DAMAGE PATTERN AS FUNCTION OF VARIOUS TYPES OF RADIATIONS

R. G. AHMED*

SUMMARY: The number of reports on the effects of various types of radiation is gradually increasing because of weakening of the immune system. Radiation can penetrate into living cells and result in the transfer of radiation energy to the biological material. The absorbed energy can increase the reactive oxygen species and break chemical bonds and cause ionization of different biologically essential macromolecules, such as DNA, membrane lipids and proteins. Damage to the cellular membrane release the hydrolytic enzymes responsible for various catabolic processes the tissues and leads to cell death. An understanding of the pattern in critical cellular structures such as DNA is an important prerequisite for a mechanistic assessment of primary radiation injury. The DNA damage induced by radiation such as base alterations, cross-linking, strands break or chromosomal aberration which may in turn lead to mutations. In order to further explore the harmful effects of radiation, I have produced a variety of effects of radiation on the apoptosis and necrosis. Indeed, the present review has shown that, the increase in the oxidative stress (increased endogenous production of the free radicals) due to radiation may be a reason for such a damage of the cell membrane, and may lead to harming the cellular elements (such as DNA). Here, one can hypothesize that, the cells with increased sensitivity to oxidative stress may be more susceptible to damage by radiation compared to normal cells. The ultimate biological consequences of this effect are subsequently processed by these cells. Much work remains to be done to firmly establish this concept.

Key Words: Radiation, DNA, cell death, lysosomes, fibrosis, reactive oxygen species.

INTRODUCTION

The damage to a living cell by radiation takes place on molecular level (1). It may occur either directly by release of energy from the tissue, within the structure of the molecule itself or indirectly by formation of highly reactive free radicals which interact with subcellular constituents. Membrane can be easily damaged by the peroxidative decomposition of their phospholipids. In addition, a mechanism exists whereby such damage of one membrane system can lead to the subsequent injury of other membranes physically separated from the intial locus (2).

Irradiation produces physical and chemical damage to tissues leading to cell death. Ionizing radiation interacts with cells transferring energy to molecular systems in discrete quanta and causes tissue damage through different simultaneous pathways, including reactive oxygen species (ROS) inducing oxidative damage (3, 4). Also, development of common endpoints such as apoptosis due to radiation which may cause diverse injurious events, e.g. by

^{*}From Department of Zoology, Faculty of Science, Cairo University, Beni-Suef Branch, Beni-Suef, Egypt.

direct destruction of DNA (5-9). Radiation also may induce genetical instability (10). On the other hand, opposite to ionizing radiation, the energy of microwave and radiofrequency do not transfer to biological macromolecules by the formation of chemically reactive ion pairs and free radicals (11).

The following is a brief concerted attempt to describe the backgrounds and recent findings that provide specific suggestions for exploring the deleterious effects of various types of radiation exposure.

DNA damage caused by radiation

The biological effects from genotoxic agents such as ionizing radiation, UV radiation or alkylating agents are often postulated to be linearly linked to dose, i.e. not showing a threshold even at the lowest exposure levels (12). Such hypotheses are based on the notion that critical lesions in the genome might already be caused by a single radiation which induce ionization in or near DNA, or by covalent addition of reactive molecules to DNA. This notion has some merits, not least its simplicity, and a linear term in dose-effect relationships might indeed be important for most endpoints involving single step mechanisms. In addition, the localized complex damage is much more efficient in creating fixed DNA damage leading to cellular malfunction than simple lesions (13, 14). Moreover, Tsoulou et al (15) deduced that the radiation damage to DNA is not a spontaneous effect but rather is an ensemble of damaging events that occur asynchronously.

The biological target of radiation within the cell is DNA. Damage of radiation to DNA is caused through two pathways, direct and indirect effects are seen in Table 1.

Direct Effects of Radiation	1. The direct DNA damage caused by the ionization or excitation of DNA component (16).
	2. Ultraviolet-C (UV-C) radiation is able to impair cellular functions by directly damaging DNA (17).
	3. Ionizing radiation produces many types of DNA lesions, in-cluding DNA base alterations, DNA-DNA cross-links, and single-and double - strand breaks (18, 19). The main result of the radiation in biological system is the creation of double breaks of DNA.
	4. Irradiation interferes with synthesis of enzymes required for DNA synthesis, thus it prevents mitotic division (21) and the absorbed energy of the ionizing radiation can break chemical bonds and cause ionization of different atoms and molecules, including water and different biologically essential macromolecules as DNA, (18-19) membrane lipids and proteins (22-24). Also, an impairment of the vitality of DNA strand and formation of cross linkage between adjacent strands or closely opposed regions of the same strand may occur (25).
Indirect Effects of Radiation	1. The indirect DNA damage caused by the free radicals is derived from the ionization or excitation of the water component of the cells (16).
	2. Ultraviolet-C (UV-C) radiation induces an increased formation of reactive oxygen species leading to oxidative stress (17).
	3. Ionizing radiation, in aqueous media or under normal cellular milieu, induces DNA damage by generating reactive oxygen species such as hydroxyl (·OH) and other secondary radicals, in addition to the direct energy transfer mechanism (20).
	4. A free radical-induced DNA strand break may occur only if the sugar moiety is ulti- mately damaged (20). Although a majority of these radicals may react with DNA by adding to the double bonds of the bases forming base radicals, a small percentage will react directly with deoxyribose moiety by abstracting hydrogen atoms leading to the formation of deoxyribose radicals. Both these interactions can eventually lead to strand breaks. In the former case, base radicals can react with the sugar moiety by abstracting hydrogen atom, thus, inducing breakage of sugar-phosphate back-bone. In the presence of oxygen, there is an increase in the yield of strand breaks through the formation of lipid radical (ROO.). In the second case, strand breaks appear to originate mainly from the radical(s) at C(4') of the deoxyribose (20-26).

Table 1: The pathways of radiation on damaging DNA.

Effect of UV on DNA

UV radiation induces a much wider range of DNA damage, such as protein-DNA crosslinks, single strand breaks, and thymine glycol. A number of studies using UVC radiation (254nm) have been conducted to demonstrate the effect of UV on DNA (27), since UVC is close to the maximum absorption spectrum of DNA to initiate photochemical reactions and is also available as nearly monochromatic light source. Moreover, DNA is not a chromophore for UV-A radiation (28), but could be damaged by photosensitization reaction initiated through absorption of UV-A by unidentified chromophore. Purine photoadducts have recently attracted the attention of photobiologists because oxidation of guanine occurs upon exposure of isolated DNA to UVC and UVB lights, in the absence of a photosensitizer (29). This may be accounted for by the formation of singlet oxygen from the triplet state of purine and pyrimidine bases, only a contribution of an electron transfer at the nucleoside level (30). Electron transfer or singlet molecular oxygen produced by UVB and UVA radiation targets DNA base guanine, giving rise to 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine (8-OHdG) in the strand DNA (31). 8-OHdG (Fig.1) is shown to be a ubiquitous maker of oxidative stress (32) since it can be generated under various agents including peroxynitrite. OH radical, one-electron oxidation oxidants and singlet oxygen. 8-OHdG is a miscoding lesion causing G to T transversion although it represents only a minor fraction of UV-induced mutation, even in the UVA region (33). UV radiation also induces a Figure 1: The major DNA lesions produced by oxidative damage, 8-oxoguanine, thymine glycol, pyrimidine hydrates and urea.



much wider range of DNA damage, such as protein-DNA crosslinks, single strand breaks, and thymine glycol.

