical errors.<sup>[11]</sup> In the present study, the operations performed by the same surgeons in day time and at after hours were included in the research to eliminate the experience difference between the surgeons. All surgeries were performed by ten orthopedic surgeons. Orthopedic surgeons are classified according to the experience years on the operation dates. Surgeons who have worked for 5 years as an orthopedic surgeon were classified as experienced and others inexperienced.

The operating team is left without radiology technicians for fluoroscopy at after hours. Fluoroscopy is used by operating room staff at after hours. All operating room nurses have orthopedic surgery experience at after hours and day time without any distinction.

## **Statistical Analysis**

The data were analyzed using IBM SPSS version 22.0 (IBM Corporation, New York, USA). Mean, standard deviation, median, frequency, and ratio values are used in the descriptive statistics of the data. The distribution of the variables was measured by the Kolmogorov–Simirnov test. Independent sample t-test and Mann-Whitney U-test were used in the analysis of quantitative independent data. Chi-square test was used to analyze qualitative independent data, and Fischer test was used when Chi-square test conditions were not met.

# Results

Seventeen patients were operated at after hours and 40 patients were operated at day time. Descriptive characteristics of the patients are shown in Table 1. The length of hospital stay and the mean time to operation day in day

time group were significantly higher than after hour group (Table 2). The mean duration of operation, number of distal screws, time to union, experience of the surgeon, type of fracture, and technical error rate did not differ significantly (p>0.05) (Tables 2 and 3).

#### **Table 1.** Descriptive characteristics of the patients

|                                     | Min-Max   | Median  | Mean±SD/n-% |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|---------|-------------|
| Age                                 | 16–66     | 29.0    | 32.8±12.5   |
| Sex                                 |           |         |             |
| Female                              |           |         | 18±31.6     |
| Male                                |           |         | 39±68.4     |
| Length of hospital stay (day)       | 1–14      | 4.0     | 4.8±2.7     |
| Time to surgery from E.R. (minute)  | 187–11751 | 2466    | 3069±2928   |
| Surgery time (minute)               | 40-200    | 90.0    | 91.1±34.4   |
| Number of distal locking screws     | 1–4       | 2.0     | 2.0±0.6     |
| Time to union                       | 44–269    | 88.0    | 93.6±36.5   |
| Experience of surgeon               |           |         |             |
| <5 years                            |           |         | 31±54.4     |
| ≥5 years                            |           |         | 26±45.6     |
| Etiology                            |           |         |             |
| Non-motor vehicle accident          |           | 2±3.5   |             |
| Gunshot                             |           |         | 1±1.8       |
| Horse kick                          |           |         | 1±1.8       |
| Assault                             |           |         | 1±1.8       |
| Fall                                |           | 37±64.9 |             |
| Sports accident                     |           |         | 2±3.5       |
| Motor vehicle accident              |           |         | 13±22.8     |
| Fracture classification AO/OTA      |           |         |             |
| 42A1                                |           |         | 29±50.9     |
| 42A2                                |           |         | 10±17.5     |
| 42A3                                |           |         | 14±24.6     |
| 42B2                                |           |         | 2±3.5       |
| 42C1                                |           |         | 2±3.5       |
| Open fracture type gustilo-anderson |           |         |             |
| Closed                              |           |         | 40±70.2     |
| Open                                |           |         | 17±29.8     |
| I                                   |           |         | 9±15.8      |
| II                                  |           |         | 5±8.8       |
| III                                 |           |         | 3±5.3       |
| Concomitant fibula fracture         |           |         |             |
| Yes                                 |           | 50±87.7 |             |
| No                                  |           | 7±12.3  |             |
| Fracture segment                    |           |         |             |
| Distal                              |           |         | 26±45.6     |
| Middle                              |           |         | 28±49.1     |
| Proximal                            |           |         | 3±5.3       |
| Technical errors                    |           |         |             |
| Yes                                 |           | 21±36.8 |             |
| No                                  |           | 36±63.2 |             |

