

The Clinical Management of the Parotid Masses: A Five Year Study

Parotis Kitlelerine Klinik Yaklaşım: 5 Yıllık Deneyim

Suat BİLİCİ, Meltem Esen AKPINAR, Özgür YİĞİT, Zehra DÖNMEZ

SUMMARY

Objectives: Our objective was to analyze the diagnostic measures and management methods for benign and malignant lesions localized in the parotid gland and to assess the role of radiological imaging, fine-needle aspiration biopsy (FNAB), the type and extent of surgery in respect to histopathology of the lesion and subsequent functional results.

Methods: The data related to 79 parotidectomies performed in our clinic between October 2005 and December 2010 were retrospectively reviewed. Age, gender, clinical findings, FNAB cytology, radiological evaluation, surgical methods, histopathological evaluation, and complications were recorded.

Results: The distribution of the lesions found on the 79 patients was as follows: 59 benign (75%), 19 malignant (24%), and one inflammatory (1%). The most common benign tumor was pleomorphic adenoma (69%) and the most common malignant tumor was acinic cell carcinoma (15.8%). The mean age of patients with malignant tumor was significantly higher than the mean age of patients with benign tumors. The accuracy rate of FNAB was 90.63% for malignant tumors and 76.56% for benign tumors. The 37 pleomorphic adenomas localized in the superficial lobe and the 13 Warthin's tumors were managed with superficial parotidectomy, whereas the 12 malignant and 5 benign tumors localized in the deep lobe were removed with total parotidectomy. The most common complication in our series was facial paralysis (5%).

Conclusion: Despite the significant contribution of FNAB cytology, particularly in malignant-benign differentiation, the diagnosis of parotid gland lesions should include either clinical or radiological data as complementary to FNAB cytology data. Ultrasonography, owing to the low cost and absence of radiation exposure, may be used routinely for locating superficial lobe masses. CT and MRI may be reserved for malignant and selected benign cases. Our surgical preference was superficial parotidectomy in superficial benign parotid masses and total parotidectomy in deep-lobe benign tumors and advanced-stage malignant tumors.

Key words: Fine-needle aspiration biopsy; parotidectomy; parotid tumor.

ÖZET

Amaç: Bu çalışmada, parotis bezinde yerleşmiş benign ve malign lezyonların tanı yöntemleri ve tedavi metodları, radyolojik görüntüleme ve ince iğne aspirasyon biopsisinin (İİAB) lezyonun histopatolojik tanısını desteklemede ve yapılacak cerrahinin şeklini belirlemedeki rolü ve fonksiyonel sonuçlar değerlendirildi.

Gereç ve Yöntem: Ekim 2005 ile Aralık 2010 tarihleri arasında kliniğimizde yapılan 79 parotidektomi retrospektif olarak tarandı. Yaş, cinsiyet, klinik bulgular, İİAB sitolojisi, radyolojik değerlendirme, cerrahi metodlar, histopatolojik değerlendirme ve komplikasyonlar kaydedildi.

Bulgular: Yetmiş dokuz hastanın lezyon dağılımı; 59 benign (%75), 19 malign (%24) ve 1 enflamatuvar (%1). En yaygın benign tümör pleomorfik adenom (%69), en yaygın malign tümör asinik hücreli karsinomdu (%15.8). Malign tümörlü hastaların ortalama yaşı benign tümörlü hastaların yaşına göre anlamlı olarak yüksekti. İİAB'nin doğruluk oranı malign tümörler için %90.63, benign tümörler için %76.56 idi. Yüzeysel lobta yerleşik 37 pleomorfik adenom ve 13 Warthin tümörüne superfisiyal parotidektomi yapılırken, derin lobta yerleşmiş 12 malign ve 5 benign tümör total parotidektomi ile çıkarılmıştır. Serimizde en yaygın komplikasyon fasiyal paraliziydi (%5).

