Pamukkale Univ Muh Bilim Derg, 30(4), 556-563, 2024



Pamukkale Üniversitesi Mühendislik Bilimleri Dergisi

Pamukkale University Journal of Engineering Sciences



# Assessment of thermal conductivity of rocks using regression analyses and artificial neural networks

## Kayaçların termal iletkenliğinin regresyon analizleri ve yapay sinir ağları kullanılarak değerlendirilmesi



<sup>1</sup>Department of Mining Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Süleyman Demirel University, Isparta, Turkey.

hilaltoklu@sdu.edu.tr

<sup>2</sup>Department of Geological Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Suleyman Demirel University, Isparta, Turkey. <u>ebrubaspinar@sdu.edu.tr</u>

Received/Geliş Tarihi: 06.12.2022 Accepted/Kabul Tarihi: 30.08.2023 Revision/Düzeltme Tarihi: 10.05.2023

Öz

doi: 10.5505/pajes.2023.71609 Research Article/Araştırma Makalesi

#### Abstract

This study investigated the thermal conductivity of natural stones (k)through regression analyses and artificial neural networks (ANN). In order to gather a sizable number of datasets for the aforementioned analytic methodologies, a thorough literature review was carried out. Based on different physicomechanical rock characteristics, like dry density  $(\rho_d)$ , effective porosity  $(n_e)$ , uniaxial compressive strength (UCS), and pulse wave velocity  $(V_p)$ , seven estimated models (M1-M7) were created for the evaluation of k. The regression-based models (M1-M5) demonstrated that the considered rock properties influence the k of natural stones at different degrees. Notably, the  $n_e$  and  $V_p$  were found to be highly correlative parameters for estimating the k of natural stones. A number of statistical indicators were used to assess the performance of the developed models. The statistical evaluations indicated that the ANN-based models (M6, M7) provided more consistent results than the M1-M5 models. In addition, the mathematical expressions for ANN-based models were also given in the present study to let users carry out them more efficiently. In this case, this study is thought to ensure applicable and comprehensible information on the heat conduction of natural stones and can be described as a research study on how to model the k of natural stones as a factor of various rock characteristics.

**Keywords:** Thermal conductivity, Natural stone, Regression analysis, Artificial neural networks.

## **1** Introduction

The thermal properties of natural stones have been considered a critical research subject in earth sciences and geotechnical engineering. In this regard, the thermal conductivity of natural stones is of prime importance in numerous engineering fields such as heating and cooling systems in natural buildings, hydraulic characterization of aquifers, underground oil and natural gas investigations, design of buried high-voltage power cables, and nuclear waste storage systems [1]-[5]. In general terms, Thermal conductivity is a physical indicator of a material's ability to transmit temperature at the molecular level. From the rock mechanics perspective, it is denoted by *k* or  $\lambda$  (W/mK).

The thermal resistance of rocks is a function of k, a critical phenomenon for natural building stones. The higher the thermal resistance of rocks, the lower is the heat loss in the

Bu çalışma, doğal taşların (k) termal iletkenliğini regresyon analizleri ve yapay sinir ağları (YSA) yoluyla araştırmıştır. Bu amaçla, yukarıda belirtilen analiz yöntemleri için çok sayıda veri seti derlemek için kapsamlı bir literatür araştırması yapılmıştır. Kuru yoğunluk (p<sub>d</sub>), etkin gözeneklilik (n<sub>e</sub>), tek eksenli basınç dayanımı (UCS) ve darbe dalga hızı (V<sub>p</sub>), gibi farklı fizikomekanik kaya özelliklerine dayanarak, k'nin değerlendirilmesi için yedi tahmin modeli (M1-M7) kurulmuştur. Regresyona dayalı modeller (M1-M5), dikkate alınan kaya özelliklerinin doğal taşların k değerini farklı derecelerde etkilediğini göstermiştir. Özellikle,  $n_e$  ve  $V_p$ 'nin doğal taşların k'sini tahmin etmek için yüksek oranda bağıntılı parametreler olduğu bulunmuştur. Kurulan modellerin performansı da çeşitli istatistiksel göstergeler kullanılarak değerlendirilmiştir. İstatistiksel değerlendirmeler, YSA tabanlı modellerin (M6, M7), M1-M5 modellerinden daha tutarlı sonuçlar verdiğini göstermiştir. Ayrıca, kullanıcıların bunları daha verimli bir şekilde uygulayabilmeleri için YSA tabanlı modeller için matematiksel ifadeler de bu çalışmada verilmiştir. Böylelikle, bu çalışmanın, doğal taşların ısı iletimi hakkında pratik ve anlaşılır bilgiler sağlayacağı düşünülmektedir ve farklı kaya özelliklerinin bir fonksiyonu olarak doğal taşların k'sının nasıl modelleneceğine dair bir vaka çalışması olarak tanımlanabilir.