On the other hand, The effects of UVB radiation on DNA are mostly caused by formation of dimeric photoproducts between adjacent pyrimidine bases on the same strand (Figure 2, 29). The major class of lesions produced is cis-syn CPDs (cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers). Pyrimidine-pyrimidone (6-4) photoproducts [(6-4) pp] are the second most prevalent adducts formed in DNA by UVB radiation (34-35). The yield of (6-4) pp is 5-10-fold less than that of CPDs (36). (6-4) pp is converted to Dewar iso-

Figure 2: Adjacent pyrimidine bases on the same stand pyrimidine dimer (Figure 2a) or (6-4) pyrimidine-pyrrimidone photo product (Figure 2b) alter absorbing UVB light energy.



Medical Journal of Islamic World Academy of Sciences 15:4, 135-147, 2005

mers by UVB radiation. (6-4) Adducts exhibiting a cytosine at their 5'-end may undergo deamination. The number of CPDs formed in a basal cell of human epidermis after exposure to three minimal doses of solar light in about 60 minutes exposure at noon in the summer in Kobe, Japan (34 N) is calculated to be approximately 100000 per cell (37). Among CPDs, thymine-cytosine (TC) and cytosinecytosine (CC) dimers are shown to be the most mutagenic, since TC -"TT and CC -"TT mutations are frequently found in the p53 gene of UV-induced cancer cells (38). In the case of TT dimers, the major UV-induced photoproducts in humans are poorly mutagenic, since DNA polymerase preferentially incorporates 'A' residue opposite to noninstructional lesions, restoring the original sequence (A-T). (6-4) pp may be less mutagenic, since it is repaired efficiently compared to CPDs. Actually, 90% (6-4) pp are shown to be repaired at 3 hours after irradiation (39). In addition to pyrimidine photoproducts, and adenine residue, which can either dimerize with an adjacent adenine or add to an adjacent thymine upon exposure to UVB radiation. The quantum yields of these photoproducts are very low, but these may contribute to the biological effects of UV light, since adenine-thymine adduct is shown to be mutagenic (40). Moreover, the ionization effect of ultraviolet radiation, especially, the ultraviolet B (UV-B), can be absorbed by nucleic acids, and by some aromatic amino acids and may cause breaks and/or perturbation of molecular structures (7, 41).

Furthermore, Tsoulou *et al.* (15) recorded that the neutrons (high-linear energy transfer, H-LET) were more effective than gamma rays (low-linear energy transfer, L-LET) in inducing DNA, as well as single electrons, were able to create clustered damage with a biologically relevant yield (14). However, high-LET charged particle radiation has several potential advantages over X-rays (42) such as (1) an excellent dose distribution, (2) a higher relative biologic effectiveness (RBE), (3) a reduction in the oxygen enhancement ratio, (4) less variation in cell cycle-related radiosensitivity, and (5) less capability for repair of radiation injury.

UVA Damage To DNA In Cell-Culture Models (43)

UVA radiation has been shown to cause mutations in mammalian cells. Studies in murine cell lines have demonstrated that broadband UVA can induce mutations(44). In addition, monochromatic UVA at 365 and 334 nm are mutagenic to a human epithelial P3 cell line (45) and to a Chinese hamster ovary cell line (46) respectively. Enninga *et al.* (47) have demonstrated that radiation at 365 nm was potentially mutagenic to cultured human fibroblasts. Wenczel *et al.* (48) measured DNA single-strand breaks in cultured human melanocytes after UVA exposure. They showed that pheomelanin or melanin intermediates (or both) were the most likely chromophores that react with the UVA radiation, leading to the DNA single-strand breaks.

Using monochromatic radiation, Kvam and Tyrrell (49) demonstrated that the wavelengths causing almost all of the oxidative DNA base damage (e.g. 7,8-dihydro-8oxoguanine) in a human skin fibroblast cell line were in the UVA and visible light range. In addition, they estimated that the total amount of such guanine base damage induced by sunlight in fibroblasts of the skin equals or exceeds the amount of the major type of direct DNA damage, cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers induced mainly by UVB. Drobetsky et al. (50) have characterized a specific mutation at the adenine phosphoribosyltransferase locus in Chinese hamster ovary cells irradiated with UVA. They demonstrated a high frequency (\leq 50%) of T to G transversion, a rare class of mutations ("fingerprint" mutation), in UVA-irradiated cells, compared with 9 in UVB-irradiated cells. A moderately high frequency (25%) of T to G transversion was seen with cells irradiated with simulated sunlight, leading to their conclusion that most of the T to G transversion mutations in cells irradiated with simulated sunlight can be attributed to the UVA portion of sunlight, with little, if any, contribution from UVB.

In addition to the aforementioned studies with different mammalian cell lines, the potential carcinogenic effect of UVA has also been demonstrated in cultured human melanocytes. Marrot *et al.* (51) induced DNA breaks in the nucleus of Caucasian human melanocytes with broadspectrum UVA (320-400nm) irradiation. DNA breakage in cells was assessed via the comet assay, a technique used extensively for analyzing genotoxic effects by environmental chemicals (52) or by UV components of the solar spectrum (53, 54). The investigators suggested that the endogenous pigments and/or melanin-related molecules seem to enhance DNA breakage after UVA irradiation. This is evidenced by higher DNA breakage in melanocytes than in fibroblasts, in cells with higher melanin content, and in cells stimulated for melanogenesis by culturing in tyrosine-rich medium. In addition, Marrot *et al.* (51) demonstrated that there was an increased level of p53 expression in the irradiated melanocytes, indicating DNA damage. That different UVA wavelengths induce mutations in different cell lines can be explained by the different types and amounts of photosensitizers or chromophores in these cells. Unlike UVB, UVA must first react with endogenous photosensitizers (e.g. flavins, porphirins, melanins) that in cells

strand breaks or photoadducts. The different sensitivities and mechanisms for DNA damage induced by UVA and by UVB are important to elucidate to improve the formulation of sunscreens with broad-spectrum coverage.

Because the most effective wavelength in causing photoproduct formation in human skin is around 300 nm in the UVB spectrum (55), efforts could be focused on developing UVB-protective sunscreens with maximal protection at or around this wavelength. However, the various photosensitizers in skin have different absorption spectra. These photosensitizers absorb different spectral bands of UVA and then generate oxygen radicals. Thus for UVA-protective sunscreens to be maximally effective, they should have broad coverage across the entire UVA spectrum.

Chromosomal aberrations due to radiation

Gaps and breaks within the chromatid strands and chromosomes are the most frequently observed radiationinduced chromosomal lesions (56-58). Combined light and electron microscopic studies by several authors (58-60) revealed that radiation-induced gap-formation were results of the physical loss of DNA-protein complexes rather than decondensation of the chromatin. Chromosome abnormalities, such as translocations, fragile tips and deletions were detected by high resolution scanning electron microscopy in canine chromosomes after whole-body irradiation (61). Several studies on homologous chromosomes in the prophase of the first meiotic division showed the presence of breaks and rearrangements of synaptonemal complexes in irradiated cells (62-64). The ultraviolet radiation, especially UV-B absorbed by nucleic acids may also cause breaks or perturbation of the molecular structures of nucleic acids, manifested as chromosome aberrations (7, 41).

