Insights from a single-center study: Cessation of Anti-

seizure Medication in Neonatal seizures

Ipek Dokurel Çetin^{1*}, Mine Özdil², Atika Çağlar², Orkun Çetin³

¹Department of Pediatrics, Division of Pediatric Neurology, Balıkesir University Medical Faculty, Balıkesir, Turkiye ²Department of Pediatrics, Division of Neonatology, Balıkesir Atatürk City Hospital, Balıkesir, Turkiye ³Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Division of Perinatology, Balıkesir University Medical Faculty, Balıkesir, Turkiye

ABSTRACT

Seizure susceptibility is greatest during the neonatal period of life. Early termination of these seizures is recommended by studies to prevent unfavorable long-term outcomes. We investigated the factors for ceasing anti-seizure medication in infants who experienced seizures during the neonatal period. This retrospective, single-center, descriptive study was conducted in tertiary medical center between December 2020 and February 2023, and 157 neonates were recruited. The possible confounding factors identified by the univariate analysis and the multivariate studies' logistic regression analysis was used to identify independent predictors for cease anti-seizure medication (ASM) at the infancy. The sensitivity, specificity, positive, and negative predictive values were displayed when a significant cut-off value was found by Receiver Operating Characteristic curve analysis. Having a younger age at the first seizure (younger than 45.5 hours), using polytherapy at the neonatal intensive care unit discharge, the presence of structural abnormalities in the brain related to the etiology of seizures, increased spike activity and burst suppression in EEG records decreased the likelihood of cease ASM before 12 months. The probability of cease ASM before 12 months was increased with a normal EEG. Our findings support that EEG and neuroimaging findings are the key factors for making decisions in the follow-up of infants with neonatal seizures. The potential long-term negative effects of ASM consumption can be reduced by discontinuing its use, when EEG results are normal. However, the etiology of structural abnormalities and the use of poly-therapy at the NICU discharge require caution in making early ASM discontinuation decisions.

Keywords: Neonate, seizure, early onset epilepsy, anti-seizure medication, EEG

Introduction

During the neonatal stage of human development, individuals are particularly susceptible to seizures. Studies suggest that it's beneficial to promptly end these seizures to mitigate adverse long-term consequences. Research has extensively documented the impact of neonatal seizures on neurodevelopment, revealing limitations in both physical and cognitive abilities, along with an increased risk of behavioral disorders such as attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and autism, as well as the onset of epilepsy following the neonatal period (1). Acute provoked seizures and early-onset epilepsies are distinct seizure types, each characterized by unique underlying causes, clinical presentations, and long-term prognoses. Neonatal seizures, which are often acute provoked, typically resolve within 72 hours (2). Conversely, neonatal epilepsy syndromes, although rare, are frequently associated with

genetic factors, necessitating prolonged administration of anti-seizure medication (ASM) (3).

The guidelines from the International League Against Epilepsy commonly advocate for phenobarbital as the initial treatment option for neonatal seizures (4). However, concerns arise regarding phenobarbital's impact on immature brain development, with studies noting impaired synaptic maturation and widespread neuronal apoptosis in immature rat brains following phenobarbital exposure (5, 6). In cases where neonates do not respond to phenobarbital as the first-line ASM, secondary interventions like phenytoin, levetiracetam, midazolam, or lidocaine may be considered. Recent updates in neonatal seizure management guidelines have incorporated third-generation ASMs like levetiracetam due to their proven efficacy and safety (7). Nonetheless, there remains a gap in understanding the long-

Received: 09.03.2024, Accepted: 01.08.2024

East J Med 29(4): 587-594, 2024 DOI: 10.5505/ejm.2024.49225

^{*}Corresponding Author: İpek Dokurel Çetin, Department of Pediatrics, Division of Pediatric Neurology, Balıkesir University Medical Faculty, 10100, Balikesir, Turkey

E-mail: dripekdokurel@gmail.com, Telephone: +90 266 460 0000

ORCID ID: Ipek Dokurel Çetin: 0000-0002-1820-8980, Mine Özdil: 0000-0001-8962-6613, Atika Çağlar: 0000-0003-1721-354X, Orkun Çetin: 0000-0002-9125-2742

term effects of these newer medications on immature brains (8). Consequently, while delaying treatment for neonatal seizures can lead to lasting impairments, prolonged use of ASMs may also have adverse consequences on overall outcomes, as previous research suggests (5). Most clinical studies have focused on acute provoked seizures, but there is increasing evidence supporting the adoption of more tailored pharmacological techniques for selective genetic epilepsies, which continue to advance (9).

