

Oral pulsed high-dose dexamethasone therapy for rheumatic diseases: An alternative safe and effective scheme

D Jozélio Freire de Carvalho, D Thelma Skare

¹Núcleo de Pesquisa em Doenças Crônicas não Transmissíveis (NUPEN), School of Nutrition from the Federal University of Bahia, Salvador, Bahia, Brazil

²Department of Rheumatology, Hospital Evangélico Mackenzie, Curitiba, PR, Brazil

To the Editor.

Since its discovery by Kendall and Hench in 1950, gluco-corticoids have been widely prescribed for the treatment of rheumatic diseases, although it has been recognized that this drug may be a double-edged sword. For example, suppose, on the one hand, it has a rapid and potent anti-inflammatory action that may be lifesaving, on the other hand. Unfortunately, in that case, it has various side effects, such as cushingoid facies, hyperglycemia, dyslipidemia, osteoporosis, myopathy, hypertension, and acne, among others.

Several strategies have been designed aiming to obtain the best possible results from this treatment with minimum collateral effects. Glucocorticoid pulse therapy has emerged as an option and has been used to control severe disease activity quickly. It is traditionally used as 1000 mg methylprednisolone/day for 3 days, although it has been recognized that lower doses may be as effective. The use of the intravenous route has been associated with cardiovascular collapse, hypokalemia, and myocardial infarction [1].

Although the intravenous route is the most popular form of administration for pulse therapy, this treatment can also be done using the oral route or intramuscular injections. Dexamethasone has been frequently used in oral administration due to its low mineralocorticoid action. Oral pulse therapy (OPT) has been commonly used in dermatology for alopecia areata, vitiligo, and alopecia Universalis, among others, with good results [2, 3]; in neurology, it has been used to treat chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy [4]. An exciting work by Luetic et al. [5], from Argentina, that, in times of Covid, with the impossibility of performing conven-

tional pulse therapy, OPT has been used to treat multiple sclerosis with good efficacy. In hematology, this is one of the first-line treatments for idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura [6]. However, in rheumatology, this form of treatment is not well known. A review of the literature points to only four studies: two in inflammatory myositis (with a total of 70 observed patients), one in rheumatoid arthritis (with 14 patients), and one in systemic lupus erythematosus (with the observation of 50 patients) [7–9]. They are summarized in Appendix 1.

The analysis of this table shows that OPT is at least as effective as other forms of glucocorticoid administration, with apparently fewer side effects than continuous oral use. However, the existing studies are few with small samples, justifying more extensive and long-term controlled trials to compare with standard treatment forms adequately. OPT remains an option to be explored in rheumatology.

Cite this article as: de Carvalho JF, Skare T. Oral pulsed high-dose dexamethasone therapy for rheumatic diseases: An alternative safe and effective scheme. North Clin Istanb 2023;10(3):398–400.

Conflict of Interest: No conflict of interest was declared by the authors.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared that this study has received no financial support.

REFERENCES

- 1. Smith MD, Ahern MJ, Roberts-Thomson PJ. Pulse methylprednisolone therapy in rheumatoid arthritis: unproved therapy, unjustified therapy, or effective adjunctive treatment? Ann Rheum Dis 1990;49:265–7.
- Chavez-Alvarez S, Herz-Ruelas M, Raygoza-Cortez AK, Suro-Santos Y, Ocampo-Candiani J, Alvarez-Villalobos NA, et al. Oral mini-pulse therapy in vitiligo: a systematic review. Int J Dermatol 2021;60:868–76.
- 3. Asilian A, Fatemi F, Ganjei Z, Siadat AH, Mohaghegh F, Siavash M. Oral pulse betamethasone, methotrexate, and combination therapy to treat severe alopecia areata: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, clinical trial. Iran J Pharm Res 2021;20:267–73.
- 4. Rogers AB, Zaidman CM, Connolly AM. Pulse oral corticosteroids in pediatric chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. Muscle Nerve 2020;62:705–9. [CrossRef]
- Luetic GG, Menichini ML, Fernández Ó. Oral administration of methylprednisolone powder for intravenous injection dissolved in water to treat MS and NMOSD relapses during COVID-19 pandemic in a real-world setting, Mult Scler Relat Disord 2021;54:103148. [CrossRef]
- 6. Wali YA, Al Lamki Z, Shah W, Zacharia M, Hassan A. Pulsed high-dose dexamethasone therapy in children with chronic idiopathic