|   | Day time    |        | After hours  |        | Р                              |
|---|-------------|--------|--------------|--------|--------------------------------|
|   | Mean±SD/n-% | Median | Mean±SD./n-% | Median |                                |
| Age   | 31.5±12.8   | 29.0   | 35.6±11.9    | 34.0   | 0.260 <sup>t</sup>             |
| Sex   |             |        |              |        |                                |
| Woman   | 12±30.0     |        | 6±35.3       |        | 0.694 <sup>x<sup>2</sup></sup> |
| Man   | 28±70.0     |        | 11±64.7      |        |                                |
| Length of hospital stay (day)                                 | 5.5±2.8     | 5.0    | 3.1±1.7      | 3.0    | 0.001 <sup>m</sup>             |
| Time to surgery from E.R. (min.)                              | 4162±2860   | 3507   | 499±277      | 428    | 0.001 <sup>m</sup>             |
| Surgery time (minute)   | 93.6±37.7   | 90.0   | 85.4±24.8    | 90.0   | 0.419 <sup>t</sup>             |
| Number of distal locking screws                               | 2.0±0.6     | 2.0    | 2.1±0.7      | 2.0    | 0.847 <sup>t</sup>             |
| Time to union (day)   | 95.9±40.9   | 88.0   | 87.5±20.5    | 92.0   | 0.454 <sup>t</sup>             |
| <5 years  | 24±60.0     |        | 7±41.2       |        | 0.192 <sup>x<sup>2</sup></sup> |
| Experience of surgeon   |             |        |              |        |                                |
| ≥5 years  | 16±40.0     |        | 10±58.8      |        |                                |
| Open Fr type gustilo A  |             |        |              |        |                                |
| Close   | 31±77.5     |        | 9±52.9       |        | 0.064 <sup>X<sup>2</sup></sup> |
| Open  | 9±22.5      |        | 8±47.1       |        |                                |
| 1   | 5±12.5      |        | 4±23.5       |        |                                |
| II  | 3±7.5       |        | 2±11.8       |        |                                |
| III   | 1±2.5       |        | 2±11.8       |        |                                |
| Concomitant Fibula Fr.  |             |        |              |        |                                |
| Yes   | 36±90.0     |        | 14±82.4      |        | 0.421 <sup>x<sup>2</sup></sup> |
| No  | 4±10.0      |        | 3±17.6       |        |                                |
| Fracture segment  |             |        |              |        |                                |
| Distal  | 17±42.5     |        | 9±52.9       |        | 0.664 <sup>X<sup>2</sup></sup> |
| Middle  | 21±52.5     |        | 7±41.2       |        | 0.622 <sup>X<sup>2</sup></sup> |
| Proximal  | 2±5.0       |        | 1±5.9        |        | 1.0 <sup>x<sup>2</sup></sup>   |
| Technical errors  |             |        |              |        |                                |
| None  | 26±65.0     |        | 10±58.8      |        | 0.654                          |
| Yes   | 14±35.0     |        | 7±41.2       |        |                                |
| it tost/m Mann Whitney II tost/X <sup>2</sup> Chi square tost |             |        |              |        | -                              |

Table 2. Comparison of clinical features between groups in patients

<sup>t</sup>t test/<sup>m</sup> Mann–Whitney U-test/<sup>X<sup>2</sup></sup> Chi-square test.

# Discussion

The striking findings of the present study are that the length of hospital stay and the time to operation were found to be significantly increased in the management of tibia shaft fractures by reamerized nailing between day time and after hours. The amount of technical error and complication rates were not found to be significantly different between two groups on the contrary to our expectation. On the other hand, all the after hour operations were performed before midnight. These findings could have arised as a result of this condition.

The mean length of hospital stay is significantly higher in day time group. This difference is not about complications. The time to operation from emergency room is also significantly higher. Due to scheduled operations and lack of available orthopedic trauma operating room at day time, fractures which cannot be operated or are not operated at after time are waitlisted. Delays in surgery and lengthening of hospital stay cause the raised expenses to healthcare system.<sup>[4]</sup> In this study, the additional expenses on healthcare system was not investigated; however, we reckon the increased duration of hospital stay gives rise to additional unnecessary expenses on the health-care system; furthermore, the waitlisting of these patients hinders the efficient use of hospitals.

Night time surgery was not found to be associated with a higher rate of complications in some studies.<sup>[12-14]</sup> Even higher incidence of complications was not observed, Aydogmus et al.<sup>[13]</sup> reported that poor fixation rate of distal humerus fractures was significantly greater in the after hours group. None of these researches evaluated the fatigue of the surgeon directly. Brandenberger et al.<sup>[15]</sup> evaluated the cognitive functions of the surgeons after