Anahtar kelimeler: İsı iletkenliği, Doğal taş, regresyon analizi, Yapay sinir ağları.

building. In that context, lower k values are desired for rocks, which are to be used as cladding and facing stones [6]. The k of natural stones is associated with various physical, chemical, and thermodynamical properties, such as mineralogical composition, thermal expansion of rock-forming minerals, porosity, and water content [7],[8]. The k is also a sensitive parameter to rock anisotropy [9]-[11].

Regarding modern rock mechanics and rock engineering approaches, one of the preferable methods to determine the k of intact rocks in the laboratory is based on the study by Popov et al. [3]. Furthermore, extensive experimental effort has been done in the last several decades to discover correlations between the k and other rock parameters. The results obtained from these studies provided comprehensive knowledge on the heat conduction of natural stones. For instance, Zimmermann [12] reported a statistically significant correlation between the k and  $n_e$  of rocks, where higher  $n_e$  values indicate higher

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author/Yazışılan Yazar

thermal resistance [13]. However, it should be noted that rocks with higher  $n_e$  values have lower strength properties. In addition to the  $n_e$ , rock mineralogy can also be a critical factor affecting the k [14].

Uğur and Toklu [15] investigated the variations in physicomechanical rock properties such as effective porosity  $(n_e)$ , thermal conductivity (k), water vapor transmission rate (WVTR), pulse wave velocity  $(V_p)$ , and point load strength (PLS) due to various freeze-thaw cycles. The study demonstrated that rock lithology is a significant factor for evaluating the heat conduction of natural stones. More profoundly, the resistance of rocks to freeze-thaw cycles is mainly associated with the k of rocks. Yaşar et al. [16] investigated the relationships to estimate k, focusing on different characteristics of rocks, including their uniaxial compressive strength (UCS), pulse wave velocity  $(V_n)$ , dry density  $(\rho_d)$ , and effective porosity  $(n_e)$ . Focused on 25 different rock types from Turkey, they found significant relationships between the k and (UCS),  $n_e$ , and  $V_p$  of rocks. Barry-Macaulay et al. [17] concluded that the k of soils and rocks increases in parallel with the  $\rho_d$  and humidity content. Demirci et al. [18] performed detailed laboratory investigations on some rock types from Turkey and found that the k increases with confining pressure ( $\sigma_3$ ) in triaxial compressive strength tests. Özkahraman et al. [19], Boulanouar et al. [20], and Xiong et al. [21] also stated that the  $n_e$  and  $V_p$  of natural stones are highly correlative parameters for evaluating the *k*. In addition, Shim et al. [22] emphasized rock-forming mineral contents as a decisive factor determining the *k* of rocks from the republic of Korea. According to their study, the k of igneous, metamorphic, and sedimentary rocks was found to be between 2.80-4.24 W/mK, 2.87-6.08 W/mK, and 3.13-3.83 W/mK, respectively. Chen et al. [23] also reported that the k of rocks decreases with increasing ambient temperature.

The studies mentioned above demonstrated practical and straightforward approaches for evaluating k. However, the determination of k in laboratory is challenging, time-consuming, and expensive due to special equipment requirements and complicated testing methodologies. As a result, useful suggestions have been made to calculate the k of various rock materials. These theories or models are mainly based on regression analysis with relatively small-scale datasets. Apart from the studies by Singh et al. [24], Khandelwal [25], and Verma et al. [26], soft computing tools have not been regarded to predict the k of natural stones due to the limited datasets with different rock properties. Therefore, to

contribute to the scientific literature on the thermal properties of natural stones, a thorough review of the literature was made to compile such datasets composed of different rock types and properties. In addition to the regression analyses, artificial neural networks (*ANN*) were adopted to predict the k of natural stones in this article.

Seven different predictive models (M1-M7) were established based on the above analysis methods. This paper presented the statistical performance evaluations of the models and various statistical criteria were used to test the efficiency of the developed models.

## 2 Data documantation

A thorough literature review was used to compile such datasets for the regression and soft computing investigations. Accordingly, different k values for various natural stones documented in the previous literature are plotted in Figure 1. Although there is immense literature on the thermal properties of rocks, most of the surveyed papers have limited information on the k as a function of the physicomechanical properties of rocks. Therefore, the studies whose data was adopted in this study were marked with a red star in Figure 1. The range of the datasets and considered rock properties are listed in Table 1. The considered independent variables in this study are the  $\rho_d$ ,  $n_e$ , *UCS* and  $V_p$ . On the other hand, the dependent variable is k for regression and soft computing analyses.



Figure 1. Different k values for various natural stones documented in previous literature.