Letter to the Editor 399

- thrombocytopenic purpura. Pediatr Hematol Oncol 2002;19:329-35.
- 7. Kroot EJ, Huisman AM, Van Zeben J, Wouters JMGW, Van Paassen HC. Oral pulsed dexamethasone therapy in early rheumatoid arthritis: a pilot study. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2006;1069:300–6. [CrossRef]
- 8. van der Meulen MF, Hoogendijk JE, Wokke JH, Visser M. Oral pulsed high-dose dexamethasone for myositis. J Neurol 2000;247:102–5.
- 9. van de Vlekkert J, Hoogendijk JE, de Haan RJ, Algra A, van der Tweel I, van der Pol WL, et al; Dexa Myositis Trial. Oral dexamethasone pulse therapy versus daily prednisolone in sub-acute onset myositis, a randomized clinical trial. Neuromuscul Disord 2010;20:382–9. [CrossRef]

Received: February 04, 2023 Received: March 06, 2023 Accepted: March 27, 2023 Online: June 22, 2023

Correspondence: Jozélio Freire DE CARVALHO, MD. Núcleo de Pesquisa em Doenças Crônicas não Transmissíveis (NUPEN), School of Nutrition from the Federal University of Bahia, Salvador, Bahia, Brazil.



Tel: +5571-99187-1169 e-mail: jotafc@gmail.com

doi: 10.14744/nci.2023.03704

@ Copyright 2023 by Istanbul Provincial Directorate of Health - Available online at www.northclinist.com

			ng treatment-	methasone
	Side effects	Flushes after oral intake; No serious side effects	Restlessness, nausea during treatment- in 5 patients	Less frequent in the dexar group.
APPENDIX 1. Review of the studies on the use of glucocorticoid oral pulse therapy for rheumatic diseases	Outcome	No significant differences between the four groups 9/10 with oral treatment had a favorable response after 4 weeks. • 1 (40 mg group) did not respond; • 1 (20 mg group) needed a second pulse.	6 improved; 1 DM -skin changes did not improve; 1 unclassified (with breast tumor) – no muscular improvement	No difference between treatment groups; Less frequent in the dexamethasone Median relapse time: 44 weeks -dexamethasone group 60 weeks - prednisolone group
	Treatment	Oral – N=10 – 3 groups • N*=4-10mg • N*=3-20mg • N*=3-40mg * Dexamethasone/day-four alternate days Intramuscular – 1 group. N=4-120 mg methylprednisolone/ day – weeks 0,4, and 8.	3 cycles (28 days interval)-oral -40 mg dexamethasone/day.	N=32 - in the daily prednisolone group. Started on 70-90 mg/day and slowly \(\perp\) after 28days. N=30 - in the dexamethasone group - 6 cycles of 40 mg/day for 4 days (28 days interval)
	Disease	RA new diagnosis Median Das 28= 5.6 11- RF+ 11- anti-CCP+	3 DM 3 PM 2 unclassified All newly diagnosed myositis	23-DM 26-unclassified PM 12-CTD myositis 1-malignancy inclusion body myositis excluded
of the studies	Design	Open	Open	F=39 Multicenter, M=23 double-blind, randomized -18 months follow-up
Review	⊑	F=7 M=7	F=7 M=1	
АРРЕПОІХ 1.	Author, year n	Kroot et al., F=7 2006. [7] M=7	Van der Meulen et al., 2000 [8]	van de Vlekkert et al. 2010. [9]

N: Number; RA: Rheumatoid arthritis; RF: Rheumatoid factor; anti CCP: Anti cyclic citrullinated peptide; DM: Dermatomyositis; PM: Polymyositis; SLE: Systemic lupus erythematosus; F: Female; M: Male.