The primary objective is to discontinue the use of ASMs in neonates as soon as seizures are effectively controlled, without delay during the neonatal period (10, 11). While there is a consensus on the importance of stopping medication at the earliest possible time, there is still uncertainty regarding the precise timeframe or guidelines for cessation. The duration of antiseizure drug administration for neonatal seizures differs based on individual physician's clinical judgment and considerations from parents (12).

Our main objective was to investigate the determinant factors related to ceasing ASM for infants experiencing seizures in the neonatal period, aiming to tailor the treatment duration for neonatal seizures.

Materials and Methods

Study Cohort: This was a retrospective, observational, single-center study of neonates' data with acute symptomatic seizures between December 2020 and February 2023 conducted at a tertiary institution. A total of 157 neonates who were treated at level IV neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) with acute symptomatic seizures were recruited to determine the factors related to ASM treatment cessation in infancy at least follow-up 2 years. A total of 157 neonates were recruited to determine the factors related to ceasing ASM treatment in infants at a follow-up 2 years. According to the International League Against Epilepsy classification of neonatal seizures and their etiology, patients who were diagnosed with such seizures and received ASM within the first 28 days of life were followed until their ASM was ceased after they were discharged from the NICU (13).

Infants are defined as children aged younger than 12 months. During this stage of neuronal development, the central nervous system is more susceptible to the harmful effects of external factors. Consequently, we have planned an evaluation of the study's initial 12-month followup results for our group. Afterwards, the infants were divided into two groups: the first group consisted of infants who continued to receive ASM treatment after 12 months of age (referred to as "infants still on ASM after 12 months", n=69); the second group consisted of infants who had stopped ASM treatment before 12 months of age (referred to as "infants who had ceased ASM before 12 months", n=88). Using these sample sizes, we estimated over 80% power to detect a difference of 15% in the duration of treatment between the groups at a 2-sided α level of 0.05. The study was approved by the local ethics committee.

The study included all infants who were diagnosed with acute provoked seizures based on clinical observation and confirmed by conventional electroencephalography (cEEG). The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) Neonate with an amplitude integrated EEG (aEEG)-confirmed seizure or (2) neonate had administered ASM to address suspected seizure-related clinical events, based on supporting clinical history and event features, and (3) the seizures were triggered by an acute symptomatic factor, such as hypoxicencephalopathy, ischemic ischemic stroke, intracranial hemorrhage, or another acute brain injury. Neonates with abnormal paroxysmal events that weren't determined to be seizures by cEEG, and video records, who stopped aEEG medications due to their parents' decisions, with missing hospital records, and delivered from pregnancies by assisted reproductive techniques were not enrolled.

Clinical Variables: Gender, birth weight, age at first seizure, gestational age, seizures' etiology, seizure type, number of seizures (per day), family history of epilepsy, ASM protocol (monotherapy/poly-therapy) at the time of discharge, cEEG, and neuroimaging results were confounder factors of decision for treatment duration. The neonatologists caring for each neonate made decisions on their own for treating acute provoked seizures in neonates, in terms of the choice of medication. The duration of therapy was consulted by a pediatric neurologist based on confounding primary the factors. The requirements for ceasing ASM, the following criteria should be met: (1) An EEG that shows consistent characteristics for the gestational age, (2) no structural abnormalities which damage to the cerebral cortex, documented by neuroimaging, (3) a seizure-free period of at least one month. A gradual dose reduction of 25% should be

East J Med Volume:29, Number:4, October-December/2024

implemented as part of the medication withdrawal regimen.

EEG and Neuroimaging Classification: The cEEG data of all 157 neonates was obtained from 18 channels, with scalp electrodes positioned in accordance with the 10–20 system, in accordance with criteria published by the American Clinical Neurophysiology Society (14). The recording spanned at least 30 minutes of sleep trace. The cEEGs were evaluated and categorized as either normal or abnormal, with abnormal results demonstrating focal epileptiform activity, irregular background activity, increased spike activity, and burst suppression.

All the infants had a cranial magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) to detect any structural anomalies. The results were classified as normal or abnormal, presence the latter pointing to the of intraventricular hemorrhage, intracerebral hemorrhage, periventricular ischemia, leukomalacia, cystic encephalomalacia, white matter abnormalities of insignificance, and corpus callosum hypoplasia.