A marked increase in the frequency of chromosome aberrations and formation of micronuclei was also observed in human blood lymphocytes upon 2450MHz microwave exposure (65). This cytogenetical effect seems to be dependent on the frequency used, because it was absent in cells exposed to 935.2 MHz microwave irradiation (66).

These lesions can be caused directly by radiation energy and they can develop as a consequence of radiation induced genomic instability (10, 67) in the surviving cells. Measurement of chromosome aberrations is an informative and widely used technique for studying the genetic risk of ionizing radiation and is a useful biological indicator of radiation exposure.

On the other hand, low doses of irradiation are frequently followed by the formation of the so-called nuclear bodies, which appear ring-like chromatin aggregates surrounded by a less dense halo (68-72). Their development may be accompanied by an increase in the processing or transport of pre-mRNA and/or pre-rRNA (73). The number of nucleoli and the average volume of nucleolar organizer regions may increase significantly upon irradiation, as reported by Morales *et al.* (74). These phenomena may be related to the radiation-induced elevation of transcriptional activity of the nucleus. Irradiation may cause formation of patches of dense substances in the nucleoli (70, 75). Nucleolar segregation was also observed in irradiated cells (68, 71, 72).

Radiation Induced Reactive Oxygen Species

An "oxidative stress" should be considered as the consequence of an imbalance between pro-oxidant processes and effective antioxidant defense systems and finally the result of a detrimental disturbance of the "cellular redox homeostasis" (76). Active oxygen species are generated during pathophysiologic conditions such as inflammation and ionizing radiation exposure (77). Radiation-induced free radicals in turn impair the antioxidative defence mechanism, leading to an increased membrane lipid peroxidation (LPO) which results in damage of the membrane-bound enzymes (78). Ultraviolet radiation generates reactive oxygen intermediates (ROIs) (79). Moreovere, in the human skin, the ultraviolet radiation causes increase of production of the reactive oxygen species which are responsible for oxidative stress and damage of many important cellular components in the target tissue (80). Radiation-induced peroxidative damage determined in terms of the formation of thiobarbituric acid-reactive sub-

139

stances (TBARS) and the change in the specific activity of lactate dehydrogenase support this possibility (81). Lipid peroxidation increased as a function of radiation dose, from 45 to 600 Gy and the radiation-induced depletion of protein thiols (82). Since lipid peroxidation and ionizing radiation are well known sources of free radicals and a damage of tissues.

Free radicals are highly reactive molecules generated by biochemical redox reactions that occur as part of normal cell metabolism and by exposure to environmental factors such as UV light and γ-radiation. In addition, acute ultraviolet-B (UV-B) irradiation is known to act as an initiator in the formation of reactive oxygen species. These oxygen products are highly reactive and they are able to cause irreversible damage to cellular components. The iron, singlet oxygen, and hydrogen peroxide are important redox active species involved in the deleterious effects of UVA radiation on lipids and proteins of human skin cells (83). Thus, cells with increased sensitivity to oxidative stress are more susceptible to damage by ionizing radiation than normal cells (84). In addition, the reactive oxygen species are believed to be involved in radiation lethality (85). The involvement of oxidative stress in the UV irradiation-induced caspase activation and the subsequent apoptotic biochemical changes (86).

On the other hand, the radiofrequency fields of cellular phones may affect biological systems by increasing free radicals, which appear mainly to enhance lipid peroxidation, thus leading to oxidative stress (87). These results support the hypothesis that increased oxy-radical activity is a persistent effect in X-irradiated mammalian cells (88).

Several mechanisms of ROS production have been described, including the mitochondrial respiratory chain, the multienzymatic cytochrome P450 complex in detoxication pathways, the xanthine oxidase, the nitric oxide (.NO) synthesis from arginine by. NO synthase and many cellular oxidases, such as cyclo-oxygenase or prolyl-hydroxylase for example (89). ROS are also generated from H₂O₂ or ozone in the presence of metal ions (iron or copper) through the Fenton's reaction or by various toxic compounds (90). During inflammation, which may be induced by irradiation, superoxide anions are produced by various cell types, including white blood cells [polymorpho-nuclear neutrophils (PMN), macrophages or monocytes], endothelial cells or some parenchymal cells, through the activation of NADPH-oxidase, a cell membrane-associated enzymatic complex (91).

Characterization of radiation-induced cell death

Cell death includes apoptosis and necrosis. Cell death can be the ultimate consequence of cellular radiation injury (6, 92-94). Cell death caused by ionizing irradiation has previously been categorized into two main classes, based upon the time of disintegration of cells after exposure, namely into as interphase death and reproductive or mitotic death (92). Interphase death is denned as an irreversible impairment of cellular metabolism and breakdown of cellular structures before entering into the first mitosis after irradiation. Reproductive or mitotic death occurs during mitosis and one or even several divisions after the irradiation (95). Both interphase and reproductive death can be manifested as apoptosis and/or necrosis (93, 96-98).

The category of cell death depends on the type of cells and tissues and on the conditions and types of ionizing irradiation (6, 93, 94, 99). Furthermore, the dose of irradiation may also play a role in determining the type of cell death. Moreover, recent researches have shown that the exposure to radiation results in induction of apoptosis in various mammalian cells (98-110) and many observations suggest that apoptosis was the main form of ionizing radiation-induced cell death in lymphocytes, thymocytes, lymphoid and myeloid cell lines. However, some cell types show a slow and delayed apoptotic response (94, 99). The process starts within minutes following irradiation and lasts for several hours. UV-B irradiation may also induce apoptosis in several cell systems (7, 111). Apoptosis appears to be a critical cellular event in neuro-degenerative diseases (112, 113), and in response to a variety of insults including cerebral ischemia and exposure to ionizing radiation (114, 115).

Apoptosis and classical necrosis are two genetically, biochemically and morphologically different types of cell death, and the differences have been recognized as depending not only on the cell type but also on the radiation dose. Table 2 shows the difference between apoptosis and necrosis under effect of radiation according the morphological criteria.

Indeed, programmed cell death plays an important role in embryogenesis and can also be induced in different situations such as growth factor deprivation, chemical insults, hyperthermia and exposition to ultraviolet (UV) and ionizing radiation (123). More studies are necessary to fur-

	1. Apoptosis is expressed as an active, intrinsic mechanism based on the concerted action of specific proteases (caspases) and endonucleases (8).
	2. Shrinkage and fragmentation of nuclei are the main morphologic features of cells dying of apoptosis (8).
	3. Condensation and clumping of chromatin, redistribution of nuclear pores, dissolution of nuclear laminae accompanies this process (apoptosis). The chromatin fragments may appear scattered in the cytoplasm in the form of so called micronuclei (8).
Apoptosis	4. The cell as a whole shrinks and finally breaks up into fragments which become engulfed by neighbouring cells (117,118).
	5. Alterations in mitochondrial structure (swelling and disappearance of cristae) and function (drop in mitochondrial transmembrane potential) occur in early stages of apoptosis and may precede and/or accompany nuclear changes (119).
	6. Disintegration of Golgi complex and dilatation of endoplasmic reticulum as well as disappearance of microvilli (120) and cell contacts (121) and formation of blebs (122) are commonly observed in apoptotic cells.
	7. These changes are in close relationship with reorganization of the cytoskeleton and an altered phosphorylation state of the cytoplasmic myosin light chains and regulatory proteins of the microfilament system (120-122).
Necrosis	1. Necrosis is the consequence of irreversible destruction of cell membranes, followed by collapse of cellular metabolism resulting from extrinsic damage to the cell (8).
	2. Mitochondrial swelling, dilatation and degranulation of endoplasmic reticule, vacuolization of Golgi complex, lysosomal rupture, disorganization of cytoskelatal system, dilatation and dissolution of nuclear-laminae, and appearance of breaks in the plasma membrane are the morphologic hallmarks of necrotic process, which ends in irreversible swelling and lysis of cells (117,118).