Sonuç: İİAB sitolojisinin malign benign ayırımındaki belirgin ayrılcılığına rağmen, parotis bezi lezyonlarının tanısı radyolojik ve klinik verilerin İİAB verilerini tamamlaması ile konur. Ultrasonografi ucuz maliyeti ve radyasyon riskinin olmaması ile yüzeysel lob lezyonlarında rutin olarak kullanılır. Bilgisayarlı tomografi ve manyetik rezonans görüntüleme malign ve bazı seçici benign lezyonlarda tercih edilir. Cerrahi tercihimiz superfisiyal lob benign tümörlerde superfisiyal parotidektomi iken derin lob benign tümörlerde ve ileri evre malign tümörlerde total parotidektomidir.

Anahtar sözcükler: İnce iğne aspirasyon biopsisi; parotidektomi; parotis tümörü.

Submitted (Geliş tarihi): 19.03.2012 Accepted (Kabul tarihi): 22.06.2012

İstanbul Eğitim ve Araştırma Hastanesi, Kulak Burun Boğaz Kliniği, İstanbul

Correspondence (İletişim): Dr. Suat Bilici. e-mail (e-posta): suatbilici@yahoo.com

INTRODUCTION

The management of parotid masses including diagnostic and therapeutic aspects warrants systematic approach.

In diagnostic work-up apart from the history, the radiological imaging techniques including ultrasonography (US), computed tomography (CT), and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are frequently encountered.^[1] Fine-needle aspiration biopsy (FNAB) is of value in benign and malignant differentiation. The accuracy rate of FNAB in benign and malignant lesion differentiation was reported as 81-98%.^[2,3] In another study, the validity of FNAB was found nearly 80%.^[3,4] The major complications associated with fine-needle aspiration biopsy were not reported previously. FNAB is an easy, safe, and minimally invasive procedure.^[4-6]

In parotid masses, the combined data derived from clinical, radiological and pathological assessment provides the final diagnosis.^[7]

Seventy to eighty percent of all salivary gland tumors are localized in parotid gland. Parotid masses are predominantly benign tumors (80%). The most commonly encountered benign tumors are pleomorphic adenomas, followed by Warthin's tumor. The most common malignant tumor of the parotid gland is mucoepidermoid carcinoma.^[8]

Benign lesions localized in the superficial lobe are managed with superficial parotidectomy, whereas benign lesions localized in the deep lobe necessitate total parotidectomy.^[9,10] In the early stages, superficial malignant lesions also only require superficial parotidectomy; however, total parotidectomy is performed for deep lobe lesions. In advanced stages and for high grade lesions, neck dissection and/or radiotherapy are combined with total parotidectomy.^[8]

In this study, 79 patients diagnosed with parotid tumors were retrospectively analyzed. The clinical findings, fine-needle aspiration biopsy results, type of the surgery, postoperative histopathology, and any resulting complications. The diagnostic measures were reviewed to assess the role of radiological imaging and FNAB. The management including the type and extent of surgical treatment was discussed

Table 1. Classification of cytology

Positive value	Exclusively benign lesion
Negative value	Malignant, inflammatory, or suspicious
Positive value	Exclusively malignant lesion
Negative value	Malignant, inflammatory, or suspicious

in respect to histopathology of the lesion and subsequent functional results.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study retrospectively analyzed 79 patients who received operations for parotid masses and metastatic lesions at the Istanbul Training and Research Hospital between 2005 and 2010. The demographic, clinical, surgical, histopathological and postoperative follow-up data. Postoperative complications, malignant tumor stages for malignant lesions and data were collected from their records. The demographic data included age, gender. Clinical data consisted of symptoms, signs, staging for malignant lesions, signs revealed through clinical examination and radiologi-

Table 2. Histopathology of the parotid tumors (October 2005-December 2010)

Histology	n	%
Benign tumors	59	75
Pleomorphic adenoma	41	69
Warthin's tumor	14	24
Myoepithelioma	2	
Oncocytoma	1	
Lymphoepithelial cyst	1	
Malignant tumors	19	24
Acinic cell carcinoma	3	
Mucoepidermoid carcinoma	2	
Adenoid cystic carcinoma	2	
Epidermoid carcinoma	2	
Malignant fibrous histiocytoma	1	
Spindle cell carcinoma	1	
Myoepithelial carcinoma	1	
Auricular basal cell carcinoma	1	
Retromolar trigone		
epidermoid carcinoma	1	
Salivary duct carcinoma	1	
Follicular lymphoma	1	
Buccal region epidermoid carcinoma	1	
Undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma	1	
Inflammatory parotid disease	1	1