Reference	Rock Type	$\rho_d (g/cm^3)$	n <sub>e</sub> (%)	UCS (MPa)	$V_p$ (km/s)	k (W/mK)	n
[16]	Limestone, Sandstone, Siltstone, Marble, Dolomite, Basalt, Travertine, Andesite	2.24-2.97	0.86-3.05	33.20-120.80	2.95-6.30	0.59-3.01	25
[19]	Limestone, Travertine, Andesite	2.24-2.69	1.82-16.00	44.00-84.80	3.60 - 6.30	0.64-2.70	4
[20]	Sandstone, Travertine, Marble, Granite	N.R	0.25-35.83	N.R	3.07-6.00	0.87-3.03	13
[21]	Sandstone, Siltstone, Limestone, Dolomite, Mudstone, Shale	2.26-2.69	0.19-11.65	N.R	1.80-6.33	0.82-3.38	36
[35]	Tuff	1.40	40.00	9.00	2.30	0.40	1
[36]	Tuff, Basalt	1.35-2.74	1.83-29.47	17.65-175.19	1.52-4.76	0.64-1.33	6
[37]	Limestone	1.85	21.93	23	3.21	0.78	1
[38]	Limestone	1.89	25.93	20	3.27	0.72	1
[39]	Granite	2.65-2.66	0.56-0.71	N.R	N.R	2.45-2.49	7
[40]	Ignimbrite	1.04-2.11	11.20-51.00	N.R	N.R	0.18-0.68	4
[42]	Claystone	1.94-2.27	34.00-42.00	N.R	N.R	0.93-1.08	4
[45]	Travertine, Andesite	2.37-2.68	0.16-4.92	N.R	N.R	1.35-3.88	3
o.: Dry	density n Effective porosity UCS	Uniavial compressive	strength V.	Pulse wave	velocity k	Thermal cond	uctivity

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of the variables considered in this study.

 $\rho_d$ : Dry density.  $n_e$ : Effective porosity. *UCS*: Uniaxial compressive strength.  $V_p$ : Pulse wave velocity. k: Thermal conductivity. n: Number of samples. N.R: Not reported.

## 3 Data analysis methods

In the context of the methods to estimate the k, single, multiple, and nonlinear regression analyses were first conducted. Then, ANN analyses were performed using the statistically correlative parameters. The details on these methods are given in the following subsections.

#### 3.1 Regression analysis

In statistical modeling, regression analyses are one of the most straightforward procedures for revealing relationships between dependent and independent variables. However, based on their structural differences, they can be split into two categories. On the one hand, linear regression analyses, which can be either single or multiple, are mainly adopted considering small-scale datasets [47]. On the other hand, nonlinear regression analyses can also be considered for complex problems with a wide range of independent variables [48]. In this study, linear and nonlinear regression analyses were attempted to estimate the k of considered rocks. According to findings of the regression analysis, it was determined that the *k* could be simply modeled by linear and nonlinear models, which are given in Table 2. However, the regression-based predictive models (M1-M5) yielded correlation of determination  $(R^2)$ values ranging from 0.39-0.61. Therefore, it can be claimed that M1-M5 models have some limitations in estimating the k with high accuracy.

It means that the regression-based models established in this study provide some correlative parameters for the evaluation of k. Furthermore, it should be herein mentioned that the number of samples should be increased for the M3 model to obtain general inferences on how the *UCS* acts on the k. In summary, the results of the regression analysis showed that the investigated rock properties ( $\rho_d$ ,  $n_e$ , *UCS* and  $V_p$ ) can be explained as correlation parameters for the evaluation of k. Of these parameters, the  $\rho_d$ ,  $n_e$  and  $V_p$  with different coupling variables were considered for ANN analyses because the determination of these parameters is relatively simple in the laboratory.

#### 3.2 Artificial neural networks (ANN)

Artificial neural networks (ANNs) are one of the deep learning algorithms developed by imitating the biological nervous system in the human brain. It can be defined as a parallel distributed processing algorithms [24] and are commonly used to estimate multiple dependent variables based on complex datasets. In this study, a few neural networks were created using the neural network toolbox (nntool) in the MATLAB software. The database was randomly split into training (70/100) and testing (30/100) sections before being used in the soft computing analysis. To find the most appropriate and useful structural arrangement, a variety of potential network designs with varying hidden layers and neurons were tested. Prior to executing the ANN analyses, the datasets were normalized by Equation 1 to minimize the problems arising from overfitting [49],[50].

$$V_N = 2\left(\frac{X_i - X_{min}}{X_{max} - X_{min}}\right) - 1 \tag{1}$$

where  $x_i$  is the essential variable to be standardized, in the dataset,  $x_{min}$  is the minimum value while  $x_{max}$  is the maximum value (Table 1).