Table 2: Variation consequence between apoptosis and necrosis due to radiation exposure.

ther characterize the pathways involved in the gamma radiation induced apoptosis in embryos.

Radiation induced lysosomes and their processes

Pertaining to Table 3 (11), the ionizing radiation caused the number and volume fraction of lysosomes to increase in the cells and elevated lysosomal enzyme activity could detected by several authors (11, 124-132). At the same time, lysosomal enzymes may appear in the cytosol and in the extracellular fluid (124, 133-136).

Moreover, some of the observations suggesting increased lysosomal enzyme activity in the irradiated cells are based on the fact that the volume fraction of lysosomes/autophagic vacuoles is increased in these cells. As well as, the expansion of the lysosomal/autophagic compartment may be interpreted with several cautions.

1) may be due to a decreased rate of digestion of the

segregated material, which may cause the overload and expansion of the lysosomal compartment (11).

2) may be due to the accumulation of undergraded material as a consequence of the decreased rate of lysosomal protein degradation in the irradiated cells (137),

3) Cells such as macrophages and glial cells may respond to irradiation by elevated pinocytotic and phagocytic activity (69, 72, 138),

4) Lysosomes are extremely sensitive to radiation, peroxides and free radicals and that the damage resulting to the membrane can readily release the hydrolytic enzymes responsible for various catabolic processes in the tissues (139), and

5) Radiation induces physical or functional changes in the lysosomal membranes permitting release of the hydrolytic enzymes and indirectly causing death by this mechanism (140). Table 3: Ionizing radiation-induced alteration of autophagic vacuole/lysosomal compartments in various cells (11).

Cell type	Irradiation	Compartments (increased in volume)
Rat lung	X-ray	Lysosomes ^a
Granular pneumocytes,Mem- branous pneumocytes and Endothelial cells	X-ray, 15, 20Gy, 3-6h	Small autophagic vacuoles ^a Autophag vacuoles, multivesicular bodies ^a Large autophagic vacuoles ^a
Rat alveolar macrophages	Thermalized neutron	Lysosomes ^b
Epithelium of duodenal crypt	X-ray	Lysosomes ^b
Mouse hepatocytes	X-ray, mixed γ-neutron	Lysosomes, myelin figures ^a
Thyroid	131 ₁	Autophagic vacuoles ^a
Cultured neuroblastoma cells	X-ray	Autophagic and heterophag vacuoles ^a , multivesicular bodies ^a
Mouse gland carcinomas	X-ray	Lysosomesa ^a
Oral epithelium (rat)	X-ray, 50Gy, 26-50h	Autophagic vacuoles ^a
Jejunum (Rhesus monkey)	32 MeV proton	Giant lysosomes ^a
Rat dorsal ganglia neurons	X-ray	Autophagic vacuoles ^a
Pituitary adenomas	Radiotherapy	Autophagic vacuoles ^a
Hippocampus	⁶⁰ Co, 1 Gy prenatal irradiation	Autophagic vacuoles ^a
Cultured BHK cells	X-ray	Autophagic vacuoles ^a
Chang liver cells	X-ray or γ-irradiation	Autophagic vacuoles, lysosomes ^a
Cultured KB cells	X-ray	Lysosomes ^a
HeLa cells	X-ray	Lysosomes ^a
Glial cells	gamma-rays	Autophagic vacuoles ^a
Splenic macrophage from rat	gamma-rays	Htero- and Autophagic vacuoles ^a
HT-29 cell	X-ray	Whole lysosome compartment
Macrophages	Incorporated 241Pu particles	Autophagic vacuoles ^a
Cochlea of guinea pig	Fast neutron	Autophagic vacuoles ^a

^aQuantitative evaluation, ^bQualitative evaluation

There is ample evidence that the lysosomal enzymes play an important role in the cellular physiologic and pathologic regressive changes including necrobiosis and cell death (141). Ionizing radiation appears to provoke release and activation of lysosomal enzymes (142). Following Xirradiation injury elevated levels of lysosomal enzymes, have been reported for a variety of tissues (143, 144). Also, the higher acid phosphatase activity noticed in the ground cytoplasm in many cases of cell injury is (145, 146) due to the rupture of lysosomal membranes followed by the release of their contents of powerful enzymes into the cytoplasm where they induce their lytic effects. A high acid phosphatase activity is often associated with cell degeneration (145, 147) and the lysosomal rupture preceeds rather than results from cell death (148). According to the above results, it is worth mentioning that, the framework of the "enzyme release" hypothesis of post-radiational cell death (149), which suggests that cell death is a consequence of the unregulated release of digestive enzymes from lyso-somes after irradiation. This may be true in case of radia-

tion-induced necrosis. Thus, generally, these results confirm the relation between increased lysosomal enzyme and cell death.

In conclusion, review of recent studies revealed that radiation induces release of ROS and hydrolytic enzymes which may cause tissue damage involving injury of DNA. These changes may cause apoptosis and cell death.

REFERENCES

1. Glasstone S, Jordan WH : Nuclear power and its environmental effects. American Nuclear Society, La Grange Park, Illionis, 1981.

2. Farber JL : Biology of disease; Membrane injury and calcium homeostasis in the pathogenesis of coagulative necrosis. Lab Invest, 47:114, 1982.

3. Guelman LR, Zieher LM, Fiszman ML : The effect of xradiation on cerebellar granule cells grown in culture. Ganglioside GM1 neuro-protective activity. Neurochem Int, 29:521-27, 1996.

4. Guelman LR, Zorrilla Zubilete MA, Rios H, Di Toro CG, Dopico AM, Zieher LM : Motor, cytoarchitectural and biochemical assessment of pharmacological neuroprotection against CNS damage induced by neonatal ionizing radiation exposure. Brain Res Protoc, 7:203-10, 2001.

5. Ojeda F, Diehl HA, Folch H : Radiation induced membrane changes and programmed cell death: possible interrelationships Scan nine Microscopy, 8:645-51, 1994.

6. Blank KR, Rudoltz MS, Kao GD, Muschel RJ, McKenna WG : Review: the molecular regulation of apoptosis and implication for radiation oncology. International Journal of Radiation Biology, 71:455-66, 1997.

7. Caricchio R, Reap EA, Cohen PL : Fas/Fas ligand interactions are involved in ultraviolet-B-induced human lymphocyte apoptosis. Journal of Immunology, 161:241-51, 1998.

8. Khodarev NN, Sokolova LA, Vaughan ATM : Mechanisms of induction of apoptotic DNA fragmentation. International Journal of Radiation Biology, 73:455-67, 1998.

9. Meng H, Terado T, Kimura H : Apoptosis induced by Xrays and chemical agents in murine fibroblastic cell lines with a defect in repair of DNA double-strand breaks. International Journal of Radiation Biology, 73:503-10, 1998.

10. Kadhim MA, Lorimore SA, Townsend KM, Godhead DT, Buckle VJ, Wright EG : Radiation-induced genomic instability: delayed cytogenetic aberrations and apoptosis in primary human bone marrow cells. International Journal of Radiation Biology, 67:287-93, 1995.