Table 3. Comparison of benign and malignant parotid tumors

	Benign	Malignant
No. of patients	60	18
Male / Female	35/25	12/6
Age (year)	47.9 (18-82)	64.6 (28-86)
Right/Left/Bilateral	32/27/1	10/8
Superficial/Deep/Safe	55/5	6/9/3

cal imaging. Surgical data included type of surgery, perioperative findings, histopathological data consisted of FNAB cytology and final specimen pathology reports. FNAB cytology was categorized (Table 1) to calculate specificity, sensitivity, and accuracy values were also calculated.

The statistical analysis was performed using SAS package (9.2 version, Cary, NC, USA). A student t-test was used to compare categorical data on benign and malignant lesions. The predictive value of fine-needle aspiration biopsy in the diagnosis of benign and malignant tumors was calculated using a chi-square test.

RESULTS

Seventy-nine patients had a parotidectomy at the Istanbul Training and Research Hospital Second Otolaryngology Clinic between 2005 and 2010. Post-operative histological diagnoses are summarized in Table 2.

The male and female distribution was 48 (61%) and 31 (39%), respectively. The distribution of patients with benign lesions is summarized in Table 3. The average age for patients with benign and malignant lesions was 47.9 and 64 years old, respectively. The difference between the two groups was statisti-

Table 5. Radiological imaging (BT and MRI)

Patient groups	BT	MRI	BT+MRI
Malignant			
Malignant fibrous histiocytoma			+
Retromolar trigone			
epidermoid carcinoma			+
Spindle cell carcinoma			+
Indiferantiated anaplastic carcinoma		+	
Pleomorphic undiferantiated sarcoma		+	
Myoepithelial carcinoma		+	
Renal clear cell carcinoma metastasis		+	
Benign			
Giant pleomorphic adenoma	+		
Pleomorphic adenoma recurrence	+		

cally significant ($p=0.0002$) (Table 4).

Pleomorphic adenoma (69%) was the most commonly encountered benign lesion, with the second most common lesion being Warthin's tumor (23%). The Warthin's tumor was bilateral in one patient out of 14. In our series, the most common malignant tumors were acinic cell carcinoma (15.8%), muco-epidermoid carcinoma (10.5%), adenoid cystic carcinoma (10.5%), and epidermoid carcinoma (10.5%), respectively.

Sixty-one superficial and 15 deep- lobe localized tumors were encountered. The distribution is summarized in Table 3.

Radiological Evaluation

During the diagnostic work-up, every patient had an ultrasonographical examination. The distribution of selected patients with CT and MRI exams during the preoperative diagnostic work-up is summarized in Table 5.

Table 4. Comparison of age and gender in the benign and malignant parotid tumors ($p<0.05$)

		n	Average	Standard deviation	p
Age	All groups	78	51.818	16.602	0.00022
	Benign	60	47.898	14.874	
	Malignant	18	64.667	15.789	
Gender (Male/Female)	All groups	47/31	0.597/0.403	–	0.4858
	Benign	35/25	0.576/0.424	–	
	Malignant	12/6	0.667/0.333	–	

Table 6. The patient distribution according to FNAB results

Cytology	Histology				Total
	Benign	Malignant	Inflammation	Not available	
Benign	42	5	0	0	47
Malignant	1	7	0	0	8
Inflammation	4	0	1	0	5
Suspicious	4	0	0	0	4
Not available	8	6	0	1	15
Total	59	18	1	1	79

Fine-Needle Aspiration Biopsy (FNAB) Cytology:

FNAB was performed in 64 of 79 patients. Five of the remaining 15 patient had neighboring metastatic lesions with incisional biopsy results. Ten patient had their biopsy performed in other centres and had no records at our institution. FNAB was consistent with the final diagnosis in 33 of 41 patients diagnosed with pleomorphic adenoma. The records of five patients were missing. The remaining patients were reported as having chronic inflammation (1 case), intraparotid neoplasia (1 case), and spindle cell neoplasia (1 case). The FNAB was consistent with the final diagnosis of Warthin's tumors in seven of 14 patients. In the remaining five patients, FNAB was revealed acute inflammation (1 case), suspicious cytology (1 case), oncocytic epithelial cells (1 case) and cyst consistent findings (1 case). In three cases with a final diagnosis of acinic cell carcinoma, FNAB revealed a benign oncocytic tumor, suspicious cytology, and was inconclusive in discrimination between mucoepidermoid carcinoma and benign epithelial tumors.

In this study, the specificity, sensitivity, and accuracy of FNAB for malignant tumors was calculated

as 0.98, 0.58 and 0.91, respectively. FNAB specificity, sensitivity and accuracy for benign tumors were calculated as 0.58, 0.81, and 0.77, respectively (Tables 6,7,8).

Surgical Procedure and Evaluation of Results

Thirty-seven pleomorphic adenoma (59.6%) and 13 Warthin's tumors (20%) were diagnosed in 62 patients undergoing superficial parotidectomy. Our surgical approach was a classical superficial parotidectomy, involving a preauriculocervical incision (Blair), and the detection of the main trunk of the facial nerve with through an anterograde approach. Facial nerve monitorization was not routine, except revision surgeries. One of the 62 patients with the basal cell carcinoma of the auricula had a superficial parotidectomy together with a skin excision, and another patient with the final diagnosis of Warthin's tumor had a superficial parotidectomy together with a skin excision and functional neck dissection. A total parotidectomy was performed in one recurrent case, FNAB reported pleomorphic adenoma with a final histopathological diagnosis of epidermoid carcinoma. Three patients with maxillar skin epidermoid

Table 7. Specificity, sensitivity and accuracy of the FNAB for malignant parotid tumors

Cytology	Histology		Total
	Positive	Negative	
Positive	42	5	47
Negative	10	7	17
Total	52	12	64

Specificity= $51/52 = 0.9808$; Sensitivity= $7/12 = 0.5833$;
Accuracy= $58/64 = 0.9063$.

Table 8. Specificity, sensitivity and accuracy of the FNAB for benign parotid tumors

Cytology	Histology		Total
	Positive	Negative	
Positive	7	1	8
Negative	5	51	56
Total	12	52	64

Specificity= $7/12 = 0.5833$; Sensitivity= $42/52 = 0.8077$;
Accuracy= $49/64 = 0.7656$

Table 9. The cases without recurrence following radiotherapy

Malignant tumor cases	n
Asinic cell carcinoma	3
Mucoepidermoid carcinoma	2
Adenoid cystic carcinoma	2
Salivary duct carcinoma	1
Spindle cell sarcoma	1
Myoepithelial carcinoma	1

Table 10. Complications following parotidectomy

	Benign	Malignant
Facial nerve paralysis	2	2
Transient facial nerve paralysis	1	0
Frey's syndrome	2	1
Seroma	1	0
Wound hematoma	1	1
Salivary fistula	1	0

carcinoma, basal cell auricular carcinoma, and retromolar trigone carcinoma had a superficial parotidectomy combined with an excision of the original lesion, but a histopathological parotid gland infiltration was not detected.

Seventeen patients with 12 malignant and five benign lesions had a total parotidectomy. Seven patient had a neck dissection (two radical, one modified radical, and four functional) combined with a total parotidectomy. Deep -lobe localized pleomorphic adenomas (4 cases) and Warthin's tumor (1 case) were managed with a total parotidectomy.

Two patients with a histopathological diagnosis of follicular lymphoma and malign fibrous histiocy-toma had chemotherapy. One patient with malignant fibrous histiocyctoma had a recurrence, and one patient with a tumor in retromolar trigone had a recurrence despite postoperative radiotherapy and chemotherapy.