In a neural network model's architecture, activation functions are crucial, and choosing the right activation function can significantly enhance the neural network's performance. Three activation functions, which are Rectified Linear Activation (ReLU), Logistic (Sigmoid), Hyperbolic Tangent (Tanh), are the most commonly used [51]. Finding the most important features in the data sets with the tanh activation function appears the most efficient method [52].

A feedforward backpropagation algorithm with Levenberg-Marquardt training function, which is the most efficient method, is used to train neural networks. As shown in Equation 2, the Tangent sigmoid (tanh) function was used to transmit data via neurons.

$$tanh = \frac{2}{1 + e^{-2x}} - 1 \tag{2}$$

An input layer, an output layer, and at least one hidden layer are features of a typical backpropagation network. Yet, there is no theoretical restriction on the number of hidden layers [53].

How many hidden layers will be used in neural networks and how many neurons will be in each hidden layer have not been determined until now. These characteristics, which change depending on the issue, are discovered through trial and error [54]. For estimating the k, the optimal and practical ANN structures were found to be 2-6-1 and 3-6-1, respectively (Figure 2). After the ANN has been trained, by utilizing the weights and biases predicted equations can be created. On this subject, the empirical models (M6-M7) to estimate k can be derived using Equation 3 [26],[55].

Table 2. Empirical formula to estimate the k of focks.							
Model No	Empirical formula	Estimate	SE Estimate	<i>t</i> value	Number of datasets, n	$R^2$	
M1	$k = \exp(-2.1200 + 1.072 c)$	-2.1298	0.4815	-4.423	02	0.39	
IVII	$\kappa = \exp(-2.1298 + 1.073  p_d)$	1.073	0.1838	5.837	92		
M2	$k = -0.4485 \ln(n_e) + 2.2688$	-0.4485	0.0414	10.833	105	0.53	
IVIZ		2.2688	0.0768	29.541	105		
M2	6.434 <i>UCS</i>	6.434	3.343	1.924	20	0.58	
MIS	$\kappa = \frac{1}{241.99 + UCS}$	241.99	168.044	1.440	50		
MA	$k = -0.3797 + 0.5179V_p$	-0.3797	0.207	1.834	07	0.58	
14		0.5179	0.0481	10.797	07		
		0.429	0.1268	3.383			
M5	$k = 0.429 n_e^{-0.077} V_p^{1.032}$	-0.077	0.0368	-2.092	87	0.61	
		1.032	0.1801	5.7301			

Table 2. Empirical formula to estimate the k of rocks



Figure 2. ANN structures considered in this study.

$$y_1 = f_0 \{ W_0[f_i(w_i \times x_i + B_i)] + B_0 \}$$
(3)

where  $f_0$  and  $f_i$  are the transfers functions. Additionally, the weight vectors of the output and input layers are designated by  $W_0$  and  $W_i$ , respectively, and the bias vectors of the output and input layers are designated by  $B_0$  and  $B_i$ , respectively. The normalized input parameter is called  $x_i$  (Equation 2).

Based on the above explanations, the empirical models to estimate k are given by Equation 4 and Equation 5. The subequation systems for the above equations are given in Table 3. For Model 6 (M6)

$$k = 1.85 \tanh\left(\sum_{i=1}^{6} A_i - 0.33918\right) + 2.03, R^2 = 0.79 \quad (4)$$

For Model 7 (M7)

$$k = 1.49 \tanh\left(\sum_{i=1}^{6} S_i - 0.19217\right) + 1.89, R^2 = 0.88$$
 (5)

## 4 Results and discussion

The regression analysis results demonstrated that the considered rock properties ( $\rho_d$ ,  $n_e$ , UCS,  $V_p$ ) are associated with the k of rocks. However, for a large number of datasets, the models based on these parameters yielded relatively lower  $R^2$  values, which ranged from 0.39 to 0.61 (Figure 3). The underlying reason for obtaining relatively lower  $R^2$  values for the regression-based models (M1-M5) can be attributed to the fact that these models were established based on a relatively large number of datasets with different rock origins. For example, for small-scale datasets (n=6), Yüksek [36] found stronger relationships ( $R^2 > 0.93$ ) to estimate the k as a function of  $n_e$  and  $V_p$ .

Table 3. Sub-equation systems for the developed ANN models.