11. Somosy Z : Radiation response of cell organelles. Micron, 31:165-81, 2000.

12. Burkart W, Jung T, Frasch G : Damage pattern as a function of radiation quality and other factors. C R Acad Sci Paris, Sciences de la vie, Life Sciences, 322;89-101, 1999.

13. Fox JC, Prise KM : DNA lesions: linear energy transfer and radiosensitive mutants. Br J Radiol Suppl, 24:48-52, 1993. 14. Goodhead DT : Initial events in the cellular effects of ionizing radiations: clustered damage in DNA. Int J Radiat Biology, 65:7-17, 1994.

15. Tsoulou E, Kalfas CA, Sideris EG : Conformational properties of DNA after exposure to gamma rays and neutrons. Radiat Res, 163:90-7, 2005.

16. Kinashi Y, Sakurai Y, Masunage S, Suzuki M, Nagata K, Ono K : Ascorbic acid reduced mutagenicity at the HPRT locus in CHO cells against thermal neutron radiation. Applied Radiation and Isotopes, 61:929-32, 2004.

17. Bruge F, Tiano L, Cacciamani T, Principi F, Littarru GP : Effect of UV-C mediated oxidative stress in leukemia cell lines and its relation to ubiquinone content. Biofactors. 2003;18(1-4):51-63.

18. Schulte-Frohlinde D, Bothe E : The development of chemical damage of DNA in aqueous solution. In: The Early Effects of Radiation in DNA. Ed by EM Fielden, O O'Neils. NATO ASI Series H: Cell Biology, 54. Springer, Berlin, pp 317-332, 1991.

19. Lett JT : Damage to cellular DNA from particulate radiation, the efficacy of its processing and the radiosensitivity of mammalian cells. Emphasis on DNA strand breaks and chromatin break. Radiation and Environmental Biophysics, 31:57-77, 1992.

20. Von Sonntag C : The Chemical Basis of Radiation Biology. Taylor and Francis, London, 1987.

21. Bezlephing VG, Malinovsld IU, Kuneisonva ER, Numar RA, Gaseiv AI : Induction of unscheduled DNA synthesis in the nuclera matrix of rat hepatocytes after whole gamma irradiation of the animals. Radiobiologia, 26:743, 1986.

22. Koteles GJ : New aspects of cell membrane radiobiology and their impact on radiation protection. Atomic Energy Review, 17:3-30, 1979.

23. Cramp WA, Yatvin MB, Harms-Ringdahl M : Recent developments in the radiobiology of cellular membranes. Acta Oncology, 33:945-952, 1994.

24. Daniniak N, Tann BJ : Utility of biological membranes as indicators for radiation exposure: alterations in membrane structure and function overtime. Stem Cells, 13:142-52, 1995.

25. Anderson R : "Anderson's pathology", 8th ed, Ch 5, The CV Mosby Company, Toronto, 1988.

26. O'Neill P, Fielden PM : Primary Free Radical Processes m DNA-. Advances in Radiation Biology, Academic Press, pp 53-120, 1993.

27. Mitchell DL, Jen J, Cleaver JE : Relative induction of cyclobutane dimers and cytosine photohydrates in DNA irradiated in vitro and in vivo with ultraviolet-C and ultraviolet-B light. Photochem Photobiol, 54:741-746, 1991.

28. Rosenstein BS, Mitchell DL : Action spectra for the induction of pyrimidine photoproducts and cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers in normal human skin fibroblasts. Photochem Photobiol, 45:775-780, 1987.

29. Ichihashi M, Ueda M, Budiyanto A, Bito T, Oka M, Fukunaga M, Tsuru K, Horikawa T : UV-induced skin damage. Toxicology, 189:21-39, 2003.

DAMAGE PATTERN AS FUNCTION OF VARIOUS TYPES OF RADIATIONS

30. Ito K, Kawanishi S : Site-specific DNA damage induced by UVA radiation in the presence of endogenous photo-sensitizer. Biol Chem, 378:1307-1312, 1997.

31. Cadet J, Douki T, Pouget J-P, Ravanat J-L : Singlet oxygen DNA damage products: formation and measurement. Methods Enzymol, 319:143-153, 2000.

32. Kasia H, Nishimura S : Hydroxylation of deoxyguanosine at the C-8 position by ascorbic acid and other reducing agents. Nucleic Acids Res, 12:2137-2147, 1984.

33. Cheng KC, Cahill DS, Kasai H, Nishimura S, Loeb LA : 8-Hydroxyguanine, an abundant form of oxidative DNA damage causes G to T and A to C substitution. J Biol Chem, 267:166-172, 1992.

34. Mitchell DL : The relative cytotoxicity of (6-4) photo-products and cyclobutane dimers in mammalian cells. Photochem Photobiol, 48:51-57, 1988.

35. Clingen PH, Arlett CF, Cole J, Waugh AP, Lowe JE, Harcourt SA, Hermanova N, Roza L, Mori T, Nikaido O, Michael HL, et al : Correlation of UVC and UVB cytotoxicity with the induction of specific photoproducts in T-lymphocytes and fibroblasts from normal human donors. Photochem Photobiol, 61:163-170, 1995.

36. Eveno E, Bourre F, Quilliet X, Chevallier-Lagente O, Roza L, Eker AP, Kleijer WJ, Nikaido O, Stefanini M, Hoeijmakers JH, et al : Different removal of ultraviolet photoproducts in genetically related xeroderma pigmentosum and trichothiodystrophy diseases. Cancer Res, 55:325-332.

37. Ichihashi M, Funasaka Y, Ahmed NU, Chakraborty AK, Ueda M : Efficacy of antioxidant substances and prevention of UV damage, in symposium on skin: In: Interface of a Living System. Ed by JA Parrish, H Tagami, T Ozawa. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp 167-175, 1998.

38. Daya-Grosjean L, Dumaz N, Sarasin A : The specificity of p53 mutation spectra in sunlight-induced human cancers. J Photochem Photobiol, 28:115-124, 1995.

39. Nakagawa A, Kobayashi N, Muramatsu T, Yamashina Y, Shirai T, Hashimoto MW, Ikenaga M, Mori T : Three-dimensional visualization of ultraviolet-induced DNA damage and its repair in human cell nuclei. J Invest Dermatol, 110:143-148, 1998.

40. Zhao X, Taylor J-S : Mutation spectra of TA, the major photoproduct of thymidylyl-(3'5') deoxyadenosine, in Escherichia coli under SOS conditions. Nucleic Acid Res, 24:1561-1565, 1996.

41. Shimmura S, Tsubota K : Ultraviolet B-induced mitochondrial dysfunction is associated with decreased cell detachment of corneal epithelial cells in vitro. Investigations in Ophthalmology and Vision Science, 38:620-626, 1997.

42. Blakely EA, Kronenberg A : Heavy-ion radiobiology: new approaches to delineate mechanisms underlying enhanced biological effectiveness. Radiat Res, 150:126-145, 1998.

43. Wang SQ, Setlow R, Berwick M, Polsky D, Marghoob AA, Kopf AW, Bart RS : Ultraviolet A and melanoma: A review. J Am Acad Dermatol, 44:837-846, 2001.

44. Lundgren K, Wulf HC : Cytotoxicity and genotoxicity of UVA irradiation in Chinese hamster ovary cells measured by spe-

cific locus mutations, sister chromatid exchanges and chromosome aberrations. Photochem Photobiol, 47:559-563, 1988.