The patients with parotid gland metastasis of renal clear cell carcinoma (1 case), epidermoid carcinoma (1 case), pleomorphic undifferentiated sarcoma (1 case) died. Malignant cases without recurrence in follow-ups after parotidectomy and radiotherapy

were summarized in Table 9.

Evaluation of Complications

Transient peripheral facial paralysis arising in a patient following a superficial parotidectomy recovered after three months. Patients with facial paralysis were evaluated using the Hause-Brackman grading system. Facial paralysis was encountered following two superficial parotidectomies for benign tumors and two total parotidectomies for malignant tumors (5%). The evaluation of postoperative complications revealed Frey's syndrome (3 cases), hematoma (2 cases), salivary fistula (1 case), and seroma (1 case) (Table 10).

DISCUSSION

In our series, the routinely ordered radiological imaging was US in patients suspected of benign tumor. Recently various imaging techniques have been proposed for the preoperative evaluation of parotid lesions including ultrasonography, CT and MRI (2 cases). In deep- lobe tumors with a tendency for infiltration, tumor recurrences, and facial paralysis, CT and MRI are important tools for making diagnoses in conjunction with US (3 cases). Owing to its high sensitivity and better differentiation of soft tissues, MRI is a better choice than CT.^[11] Advanced stage malignant tumors are differentiated from benign tumors with irregular features, infiltration, and a lack of differentiation from subdermal tissues (4 cases).^[12] MRI was performed on adjacent tumors with the possibility of infiltrating parotis tissue, and on metastatic tumors. US was primarily ordered radiological evaluation, whereas CT and MRI were reserved for selected cases.

The significance of fine-needle aspiration biopsy in preoperative evaluations of parotid tumors is controversial. In a previous study, the accuracy of malignant and benign parotid tumors was found to be between 81 and 98%.^[2] In another study, the accuracy was reported as 80-90%.^[13] Karaman et al.^[14] observed the overall diagnostic accuracy of FNAB in parotid gland lesions was 90.625%. The diagnostic sensitivity and specificity were 90.625% and 100%, respectively. In our study, the accuracy of FNAB was found 90.63% and 76.56% in benign and malign

nant tumors, respectively, and was concordant with the previous data. The sensitivity and specificity of FNAB was detected as 58% and 98% in malignant lesion and 81% and 58% in benign lesions. The detected ratios seem to be supportive for the diagnostic value of FNAB.^[13,15]

The routine or selective use of FNA for parotid masses is still under discussion and the standards for performing FNA in parotid masses are not clearly established.^[15] In our series, FNAB was performed on all patients with a detected mass in the parotid gland mainly to identify the parotid lesions indicating surgical intervention. Concordant with previous literature, we did not encounter any major FNAB-related complications.^[16,17]

Pleomorphic adenoma is most commonly encountered benign parotid tumor. In the present series, the pleomorphic adenoma was the most common benign tumor (52%), followed by Warthin's tumor (17.7%). In a previous study from Singapore, the most common benign parotid tumor was reported as Warthin's (40%). The same series reported 36% pleomorphic adenoma.^[18] Geographical and genetic differences may play role in the etiology. In many previous studies, a significantly high incidence of Warthin's tumor was reported in men, compared to women.^[19] In the present series, all patients diagnosed with Warthin's tumor are male, and this prevalence can be explained by the higher smoking rate in males, provoking metaplasia.^[19-23]

Mucoepidermoid carcinoma was reported as the most common malignant tumor of parotid glands in previous studies.^[24-27] Al-Khateeb et al have reported a similar incidence of mucoepidermoid carcinoma and adenoid cystic carcinoma.^[28] Another study of 478 cases reported the most common malignant tumor to be acinic cell carcinoma.^[29] In the present series, the distribution of 14 patient with primary malignant tumors revealed acinic cell carcinoma (3 cases) and mucoepidermoid carcinoma (2 cases) as the most commonly encountered malignant tumors.