Model 6 [M6], Number of Datasets, <i>n</i> =92
$A_1 = 6.937 tanh(-5.0585^n \rho_d + 14.7519^n n_e + 16.8968)$
$A_2 = 8.5624 tanh(-2.2697^n \rho_d + 15.9481^n n_e + 15.0427)$
$A_3 = -3.8189 tanh(-126641^n \rho_d + 2.9962^n n_e + 10.7458)$
$A_4 = 2.2153 tanh(-10.4769^n \rho_d - 0.55813^n n_e + 6.1312)$
$A_5 = -0.78126 tanh(-3.8004^n \rho_d + 1.8582^n n_e - 3.7634)$
$A_6 = -15.0663 tanh(-1.9354^n \rho_d + 10.4007^n n_e + 10.4155)$
Normalization Functions
$n_{\rho_d} = 1.0363 \rho_d - 2.0777$
$n_{n_e} = 0.0393 n_e - 1.0063$
Model 7 [M7], Number of Datasets, $n = 74$
$S_1 = (2.3369 tanh(-2.7754^n \rho_d + 1.328^n n_e + 4.3425^n V_p + 2.971)$
$S_2 = 1.6008 tanh(-3.4719^n \rho_d + 4.8584^n n_e - 2.7414^n V_p + 3.6805)$
$S_3 = 2.8501 tanh(-5.6677^n \rho_d - 5.0167^n n_e + 0.40374^n V_p - 0.8289)$
$S_4 = -5.7143 tanh(-3.1955^n \rho_d - 2.2601^n n_e + 1.7612^n V_p + 0.09401)$
$S_5 = -2.4581 tanh(-7.8283^n \rho_d - 1.1693^n n_e + 6.2002^n V_p - 0.64219)$
$S_6 = -3.9039 tanh(1.1339^n \rho_d - 1.5101^n n_e - 3.6895^n V_p + 0.29946)$
Normalization Functions
$n_{\rho_d} = 1.2308 \rho_d - 2.6554$
$n_{n_e} = 0.0502 n_e - 1.0095$
$n_{V_p} = 0.4158V_p - 1.632$



Figure 3. Predicted and measured k values for the regressionbased models (M1-M5).

In another study by Özkahraman et al. [19], the k was estimated with high accuracy ( $R^2$ >0.97), using five datasets (n=5). Similar strong correlations ( $R^2$ >0.85) were also reported by Boulanouar et al. [20], who used 13 datasets (n=13) to estimate the k of rock from Morocco.

In contrast, when increasing the number of samples in the regression analyses to estimate the k of rocks,  $R^2$  values tend to decrease, as Xiong et al. [21] stated. For the mentioned study, the *k* was modeled as a function of  $n_e$  using 36 datasets composed of different sedimentary rocks. The  $R^2$  value of this model was about 0.57. When it comes to the ANN analysis results, the  $R^2$  values for the M6 and M7 models were determined as 0.79 and 0.88, respectively (Figure 4). In the M6 model,  $\rho_d$  and  $n_e$  were considered together, whereas the  $V_p$  was integrated into the M6 model, which is called M7 (Figure 2). This new model yielded more consistent results in estimating the *k* of rocks. Hence, multilayer feedforward networks with suitable correlative parameters (e.g.,  $n_e$  and  $V_p$ ) can be considered an accurate representation of input-output relationships in the ANN analyses [24].



Figure 4. Predicted and measured k values for the ANN-based models (M6-M7).

Several statistical indicators, including root means squared error (*RMSE*), mean absolute percentage error (*MAPE*), and the variance accounted for (*VAF*) were also used to assess the performance of the established models. The equations to calculate the above indices are given in Equations 6 - 8.

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (o_i - e_i)^2}{n}}$$
(6)

$$MAPE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |o_1 - e_i|$$
(7)

$$VAF = (1 - \frac{var(o_i - e_i)}{var(o_i)}) \times 100$$
(8)

Where  $o_i$  is the observed data,  $e_i$  is the predicted data, and n is the number of observations.

The performance evaluation of the established predictive models are summarized in Table 4. Models that have been substantially more successful tend to have higher VAF and lower RMSE and MAPE values [56]. Accordingly, the M5 has the lowest relative errors, with RMSE and MAPE values of 0.531 and 0.454, respectively among the regression-based models and, the VAF for this model is 60.72. On the other hand, the M7 model yielded the best prediction performance among the models established in this study. The RMSE, MAPE, and VAF values for the M7 model are 0.309, 0.222, and 87.15, respectively.

## 5 Conclusions

This paper aimed to propose such predictive models to estimate the k of rocks. For this aim, an extensive literature review was carried in order to gather these datasets for regression and ANN analysis. (Table 1). The outcomes of the regression analysis indicated that the  $\rho_d$ ,  $n_e$ , UCS and  $V_p$  affect the k of rocks (Table 2). Using these variables, linear and nonlinear regression models (M1-M5) were established. However, their prediction capability is not enough for precise estimations. To obtain additional predictive models with higher prediction accuracy, such ANN analyses were performed. In the context of ANN analyses, the  $\rho_d$ ,  $n_e$ , and  $V_p$  were considered (Figure 2) since the determination of these parameters is relatively simple in laboratory studies. Based on these analyses, the M6 and M7 models were developed. In addition, this study contains the mathematical equations for these models so that users may more effectively utilise them (Table 3). When the models created by using various statistical indicators were evaluated, it was concluded that the M7 model gave the best prediction performance among the models established in this study (Table 4).