45. Jones CA, Huberman E, Cunningham ML, Peak MJ : Mutagenesis and cytotoxicity in human epithelial cells by far-and near-ultraviolet radiations: action spectra. Radiat Res, 110:244-254, 1987.

46. Wells RL, Han A : Action spectra for killing and mutation of Chinese hamster cells exposed to mid- and near-ultraviolet monochromatic light. Mutat Res, 129:251-258, 1984.

47. Enninga 1C, Groenendijk RT, Filon AR, van Zeeland AA, Simons JW : The wavelength dependence of u.v.-induced pyrimidine dimer formation, cell killing and mutation induction in human diploid skin fibroblasts. Carcinogenesis, 7:1829-1836, 1986.

48. Wenczl E, Van der Schans GP, Roza L, Kolb RM, Timmerman AJ, Smit NP, et al : (Pheo)melanin photosensitizes UVAinduced DNA damage in cultured human melanocytes. J Invest Dermatol, 111:678-682, 1998.

49. Kvam E, Tyrrell RM : Induction of oxidative DNA base damage in human skin cells by UV and near visible radiation. Carcinogenesis, 18:2379-2384, 1997.

50. Drobetsky EA, Turcotte J, Chateauneuf A : A role for ultraviolet A in solar mutagenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA, 92:2350-2354, 1995.

51. Marrot L, Belaid JP, Meunier JR, Perez P, Agapakis-Causse C : The human melanocyte as a particular target for UVA radiation and an endpoint for photoprotection assessment. Photochem Photobiol, 69:686-693, 1999.

52. Belpaeme K, Cooreman K, Kirsch-Voiders M : Development and validation of the in vivo alkaline comet assay for detecting genomic damage in marine flatfish. Mutat Res, 15:167-184, 1998.

53. Arlett CF, Lowe JE, Harcourt SA, Waugh AP, Cole J, Roza L, et al : Hypersensitivity of human lymphocytes to UV-B and solar irradiation. Cancer Res, 53:609-614, 1993.

54. Alapetite C, Wachter T, Sage E, Moustacchi E : Use of the alkaline comet assay to detect DNA repair deficiencies in human fibroblasts exposed to UVC, UVB, UVA and gamma-rays. Int J Radiat Biology, 69:359-369, 1996.

55. Freeman SE, Hacham H, Gange RW, Maytum DJ, Sutherland JC, Sutherland BM : Wavelength dependence of pyrimidine dimer formation in DNA of human skin irradiated in situ with ultraviolet light. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA, 86:5605-5609, 1989.

56. Evans, THJ : Chromosome aberrations induced by ionizing radiations. International Review of Cell Biology, 13:221-321, 1962.

57. Yu CK : Structure of chromosomes visualized by scanning electron microscopy. Canadian Journal of Genetics and Cytology, 13:690-696, 1971.

58. Brecher S : Ultrastructural observations of X-ray induced chromatid gaps. Mutation Res, 42:249-261, 1977.

59. Humphrey R, Brinkley BR : Ultrastructural studies of radiation-induced chromosome damage. Journal of Cell Biology, 42:745-801, 1969.

60. Brinkley BR, Hittelman WN : Ultrastructure of mammalian chromosome aberrations. International Review of Cytology, 42:49-101, 1975.

61. Niiro GK, Seed TM : SEM of canine chromosomes: Normal structure and the effects of whole-body irradiation. Scanning Microscopy, 2:1593-1598, 1988.

62. Backer LC, Sontag MR, Alien JW : Stage-specific damage to synaptonemal complexes and metaphase chromosomes induced by X-rays in male mouse germ cells. Radiation Res, 125:187-196, 1991.

63. Alien JW, Poorman-Allen P, Collins BW, Sontag MR : Synaptonemal complex aberrations in the pseudoautosomal region of X,Y chromosomes in irradiated hamsters. Mutagenesis, 9:259-267, 1994.

64. Johannisson R, Mormel R, Brandenburg B : Synaptonemal complex damage in fetal mouse oocytes induced by ionizing irradiation. Mutation Res, 311:319-328, 1994.

65. Maes A, Verschave L, Arroyo A, DeWagter C, Vercruyssen L : In vitro cytogenetic effects of 2450MHZ waves on human peripheral blood lymphocytes. Bioelectromagnetics, 14: 495-501, 1993.

66. Maes A, Collier M, VanGorp U, Vandoninck S, Verschaeve L : Cytogenetic effects of 935.2MHz (GSM) microwaves alone and in combination with mitomycin C. Mutation Res, 393:151-156, 1997.

67. Morgan WF, Day JP, Kaplan MI, McGhee EM, Limoli CL: Genomic instability induced by ionizing radiation. Radiation Res, 146:247-258, 1996.

68. Klein-Szanto AJP, DeRay BLM, Conti I, Cabrini RL : Ultrastructure of irradiated nuclei. Strahlentherapie, 147:263-270, 1974.

69. Barham SS, Walters RA : X-irradiation-induced nuclear lesions in cultured mammalian cells: an ultrastructural analysis. Radiation Res, 76:105-112, 1978.

70. Orkisz S, Bartel H : Morphology of nuclear ribonucleoprotein in the early period of liver regenaration after irradiation. Histochemistry, 71:629-634, 1981.

71. Skog S, Collins VP, Ivarson B, Tribukait B : Scanning and transmission electron microscopy following irradiation of Ehrlich ascites tumor cells. Relationships to cell cycle, Acta Radiological Oncology, 22:151-161, 1983.

72. Somosy Z, Pikli A, Kubasova T, Koteles GJ, Bodo M : Alteration of nuclear diameter of murine lymphocytes upon the effects of X-irradiation in vivo. Radiobiology and radiotheraphy, 26:303-310, 1985.

73. Roth MB : Spheres, coiled bodies and nuclear bodies. Current Opinion of Cell Biology, 7:325-328, 1995.

74. Morales A, Schwint AE, Itoiz ME : Nucleolar organiser regions in a model of cell Hyperactivity and regression. Biocell, 20:251-25, 1967.

75. Mironescu S, Dragomir C : Nucleolar behavior in regenerating liver of normal and whole-body-irradiated rats. Cancer Res, 27:1819-30, 1967.

76. Meloni M, Nicolay JF : Dynamic monitoring of glutathione redox status in UV-B irradiated reconstituted epidermis: effect of antioxidant activity on skin homeostatis. Toxicol In Vitro, 17:609-13, 2003.

77. Kuo SS, Saad AH, Koong AC, Hahn GM, Giaccia AJ : Potassium-channel activation in response to low doses of gammairradiation involves reactive oxygen intermadiates in nonexcitatory cells. Proc Natl AcadSci USA, 90:908-12, 1993.

78. Halliwel B, Gutteridge JMC : Production of hydroxyl radicals in living systems. In: Free Radicals Biology and Medicine. Clarendon Press, Oxford, p 31, 1989.

79. Takahashi H, Hashimoto Y, Aoki N, Kinouchi M, Ishida-Yamamoto A, lizuka H : Copper, zinc-superoxide dismutase protects from ultraviolet B-induced apoptosis of SV40-transformed human keratinocytes the protectionis associated with the increased levels of antioxidant enzymes. J Dermatol Sci, 23:12-21, 2000.

80.Firkle T, Resl V, Racek J, Holecek V : Antioxidants and protection of the skin against the effect of ultraviolet rays. Cas Lek Cesk, 139:358-60, 2000.