The most common surgical procedure was a superficial parotidectomy. Benign tumors localized in the superficial lobe of the parotid gland and early

stage malignant tumors of the superficial lobe were managed with a superficial parotidectomy. The previous studies reported high recurrence ratios (4-40%) with tumor enucleation and conservative parotidectomy.^[9,10] However there are recent reports emphasizing the role of partial superficial parotidectomy in providing more cosmetic and the functional results.^[30]

The presence of malignant tumors necessitates the use of combination therapy. Superficial parotidectomy is adequate for the management of early-stage and low-grade tumors. In the present series, eight patient with superficial lobe-localized malignant tumors had a superficial parotidectomy. A total parotidectomy was performed on deep-lobe tumors. Radiotherapy and/or chemotherapy were included according to the histopathological evaluation of tumors. In advanced -stage parotid lesions, a total parotidectomy was combined with neck dissection and radiotherapy. In the present series, seven patients with advanced-stage malignant tumors had a neck dissection and radiotherapy, and one had chemotherapy.

The tail is the most common localization of parotid tumors. The marginal mandibular nerve is the most commonly injured branch, due to its long course and to facial nerve.^[31,32] Revision surgery and a history of infection statistically increase the incidence of facial paralysis, which was reported as 5% in our series and 4.9% in a previous study.^[33] Frey's syndrome was reported in a wide range, from 5-66%, in the literature.^[34,35] In the present series, we encountered three cases (3.7%) of Frey's syndrome.

CONCLUSION

Despite the significant contribution of FNAB cytology particularly in malignant-benign differentiation, the diagnosis of parotid gland lesions should include either clinical and radiological data as complementary to FNAB cytology data. US may be used routinely for locating benign lesions. CT and MRI may be reserved for malignant and selected benign cases. Our surgical preference was superficial parotidectomy in superficial benign parotid masses whereas total parotidectomy in deep-lobe benign tumors

and advanced-stage malignant tumors.