Table 4. Performance evaluation of the established predictive models.

Data analysis Method	Model No	$R^2$	RMSE	MAPE	VAF	Number of Samples, n	Independent Variables
	M1	0.39	0.696	0.567	39.40	92	$ ho_d$
	M2	0.53	0.601	0.489	53.24	105	$n_e$
Regression	M3	0.58	0.463	0.357	57.62	38	UCS
-	M4	0.58	0.550	0.484	57.73	87	$V_p$
	M5	0.61	0.531	0.454	60.72	87	$n_e, V_p$
ANN	M6	0.79	0.407	0.308	79.29	92	$ ho_d n_e$
AININ	M7	0.88	0.309	0.222	87.15	74	$ ho_d$ , n <sub>e</sub> , $V_p$

Therefore, it could be reliably used to evaluate the k of rocks. However, the number of datasets with different rock properties should be considered for further studies. In this regard, the current work is considered to offer plain and useful understanding on how rocks transport heat and can be proclaimed a case study on how to model the k of rocks in relation to various rock characteristics.

## 6 Acknowledgments

Ekin KÖKEN contributed her experience to the models created using regression analyzes and artificial neural networks in the research. The authors would like to thank Ekin KÖKEN for her kind assistance in this regard.

## 7 Author contribution statements

In the study, Hilal ÖZER created the hypothesis of the research, designed the methods to be used, created the models, literature review, discussion of the results, interpretation and article writing. Ebru BAŞPINAR TUNCAY contributed to literature review, discussion and interpretation of results, and article writing. Ekin KÖKEN, who was not involved in the study as an author, contributed with her experience in models created using regression analyzes and artificial neural networks.

## 8 Ethics committee approval and conflict of interest statement

"There is no need to obtain permission from the ethics committee for the article prepared".

"There is no conflict of interest with any person or institution in the article prepared".

## **9** References

- [1] Ulusay R. "The present and future of rock testing: highlighting the ISRM suggested methods". *In: Proceedings* of 7<sup>th</sup> Asian Rock Mechanics Symposium, Seoul, South Korea, 15-19 October 2012.
- [2] Wagner V, Bayer P, Bisch G, Kübert M, Blum P. "Hydraulic characterization of aquifers by thermal response testing: Validation by large-scale tank and field experiments". *Water Resources Research*, 50(1), 71-85, 2013.
- [3] Popov Y, Beardsmore G, Clauser C, Roy S. "ISRM suggested methods for determining thermal properties of rocks from laboratory tests at atmospheric pressure". *Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering*, 49(10), 4179-4207, 2016.
- [4] Verma AK, Jha MK, Maheshwar S, Singh TN, Bajpai RK. "Temperature-dependent thermophysical properties of Ganurgarh shales from Bhander group, India". *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 75(4), 1-11, 2016.
- [5] Finsterle S, Muller RA, Baltzer R, Payer J, Rector JW. "Thermal evolution near heat-generating nuclear waste canisters disposed in horizontal drillholes". *Energies*, 12(4), 2-23, 2019.
- [6] Hamoush S, Abu-Lebdeh T, Picornell M, Amer S. "Development of sustainable engineered stone cladding for toughness, durability, and energy conservation". *Construction and Building Materials*, 25(10), 4006-4016, 2011.
- [7] Helgeson HC. "Summary and critique of the thermodynamic properties of rock-forming minerals". American Journal of Science, A, 278, 1-229, 1978.