81. Srivastava M, Kale RK : Effect of radiation on the xanthine oxidoreductase system in the liver of mice. Radiat Res, 152:257-64, 1999.

82. Kamat Jp, Bloor KK, Devasegayam TP, Jayashree B, Kesavan PC : Differential modification by caffeine of oxygendependent and independent effects of gamma-irradiation on rat liver mitochondria. Int J Radiat Biol, 76:1281-88, 2000.

83. Vile GF, Tyrrell RM : UVA radiation-induced oxidative damage to lipids and proteins in vitro and in human skin fibroblasts is dependent on iron and singlet oxygen. Free Radic Biol Med, 18:721-30, 1995.

84. Katz D, Mazor D, Dvilansky A, Meyerstein N : Effect of radiation on red cell membrane and intracellular oxidative defense systems. Free Radic Res, 24:199-204, 1996.

85. Mansur DB, Kataoka Y, Grdina DJ, Diamond AM : Radiosensitivity of mammalian cell lines engineered to overexpress cytosolic glutathione peroxidase. Radiat Res, 155:536-542, 2001.

86. Chan WH, Yu JS : Inhibition of UV irradiation-induced oxidative stress and apoptotic biochemical changes in human epidermal carcinoma A431 cells by genistein. J Cell Biochem, 78:73-84, 2000.

87. Moustafa YM, Moustafa RM, Belacy A, Abou-El-Ela SH, Ali FM : Effects of acute exposure to the radiofrequency fields of cellular phones on plasma lipid peroxide and antioxidase activities in human erythrocytes. J Pharm Biomed Anal, 26:605-608, 2001.

88. Rugo RE, Schiestl RH : Increases in oxidative stress in the progeny of X-irradiated cells. Radiat Res, 162:416-425, 2004.

89. Nguyen TD, Maquart F-X, Monboisse J-C : Ionizing radiations and collagen metabolism: from oxygen free radicals to radio-induced late fibrosis. Radiat Physics and Chemistry, 72: 381-386, 2005.

90. Stohs SJ, Bagchi D : Oxidative mechanisms in the toxicity of metal ions. Free Radio Biol Med, 18:321-336, 1995.

91. Vignais PV : The superoxide-generating NADPH oxidase: structural aspects and activation mechanism. Cell Mol Life Sci, 59:1428-1459, 2002.

92. Okada S : Radiation-induced cell death. In: Radiation Biochemistry. Ed by KI Altman, GB Gerber, S Okada. 1. Academic Press, New York, p. 247-307, 1970.

93. Harms-Ringdahl M, Nicotera P, Radford IR : Radiation induced apoptosis. Mutation Res, 366:171-179, 1996.

94. Hendry JH, West CML : Apoptosis and mitotic cell death: their relative contributions to normal-tissue and tumor radiation response. International Journal of Radiation Biology, 71:709-719, 1997.

95. Okada S: Formation of giant cells. In: Radiation Biochemistry. Ed by KI Altman, GB Gerber, S Okada. 1. Academic Press, New York, pp 239-246, 1970.

96. Akagi Y, Ito K, Sawada S : Radiation-induced apoptosis and necrosis in Molt-4 cells: a study of dose-effect relationships and their modification. International Journal of Radiation Biology, 64:47-56, 1993.

97. Nakano H, Shinohara K : X-ray-induced cell death: Apoptosis and necrosis. Radiation Res, 140:1-9, 1994.

98. Szumiel I : Review: Ionizing radiation-induced cell death. International Journal of Radiation Biology, 6:329-341, 1994.

99. Olive PL, Durand RE : Apoptosis: an indicator of radiosensitivity in vitro International Journal of Radiation Biology, 71:695-707, 1997.

100. Wyllie AH, Kerr JF, Currie AR : Cell death: the significance of apoptosis. Int Rev Cytol, 68:251-306, 1980.

101. Yamada T, Ohyama H : Radiation-induced interphase death of rat thymocytes is internally programmed (apoptosis). Int J Radiat Biol Relat Stud Phys Chem Med, 53:65-75, 1988.

102. Waiters RL : Radiation-induced apoptosis in a murine T-cell hybridoma. Cancer Res, 52:883-890, 1992.

103. Fuks Z, Persaud RS, Alfieri A, McLoughlin M, Ehleiter D, Schwartz JL, Seddon AP, Cordon-Cardo C, Haimovitz-Friedman A. et al : Basic fibroblast growth factor protects endothelial cells against radiation-induced programmed cell death in vitro and in vivo. Cancer Res, 54:2582-2590, 1994.

104. Haimovitz-Friedman A, Balaban N, McLoughlin M, Ehleiter D, Michaeli J, Viodavsky I, Fuks Z. et al : Protein kinase C mediates basic fibroblast growth factor protection of endothelial cells against radiation-induced apoptosis. Cancer Res, 54:2591-2597, 1994.

105. Stapper NJ, Stuschke M, Sak A, Stuben G : Radiationinduced apoptosis in human sarcoma and glioma cell lines. Int J Cancer, 62:58-62, 1995.

106. Okunieff P, Mester M, Wang J, Maddox T, Gong X, Tang D, Coffee M, Ding I : In vivo radioprotective effects of angiogenic growth factors on the small bowel at C3H mice. Radiat Res, 150:204-211, 1998.

107. Ramakrishnan N, Kalinch JF, McClain DE : Radiation-

induced apoptosis in lymphoid cells: induction, prevention and molecular mechanisms. In: Radioprotectors-Chemical, Biological and Clinical Perspectives. Ed by EA Bump, K Malaker. CRC Press LLC, Boca Raton FL, pp 253-273, 1998.

108. Radford IR : Initiation of ionizing radiation-induced apoptosis: DNA damage-mediated or does ceramide have a role? Int J Radiat Biol, 75:521-528, 1999.

109. Lesnikov V, Lesnikov M, Deeg HJ : Pro-apoptotic and anti-apoptotic effects of transferrin and transferrin-derived glycans on hematopoietic cells and lymphocytes. Exp Hematol, 29:477-489, 2001.

110. Schwarz A, Slander S, Bemeburg M, Bohm M, Kulms D, van Steeg H, Grosse-Heitmeyer K, Krutmann J, Schwarz T. et al : Interleukin-12 suppresses ultraviolet radiation-induced apoptosis by inducing DNA repair. Nature, 4:26-31, 2002.

111. Gniadecki R, Gajkowska B, Bartosik J, Hnasen M, Wulf HC : Variable expression of apoptotic phenotype in keratinocytes treated with ultraviolet radiation, ceramide, or suspended in semisolid methyl-cellulose. Acta Dermato-Venereologica. 78:248-257, 1998.

112. Adams JD, Mukherjee SK, Klaidman LK, Chang ML, Yasharel R : Apoptosis and oxidative stress in the aging brain. Ann New York Acad Sci, 786:135-151, 1996.

113. Michel PP, Lambeng N, Ruberg M : Neuropharmacologic aspects of apoptosis: significance for neurodegenerative diseases. Clin Neuropharmacol, 22:137-150, 1999.

114. Linnik MD, Miller JA, Sprinkle-Cavallo J, Mason PJ, Thompson FY, Montgomery LR, Schroeder KK : Apoptotic DNA fragmentation in the rat cerebral cortex induced by permanent middle cerebral artery occlusion. Brain Res Mol Brain Res, 32:116-124, 1995.