REFERENCES

1. Urquhart A, Hutchins LG, Berg RL. Preoperative computed tomography scans for parotid tumor evaluation. *Laryngoscope* 2001;111:1984-8.
2. Seethala RR, LiVolsi VA, Baloch ZW. Relative accuracy of fine-needle aspiration and frozen section in the diagnosis of lesions of the parotid gland. *Head Neck* 2005;27:217-23.
3. Howlett DC. Diagnosing a parotid lump: fine needle aspiration cytology or core biopsy? *Br J Radiol* 2006;79:295-7.
4. Zbären P, Nuyens M, Loosli H, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of fine-needle aspiration cytology and frozen section in primary parotid carcinoma. *Cancer* 2004;100:1876-83.
5. Herrera Hernández AA, Díaz Pérez JA, García CA, et al. Evaluation of fine needle aspiration cytology in the diagnosis of cancer of the parotid gland. [Article in Spanish] *Acta Otorrinolaringol Esp* 2008;59:212-6. [Abstract]
6. Salgarelli AC, Cappare P, Bellini P, et al. Usefulness of fine-needle aspiration in parotid diagnostics. *Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2009;13:185-90.
7. Bussu F, Parrilla C, Rizzo D, et al. Clinical approach and treatment of benign and malignant parotid masses, personal experience. *Acta Otorhinolaryngol Ital* 2011;31:135-43.
8. Lin CC, Tsai MH, Huang CC, et al. Parotid tumors: a 10-year experience. *Am J Otolaryngol* 2008;29:94-100.
9. Bradley PJ. Pleomorphic salivary adenoma of the parotid gland: which operation to perform? *Curr Opin Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2004;12:69-70.
10. Zbären P, Tschumi I, Nuyens M, et al. Recurrent pleomorphic adenoma of the parotid gland. *Am J Surg* 2005;189:203-7.
11. Lee YY, Wong KT, King AD, et al. Imaging of salivary gland tumours. *Eur J Radiol* 2008;66:419-36.
12. Harish K. Management of primary malignant epithelial parotid tumors. *Surg Oncol* 2004;13:7-16.
13. Cohen EG, Patel SG, Lin O, et al. Fine-needle aspiration biopsy of salivary gland lesions in a selected patient population. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2004;130:773-8.
14. Karaman M, Tuncel A, Tel A, Erdem Habeşoğlu T. Correlation between fine needle aspiration biopsy and histologic findings in parotid masses. *KBB ve BBC Dergisi* 2010;18:1-5.
15. Zbären P, Schär C, Hotz MA, et al. Value of fine-needle aspiration cytology of parotid gland masses. *Laryngoscope* 2001;111:1989-92.
16. Awan MS, Ahmad Z. Diagnostic value of fine needle aspiration cytology in parotid tumors. *J Pak Med Assoc* 2004;54:617-9.
17. Aversa S, Ondolo C, Bollito E, et al. Preoperative cytology in the management of parotid neoplasms. *Am J Otolaryngol* 2006;27:96-100.
18. Lim LH, Chao SS, Goh CH, et al. Parotid gland surgery: 4-year review of 118 cases in an Asian population. *Head Neck* 2003;25:543-8.
19. Yoo GH, Eisele DW, Askin FB, et al. Warthin's tumor: a 40-year experience at The Johns Hopkins Hospital. *Laryngoscope* 1994;104:799-803.
20. Chung YF, Khoo ML, Heng MK, et al. Epidemiology of Warthin's tumour of the parotid gland in an Asian population. *Br J Surg* 1999;86:661-4.
21. Eveson JW, Cawson RA. Warthin's tumor (cystadenolymphoma) of salivary glands. A clinicopathologic investigation of 278 cases. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* 1986;61:256-62.
22. Seifert G, Donath K. Multiple tumours of the salivary glands--terminology and nomenclature. *Eur J Cancer B Oral Oncol* 1996;32:3-7.
23. Batsakis JG, el-Naggar AK. Warthin's tumor. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol* 1990;99:588-91.
24. Li LJ, Li Y, Wen YM, et al. Clinical analysis of salivary gland tumor cases in West China in past 50 years. *Oral Oncol* 2008;44:187-92.
25. Subhashraj K. Salivary gland tumors: a single institution experience in India. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2008;46:635-8.
26. Vargas PA, Gerhard R, Araújo Filho VJ, et al. Salivary gland tumors in a Brazilian population: a retrospective study of 124 cases. *Rev Hosp Clin Fac Med Sao Paulo* 2002;57:271-6.
27. Pinkston JA, Cole P. Incidence rates of salivary gland tumors: results from a population-based study. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 1999;120:834-40.
28. Al-Khateeb TH, Ababneh KT. Salivary tumors in north Jordanians: a descriptive study. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2007;103:53-9.
29. van der Wal JE, Leverstein H, Snow GB, et al. Parotid gland tumors: histologic reevaluation and reclassification of 478 cases. *Head Neck* 1998;20:204-7.
30. Roh JL, Kim HS, Park CI. Randomized clinical trial comparing partial parotidectomy versus superficial or total parotidectomy. *Br J Surg* 2007;94:1081-7.
31. Al Salamah SM, Khalid K, Khan IA, et al. Outcome of surgery for parotid tumours: 5-year experience of a general surgical unit in a teaching hospital. *ANZ J Surg* 2005;75:948-52.
32. Astor FC, Ackerman EB, Hanft KL, et al. Surgical

- treatment of parotid tumors in the general community hospital. *South Med J* 2002;95:1404-7.
33. Granell J, Sánchez-Jara JL, Gavilanes J, et al. Management of the surgical pathology of the parotid gland: A review of 54 cases. [Article in Spanish] *Acta Otorrinolaringol Esp* 2010;61:189-95. [Abstract]
 34. Guntinas-Lichius O, Klusmann JP, Wittekindt C, et al. Parotidectomy for benign parotid disease at a university teaching hospital: outcome of 963 operations. *Laryngoscope* 2006;116:534-40.
 35. Marshall AH, Quraishi SM, Bradley PJ. Patients' perspectives on the short- and long-term outcomes following surgery for benign parotid neoplasms. *J Laryngol Otol* 2003;117:624-9.