|                                | Day Time     |        | After hours  |        | Р |
|--------------------------------|--------------|--------|--------------|--------|---|
|                                | Mean±SD./n-% | Median | Mean±SD./n-% | Median |   |
| Etiology                       |              |        |              |        |   |
| Non-motor vehicle accident     | 0±0.0        |        | 2±11.8       |        |   |
| Gunshot                        | 1±2.5        |        | 0±0.0        |        |   |
| Horse kick                     | 0±0.0        |        | 1±5.9        |        |   |
| Assault                        | 1±2.5        |        | 0±0.0        |        |   |
| Fall                           | 27±67.5      |        | 10±58.8      |        |   |
| Sports accident                | 1±2.5        |        | 1±5.9        |        |   |
| Motor vehicle accident         | 10±25.0      |        | 3±17.6       |        |   |
| Fracture classification AO/OTA |              |        |              |        |   |
| 42A1                           | 19±47.5      |        | 10±58.8      |        |   |
| 42A2                           | 9±22.5       |        | 1±5.9        |        |   |
| 42A3                           | 9±22.5       |        | 5±29.4       |        |   |
| 42B2                           | 2±5.0        |        | 0±0.0        |        |   |
| 42C1                           | 1±2.5        |        | 1±5.9        |        |   |

Table 3. Etiological and AO/OTA classification of patients

night-shift and day-shift. They reported that the night-shift group was found significantly less proficient in cognitive tasks after their shifts. Some studies also suggested that errors could occur due to fatigue of the surgeons.<sup>[6,16,17]</sup> In this study, it is revealed that at what time, the operation was performed which does not have an impact on complication rates.

Mckee et al.<sup>[18]</sup> looked for the answer to the question of which operations could be postponed until the following day in orthopedics. They reported that surgical treatment of uncomplicated fractures of tibia and fibula with no displacement is inappropriate after midnight. Yaghoubian et al.<sup>[19]</sup> described night time between 10 PM and 6 AM to compare outcomes of trauma surgery performed by residents who have worked longer than 16 h. They reported similar favorable outcomes for both groups. Timing of tibia shaft fracture nailing surgery may not be of significant importance. On the other hand, all the after hour operations were performed before midnight. This could be interpreted as there is no significant difference between day time and after hours before midnight.

Proximal insertion point of the tibial nail is important for minimalizing the damage of joint cartilage and the anatomical structures at risk. Hernigou and Cohen<sup>[8]</sup> described the most appropriate site of entry for tibial nailing ("sweet spot"). Technical error was defined as having an entry point other than the sweet spot. In retrospective review of 30 patients, eight entry points were found out of the sweet spot. <sup>[8]</sup> There were two improper insertion points for after-hours and six for day-time in our groups. This ratio is proportional to the literature. Fracture gap after surgery in tibial shaft fractures is also important for union. Study to prospectively evaluate reamed intramedullary nails in patients with tibial fractures (SPRINT) investigators reported that post-operative fracture gap even <1 cm had increased risk.<sup>[20]</sup> In this study, more than 3 mm post-operative fracture gap was accepted as a technical error. This technical error was found two patients in day-time group and one patient in after-hours group. In this study, there were no patients with fracture gap >1 cm and non-union.

The limitations of this study could be listed as having low number of cases, being a retrospective study, operations not having been performed by a single surgeon, being single-centered, after hours team being familiar with the orthopedic operations since the center is a orthopedics hospital. One of the major limitations of this study is that all after hour operations were performed before midnight. This condition might have resulted with the statistical indifference between day time and after hours. On the other hand, it could be concluded that technical errors are not affected from whether the operation was performed in day time or at after hours for operations performed before midnight. These limitations could be mended with prospective randomized controlled trials which are multi-centered, have more cases, comparison of results from different surgeons, and where operation time is also considered.

## Conclusion

There are no differences in terms of complication rates and technical errors between the operations performed in day time and after hours before midnight. Moreover, the length of hospital stay was found to be longer in the day time group. For this reason, tibia shaft fractures could be safely operated before midnight by intramedullary nailing.

#### Disclosures

**Ethics Committee Approval:** Consent of Ethics was obtained from Ethic Committe (09.06.2017/8).

Peer-review: Externally peer-reviewed.

```
Conflict of Interest: None declared.
```

Authorship Contributions: Concept – M.S.S., A.C.T.; Design – T.E., B.O.; Supervision – D.A., M.A.I.; Materials – B.O., B.D.; Data collection &/or processing – M.S.S., T.E.; Analysis and/or interpretation – B.O., B.D.; Literature search – M.S.S., M.A.I.; Writing – T.E., D.A.; Critical review – M.S.S., A.C.T., T.E.