115. Gobbel GT, Bellinzona M, Vogt AR, Gupta N, Fike JR, Chan PH : Response of postmitotic neurons to X-irradiation: implications for the role of DNA damage in neuronal apoptosis. J Neurosci, 18:147-155, 1998.

116. Stefani S, Chandra S, Tonaki H : Ultrastructural events in the cytoplasmic death of lethally irradiated human lymphocytes. Interna- tional Journal of Radiation Biology, 31:215-225, 1977.

117. Falcieri E, Gobbi P, Zamai L, Vitale M : Ultrastructural features of apoptosis. Scanning Microscopy, 8:653-666, 1994.

118. DiPietro R, Falcieri E, Centurione MA, Mazzotti G, Rana R : Ultrastructural pattern of cell damage and death following gamma radiation exposure ofmurine erythroleukemia cells. Scanning Microscopy, 8:667-673, 1994.

119. Petit PX, Lecoeur H, Zorn E, Dauguet C, Mignotte B, Gougeon ML : Alterations in mitochondrial structure and function are early events of dexamethasone-induced thymocyte apoptosis. Journal of Cell Biology, 130:157-167, 1994.

120. Kondo T, Takeuchi K, Doi Y, Yonemura S, Nagaata S, Tsukita S : ERM (ezrin/radixin/moesin)-based molecular mechanism of microvillar breakdown at an early stage of apoptosis. Journal of Cell Biology, 139:749-758, 1997.

DAMAGE PATTERN AS FUNCTION OF VARIOUS TYPES OF RADIATIONS

121. Brancolini C, Lazarevic D, Rodriguez J, Schneider C : Dismantling cell-cell contacts during apoptosis is coupled to a caspase-dependent proteolytic cleavage of beta-catenin. Journal of Cell Biology, 139:759-771, 1997.

122. Mills JC, Stone NL, Ernardt NL, Pittman RN : Apoptotic membrane blebbing is regulated by myosin light chain phosphorylation. Journal of Cell Biology, 140:627-636, 1998.

123. Guo M, Chen C, Vidair C, Marino S, Dewey W, Clifton Ling C : Characterization of radiation induced apoptosis in rodent cell lines Radiation Res, 147, 295-303, 1997.

124. Brandes D, Sloan KW, Anto E, Bloedr F : The effect of X-irradiation on the lysosomes of mouse mammary gland carcinoma. Cancer Res, 27:731-746, 1967.

125. Hamberg J, Brunk U, Ericsson JLE, Jung B : Cytoplasmic effects of x-irradiation on cultured cells in a non-dividing stage. 2. Alterations in lysosomes, plasma membrane and related structures. Acta Pathologica et Microbiologica Scandinavica Section A- Pathology, 85:625-639, 1977.

126. Hamberg H : Cellular autophagocytosis induced by xirradiation and vinblastine. On the origin of the segregating membranes. Acta Pathologica et Microbiologica Scandinavica Section A: Pathology, 91, 317- 327, 1983.

127. Piao YJ, Ogawa K, Ono K, Abe M : The relationship between heterophagy and autophagy in the splenic macrophage of rats after X-ray irradiation. Acta Histochemistry and Cytochemisry, 16:352-367, 1983.

128. Rahman YE : Effect of X-irradiation on the fragility of rat spleen lysosomci Radiat. Res, 20:741, 1963.

129. Sottacasa GL, Glass G, de Bernard B : The effect of Xirradiation on the activities of some lysosomal hydrolases of heart tissue. Radiat Res, 24:32, 1965.

130. Harris JW : Studies of irradiated lysosomes with particular reference to the action of calcium. Exp Cell Res, 45:487, 1967.

131. Zyss R, Kaszezynika M : The lysosomal system of liver cells in rats following a radiation dose of 1000R to the whole body. Acta Med Pol, 13:177, 1972.

132. Shah VC, Gadhia PK : Effect of sublethal dose of gamma-irradiation on lysosomal enzymes in tissue of pigeons Radiat. Res, 20:322, 1979.

133. Harris W : Effects of ionizing radiation on lysosomes and other intracellular membranes. In: Advances in Biological and Medical Physics. Ed by JH Lawrence, JW Godman. 13. Academic Press, New York, p 273-287, 1970.

134. Rene AA, Darden JH, Parker JL : Radiation induced ultrastructural and biochemical changes in lysosomes. Laboratory Investigation, 25:230-239, 1971

135. Conti CJ, Klein-Szanto AJP, Moreira JL : Effects of radiation on cultured BHK cells and their latent "R" particles. An electron microscope study. Archiv fur Geschwulstforschung, 43: 254-267, 1974.

136. Snyder SL, Ekiund SK : Radiation-induced alteration in the distribution of lysosomal hydrolases in rat spleen homogenates.

Radiation Res, 75:91-97, 1978.

137. Somosy Z, Takats A, Bognar G, Kovacs AL, Telbisz A, Racz A, Kovacs J, Koteles GJ : X-irradiation-induced changes of the prelysosomal and lysosomal compartments and proteolysis in HT29 cells. Scanning Microscopy, 10:1079-1091, 1996.

138. Gasperin P, Gozy M, Pauwels O, Fruhling J, VanHoutte P, Pasteels J-L, Kiss R : Monitoring of radiotherapy-induced morphonuclear modifications in the MXT mouse mammary carcinoma by means of digital cell image analysis. International Journal of Radiation Oncology and Biology, 22:979-987, 1992.

139. Desal ID, Sawant PL, Tappel AL : Peroxidative and radiation damage to isolated lysosomes. Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 86:277-285, 1964.

140. Bacq ZM, Alexander P : "Fundamentals of radiation biology". 2nd ed. Pergamon Press, New York, NY, 1961.

141. Tanaka T : Biochemical activity of nine lysosomal enzymes in T- and non-T-lymphocytes. Fed Lett, 104:161-164, 1979.

142. Brandes D, Sloan KW, Anto E, Bloedr F : The effect of X-irradiation on the lysosomes of mouse mammary gland carcinoma. Cancer Res, 27:731-746, 1967.

143. De Duve C : Lysosomes and cell injury. International Symposium On Injury, Inflammation and Immunity. The Williams Co, Baltimore, pp 283-311, 1964.

144. Goutier R, Bacq ZM : Metabolic Inhibitors, Academic Press, New York, 1963.

145. Khattab FI : Alterations in acid phosphatase bodies (lysosomes) in cat motoneurons after asphyxication of the spinal cord. Exp Neurology, 18:133, 1967.

146. Khattab FI, Banhawy M, Ganzuri M : Changes produced in the acid phosphatase particles (lysosomes) in neurons of DDT-treated rats. Proc Egypt Acad Sci, 23:171, 1970.

147. Novikoff A : Lysosomes and related particles. In "The Cell" vol. II ed. Brachet and Mirsky, Academic Press. New York and London, 1961.

148. De Duve C : Lysosomes and chemotherapy. In Harris RJC, editors. Biological approaches to cancer chemotherapy. New York Academic Press, p 101-111, 1961.

149. Alexander P, Bacq ZM. The nature of the initial radiation damage at the subcellular level. In: The Initial Effects of Ionizing Radiation on Cells. Ed by RJ Harris. Academic Press, New York, p 3-19, 1961.

> Correspondence: R. G. Ahmed Department of Zoology, Faculty of Science, Cairo University, Beni-Suef Branch, Beni-Suef, EGYPT. e-mail ahmedragab790@yahoo.com

Medical Journal of Islamic World Academy of Sciences 15:4, 135-147, 2005

AHMED