- [8] Price GD, Ross NL. "The Stability of Minerals". 1st ed. London, England, Chapman & Hall, 1992.
- [9] Šafanda J. "Effect of thermal conductivity anisotropy of rocks on the subsurface temperature field". *Geophysical Journal International*, 120(2), 323-3639, 1995.
- [10] Popov Y, Tertychnyi V, Romushkevich R, Korobkov D, Pohl J. "Interrelations between thermal conductivity and other physical properties of rocks: experimental data, In Thermo-hydro-mechanical coupling in fractured rock". *Pure Applied Geophysics*, 160, 1137-1161, 2003.
- [11] Wu S, Yu Z, Kang J, Zhang Y, Gao P. "Research on the anisotropy of thermal conductivity of rocks in Songliao Basin, China". *Renewable Energy*, 179, 593-603, 2021.
- [12] Zimmerman RW. "Thermal conductivity of fluid-saturated rocks". *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 3(3), 219-227, 1989.
- [13] Tavman IH. "Effective thermal conductivity of granular porous materials". *International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer*, 23(2), 169-176, 1996.
- [14] Coletti C, Borghi A, Cossio R, Dalconi MC, Dalla Santa G, Peruzzo L, Sassi R, Vettorello A, Galgaro A. "A multi-scale methods comparison to provide granitoid rocks thermal conductivity". *Construction and Building Materials*, 304, 1-13 2021.
- [15] Uğur İ, Özer Toklu H. "Effect of multi-cycle freeze-thaw tests on the physico-mechanical and thermal properties of some highly porous natural stones". Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment, 79(1), 255-267, 2020.
- [16] Yaşar E, Erdoğan Y, Güneyli H. "Determination of the thermal conductivity from physico-mechanical properties". *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment*, 67(2), 219-225, 2008.
- [17] Barry-Macaulay D, Bouazza A, Singh RM, Wang B, Ranjith PG. "Thermal conductivity of soils and rocks from the Melbourne (Australia) region". *Engineering Geology*, 164, 131-138, 2013.
- [18] Demirci A, Görgülü K, Durutürk YS. "Thermal conductivity of rocks and its variation with uniaxial and triaxial stress", *International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences*, 41, 1133-1138, 2004.
- [19] Özkahraman H, Selver R, Işık EC. "Determination of the thermal conductivity of rock from P-wave velocity"., *International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences*, 4(4), 703-708, 2004.
- [20] Boulanouar A, Rahmouni A, Boukalouch M, Samaouali A, Géraud Y, Harnafi M, Sebbani J, "Determination of thermal conductivity and porosity of building stone from ultrasonic velocity measurements". *Geomaterials*, 3, 138-144, 2013.
- [21] Xiong J, Lin H, Ding H, Pei H, Rong C, Liao W. "Investigation on thermal property parameters characteristics of rocks and its influence factors". *Natural Gas Industry B*, 7(3), 298-308, 2020.
- [22] Shim BO, Park JM, Kim HC, Lee Y. "Statistical analysis on the thermal conductivity of rocks in the Republic of Korea". In: Proceedings World Geothermal Congress, Bali, Indonesia, 25-29 April 2010.
- [23] Chen C, Zhu C, Zhang B, Tang B, Li K, Li W, Fu X. "Effect of temperature on the thermal conductivity of rocks and its implication for in situ correction". *Geofluids*, 2021, 1-12, 2021.

- [24] Singh TN, Sinha S, Singh VK. "Prediction of thermal conductivity of rock through physicomechanical properties". *Building and Environment*, 42(1), 146-155, 2007.
- [25] Khandelwal M. "Application of an expert system to predict thermal conductivity of rocks". *Neural Computing and Applications*, 21(6), 1341-1347, 2012.
- [26] Verma AK, Jha MK, Gautam PK, Mishra AK, Vardhan H, Singh SK. "Prediction of thermal conductivity and damage in Indian Jalore granite for design of underground research laboratory". *Neural Computing and Applications*, 33, 13183-13192, 2021.
- [27] Balkan E, Erkan K, Şalk M. "Thermal conductivity of major rock types in western and central Anatolia regions, Turkey". *Journal of Geophysics and Engineering*, 14(4), 909-919, 2017.
- [28] Liu S, Feng C, Wang L, Li C. "Measurement and analysis of thermal conductivity of rocks in the Tarim Basin, Northwest China". Acta Geologica Sinica-English Edition, 85(3), 598-609, 2011.
- [29] Xiaoqing S, Ming J, Peiwen X. "Analysis of the thermophysical properties and influencing factors of various rock types from the guizhou province". 2018 3<sup>rd</sup> International Conference on Advances in Energy and Environment Research, Guilin, China. 10-12 August 2018.
- [30] Tang B, Zhu C, Xu M, Chen T, Hu S. "Thermal conductivity of sedimentary rocks in the Sichuan basin, Southwest China". *Energy Exploration & Exploitation*, 37(2), 691-720, 2019.
- [31] Andersson, E. Thermal properties in Different Rock Materials Based on Hot Disk and Mineral Mode -a Comparison between Petrographic Analysis and Laboratory Measurements. University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden, 2016.
- [32] Blackwell DD, Steele JL. "Thermal Conductivity of Sedimentary Rocks: Measurement and Significance". Editors: Naeser ND, McCulloh TH. Thermal history of sedimentary basins, 13-36, New York, USA, 1989.
- [33] Lindawati L, Yuliza NF, Irwansyah I. "Thermal conductivity of some marble stones available in South Aceh District". In: IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, IOP Publishing, Malacca, Malasia, 9-10 November, 2020.
- [34] Pasquale V, Verdoya M, Chiozzi P. "Measurements of rock thermal conductivity with a Transient Divided Bar". *Geothermics*, 53, 183-189, 2015.
- [35] Özkahraman HT, Bolattürk A. "The use of tuff stone cladding in buildings for energy conservation". *Construction and Building Materials*, 20(7), 435-440, 2006.
- [36] Yüksek S. "Mechanical properties of some building stones from volcanic deposits of mount Erciyes (Turkey)". *Materiales De Construcción*, 69(334), 1-13, 2019.
- [37] Sarıışık A, Sarıışık G. "Investigation of engineering characteristics of Urfa stone used in restoration". 16<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on Environmental Issues and Waste Management in Energy and Mineral Production, Istanbul, Turkey, 05–07 October 2016.
- [38] Sarıışık A, Sarıışık G. "Investigation of engineering characteristics of Mardin stone used in eco-building". *Cogent Engineering*, 3(1), 1-3, 2016.