## References

- Bhandari M, Guyatt GH, Tong D, Adili A, Shaughnessy SG. Reamed versus nonreamed intramedullary nailing of lower extremity long bone fractures: a systematic overview and meta-analysis. J Orthop Trauma 2000;14:2–9. [CrossRef]
- Court-Brown CM, Christie J, McQueen MM. Closed intramedullary tibial nailing. Its use in closed and type I open fractures. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1990;72:605–11. [CrossRef]
- Karladani AH, Granhed H, Edshage B, Jerre R, Styf J. Displaced tibial shaft fractures: a prospective randomized study of closed intramedullary nailing versus cast treatment in 53 patients. Acta Orthop Scand 2000;71:160–7. [CrossRef]
- 4. Bhandari M, Adili A, Leone J, Lachowski RJ, Kwok DC. Early versus delayed operative management of closed tibial fractures. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1999;368:230-9. [CrossRef]
- Smith JE. Results of early and delayed internal fixation for tibial shaft fractures. A review of 470 fractures. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1974;56B:469–77. [CrossRef]
- Ricci WM, Gallagher B, Brandt A, Schwappach J, Tucker M, Leighton R. Is after-hours orthopaedic surgery associated with adverse outcomes? A prospective comparative study. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2009;91:2067–72. [CrossRef]
- Larsen P, Koelner-Augustson L, Elsoe R, Petruskevicius J, Rasmussen S. The long-term outcome after treatment for patients with tibial fracture treated with intramedullary nailing is not influenced by time of day of surgery and surgeon experience. Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg 2017;43:221–6. [CrossRef]
- 8. Hernigou P, Cohen D. Proximal entry for intramedullary nailing of the tibia. The risk of unrecognised articular damage. J Bone Joint

Surg Br 2000;82:33-41. [CrossRef]

- Freedman EL, Johnson EE. Radiographic analysis of tibial fracture malalignment following intramedullary nailing. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1995;315:25–33. [CrossRef]
- Donegan DJ, Akinleye S, Taylor RM, Baldwin K, Mehta S. Intramedullary nailing of tibial shaft fractures: size matters. J Orthop Trauma 2016;30:377–80. [CrossRef]
- Swiontkowski M, Teague D, Sprague S, Bzovsky S, Heels-Ansdell D, Bhandari M, et al; SPRINT Investigators. Impact of centre volume, surgeon volume, surgeon experience and geographic location on reoperation after intramedullary nailing of tibial shaft fractures. Can J Surg 2021;64:E371–6. [CrossRef]
- 12. Turrentine FE, Wang H, Young JS, Calland JF. What is the safety of nonemergent operative procedures performed at night? A study of 10,426 operations at an academic tertiary care hospital using the American College of Surgeons national surgical quality program improvement database. J Trauma 2010;69:313–9. [CrossRef]
- Aydoğmuş S, Duymuş TM, Keçeci T, Adiyeke L, Kafadar AB. Comparison of daytime and after-hours surgical treatment of supracondylar humeral fractures in children. J Pediatr Orthop B 2017;26:400–4. [CrossRef]
- Bosma E, de Jongh MA, Verhofstad MH. Operative treatment of patients with pertrochanteric femoral fractures outside working hours is not associated with a higher incidence of complications or higher mortality. J Bone Joint Surg Br 2010;92:110–5. [CrossRef]
- Brandenberger J, Kahol K, Feinstein AJ, Ashby A, Smith M, Ferrara JJ. Effects of duty hours and time of day on surgery resident proficiency. Am J Surg 2010;200:814–8. [CrossRef]
- Taffinder NJ, McManus IC, Gul Y, Russell RC, Darzi A. Effect of sleep deprivation on surgeons' dexterity on laparoscopy simulator. Lancet 1998;352:1191. [CrossRef]
- Gawande AA, Zinner MJ, Studdert DM, Brennan TA. Analysis of errors reported by surgeons at three teaching hospitals. Surgery 2003;133:614–21. [CrossRef]
- McKee M, Priest P, Ginzler M, Black N. What is the requirement for out-of-hours operating in orthopaedics? Arch Emerg Med 1993;10:91–9. [CrossRef]
- Yaghoubian A, Kaji AH, Putnam B, de Virgilio C. Trauma surgery performed by "sleep deprived" residents: are outcomes affected? J Surg Educ 2010;67:449–51. [CrossRef]
- 20. Schemitsch EH, Bhandari M, Guyatt G, Sanders DW, Swiontkowski M, Tornetta P, et al; Study to Prospectively Evaluate Reamed Intramedullary Nails in Patients with Tibial Fractures (SPRINT) Investigators. Prognostic factors for predicting outcomes after intramedullary nailing of the tibia. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2012;94:1786–93.