

Corrections Regarding "Investigation of Morphological and Biomechanical Properties of the Scapula for Shoulder Joint"

"Omuz Ekleminde Skapulanın Morfolojik ve Biyomekanik Özelliklerinin İncelenmesi" ile İlgili Düzeltmeler

₱ Nilgun TUNCEL CINI¹, ₱ Nazan GUNER SAK², ₱ Serdar BABACAN³, ₱ Ilknur ARI²

¹Bilecik Seyh Edebali University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Anatomy, Bilecik, Turkey ²Bursa Uludag University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Anatomy, Bursa, Turkey ³Harran University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Anatomy, Sanliurfa, Turkey

Keywords: Scapula, glenoid cavity, glenopolar angle, shoulder **Anahtar kelimeler:** Skapula, glenoid kavite, glenopolar açı, omuz

Dear Editor,

I would like to thank the authors for their efforts and interest¹. First, they stated that they found a negative value because of the calculation they made with the glenopolar angle. When we re-evaluated our data, we encountered the following situation. We calculated our values in cm and provided descriptive statistics; however, since we received a revision from the referees to keep it in mm, we changed it to this value. Because of the revision, a negative result emerged. The formula works correctly when the data are used in cm. Other than that, there is no problem with data².

We would like to point out that the angles αl and $\alpha 2$ are parameters that we evaluated, although they are not available in the literature. Because the sample size calculation was not made, it is not expressed in the article. Thirty-four bones in the department were

used. The age and gender of the bones are unknown, and side differences were not considered in the study. In Figure 1, the trigonum scapula, which is the most protruding point of the medial edge, is considered a separate anatomical structure, and the part outside this area is taken as reference. In Figure 4, the coracoid process and acromion end parts are taken as references, and we believe that these points are clearly expressed in the figure. We measured the length and width of the coracoid process and presented it in a single figure. We can also see in the discussion section that the data we obtained are compatible with the literature. In Figure 6, it can be seen that the line connecting the points has shifted. However, there is no change in the area it is trying to define².

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Address for Correspondence: N. Tuncel Cini, Bilecik Seyh Edebali University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Anatomy, Bilecik, Turkey

E-mail: nilgun.cini@bilecik.edu.tr ORCID ID: orcid.org/0000-0003-1412-2634

Received: 20 December 2023 Accepted: 21 December 2023 Online First: 05 January 2024

Cite as: Tuncel Cini N, Guner Sak N, Babacan S, Ari I. Corrections Regarding "Investigation of Morphological and Biomechanical Properties of the Scapula for Shoulder Joint". Medeni Med J 2024;39:68-69



Ethics

Author Contributions

Concept: N.T.C., Design: N.T.C., Data Collection or Processing: N.T.C., Analysis or Interpretation: N.T.C., Literature Search: N.T.C., N.G.S., S.B., Writing: N.T.C., N.G.S., S.B., I.A.

Conflict of Interest: The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared that this study has received no financial support.

REFERENCES

- Kumar R, Borthakur D, Sahni C. Clarifications Regarding "Investigation of Morphological and Biomechanical Properties of the Scapula for Shoulder Joint". Medeni Med J. 2024 Jan 04. doi: 10.4274/MMJ.galenos.2023.31384. [Epub ahead of print].
- Tuncel Cini N, Guner Sak N, Babacan S, Ari I. Investigation of Morphological and Biomechanical Properties of the Scapula for Shoulder Joint. Medeni Med J. 2023;38:159-66.