- [39] Zhao XG, Zhao Z, Guo Z, Cai M, Li X, Li PF, Chen L, Wang J. "Influence of thermal treatment on the thermal conductivity of Beishan granite". *Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering*, 51(7), 2055-2074, 2018.
- [40] Öner F, Türkmen S, Özbek A, Karakaya T. "Engineering properties of Hinis ignimbrites and their usability as a building stone (Erzurum, Turkey)". *Environmental Geology*, 50(2), 275-284, 2006.
- [41] Labus M, Labus K. "Thermal conductivity and diffusivity of fine-grained sedimentary rocks". *Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry*, 132(3), 1669-1676, 2018.
- [42] Midttømme K, Roaldset E, Aagaard P. "Thermal conductivity of selected claystones and mudstones from England". *Clay Minerals*, 33(1), 131-145, 1998.
- [43] Li X, Liu S, Feng C. "Thermal properties of sedimentary rocks in the Tarim Basin, northwestern China". *The American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin*, 103(7), 1605-1624, 2019.
- [44] Hussain S, Saneen S, Ahmad N, Javeed MA, Ali S. "Calculation of thermal conductivity of diorite rocks and their modeling". *International Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 12(2012), 111-123, 2012.
- [45] Tufan B, Kun M. "Thermal insulation performance and thermal conductivity evaluation of natural stones by infrared thermography". Proceedings of the International Conference on Mining, Material and Metallurgical Engineering, Prague, Czech Republic, 11-12 August 2014.
- [46] Vosteen HD, Schellschmidt R. "Influence of temperature on thermal conductivity, thermal capacity and thermal diffusivity for different types of rock". *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth Parts A/B/C*, 28(9-11), 499-509, 2003.
- [47] Jenkins DG, Quintana-Ascencio PF. "A solution to minimum sample size for regressions". *PLoS One*, 15(2), 1-15, 2020.
- [48] Schoukens J, Ljung L. "Nonlinear system identification: A user-oriented road map". IEEE Control Systems Magazine, 39(6), 28-99, 2019.
- [49] Singh R, Kainthola A, Singh TN. "Estimation of elastic constant of rocks using an ANFIS approach". *Applied Soft Computing*, 12(1), 40-45, 2012.
- [50] Lawal AI, Idris MA. "An artificial neural network-based mathematical model for the prediction of blast-induced ground vibrations". *International Journal of Environmental Studies*, 77(2), 318-334, 2020.
- [51] Kamalov F, Nazir A, Safaraliev M, Cherukuri AK, Zgheib R. "Comparative analysis of activation functions in neural networks". In 2021 28th IEEE International Conference on Electronics, Circuits, and Systems (ICECS), Dubai, United Arab Emirates, 28 November-01 December 2021.
- [52] Tong, D. L, Mintram, R. "Genetic Algorithm-Neural Network (GANN): a study of neural network activation functions and depth of genetic algorithm search applied to feature selection". *International Journal of Machine Learning and Cybernetics*, 1, 75-87, 2010.
- [53] Civalek, Ö. "Plak ve kabuklarin nöro-fuzzy tekniği ile lineer ve non-lineer statik-dinamik analizi". Yüksek Lisans Tezi, Fırat Üniversitesi, Elazığ, Türkiye, 1998.
- [54] Keleşoğlu, Ö, FIRAT, A. "İç basinç altında ince cidarli kabuklarin yapay sinir ağlari ile çözümü". Süleyman Demirel Üniversitesi Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü Dergisi, 10(3), 447-451, 2006.

- [55] Das SK. "10 Artificial neural networks in geotechnical engineering: modeling and application issues". *Metaheuristics in Water, Geotechnical and Transport Engineering*, 45, 231-267, 2013.
- [56] Köken E. "Assessment of deformation properties of coal measure sandstones through regression analyses and artificial neural networks". *Archives of Mining Sciences*, 66, 523–542, 2021.