Statistical analysis: Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software version 25. The normality of the variables was examined by visual methods such as histograms and probability plots, as well as analytical techniques like the Kolmogorov-Simirnov test. Descriptive analyses were presented with tables of frequencies (for the ordinal variables), and medians and interquartile range (IQR) (for the non-normally distributed and ordinal variables). The univariate analysis to variables associated identify with infants' likelihood of stopping ASM before 12 months were investigated using Fisher exact test, Student's t test, and Mann-Whitney U test, where appropriate. In order to find independent predictors for cease ASM before 12 months of age, the potential components found by univariate analysis were subsequently entered into logistic regression analysis for the multivariate analyses. To evaluate the model's fit, Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness of fit statistics were employed. Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve analysis is used to examine the factors that contributed to the delay in stopping ASM before a 12-month period. The sensitivity, specificity, positive, and negative predictive values were displayed when a significant cut-off value was found. A 5% type-I error threshold was applied when assessing the area under the curve (AUC) in order to accept a statistically significant predictive value for the test variables.

Results

The study consisted of 157 infants with ASM treatment due to experiencing seizures in the neonatal period were enrolled. The average gestational age of the patients was 37.2±3.6 weeks, the average birth weight was 2930±869.8 grams, and 84 of the neonates were boys.

All participants were evaluated based on their demographic and clinical characteristics to identify relevant factors associated with the ceasing of ASM. Mean duration of ASM was found 6.6 ± 4.04 months. A comprehensive summary of these factors can be found in Table 1.

By comparing the groups, age at first seizure, family history of epilepsy, duration of ASM, etiology of neonatal seizures (such as hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy, structural abnormality of the brain, idiopathic), therapy protocol (polytherapy), the results of EEG (normal EEG pattern. irregular increased spike activity, background activity, burst suppression) and cranial MRI (Normal MRI), and type of ASM used were found to be statistically significant factors related to withdrawal of ASM. In Table 2, the data is summarized by comparing the 2 groups (Infants still on ASM after 12 months, infants had withdrawn of ASM before 12 months).

We utilized univariate logistic regression analysis to identify factors that related to more than 12 months of ASM usage in infants with neonatal seizures. Variables with a P-value less than 0.25, including age at first seizure, family history of epilepsy, etiology, treatment protocol, abnormal findings on EEG, and neuroimaging, were subsequently included in the multivariate analysis. Determinants related to the cessation of ASM were evaluated using logistic regression analyses, and the results are summarized in Table 3. A decrease of first seizure time results in a 0.5% increase in delay of ceasing ASM before 12 months [Odds ratio (OR): 1-0.995 = 0.005]. The likelihood of ceasing ASM before 12 months decreased by 7.6 times when poly-therapy was used at the NICU discharge, while the presence of structural brain abnormalities caused a decrease of ceasing ASM by 6.3 times. Increased spike activity raised the likelihood of delaying stopping ASM before 12 months by a factor of 6.8. Also, there was a 35-fold increase in the likelihood that stopping ASM before 12 months would take longer when there was burst suppression in the EEG. The likelihood of delaying stopping ASM before 12 months was reduced by 3.4 times with a normal EEG.

East J Med Volume:29, Number:4, October-December/2024

Variables	Infants with neonatal seizure n=157	Variables	Infants with neonatal seizure n=157	
Gestational week (week)	37.3±3.6	Age at first seizure(hour)	104.1±152.4	
mean±SD	(25-42)	mean±SD	(1-696)	
(min-max)		(min-max)	~ /	
Birth weight (gr) mean±SD	2930±870 (740,	Number of seizures (per day)	7±14	
(min-max)	4500)	mean±SD	(1-100)	
Female: Male (%, %)	0.8:1 (45.2,54.8)	Duration of ASM (month)	6.6 ± 4.04	
		mean±SD	(1-12)	
		(min-max)		
Delivery status NSVD (n, %)	73, 46.5	Monotherapy (n, %)	110, 70.1	
C/S (n,	84, 53.5	Polytherapy (n, %)	47, 29.9	
%)				
Family history of epilepsy (n, %)	42, 26.8	Duration of follow-up (month) mean±SD	8.5±7.5 (1-24)	
Etiology (n, %)		Seizure type (n, %)		
Hypoxic ischemic	49, 31.2	MOTOR Tonic	44, 28	
encephalopathy	24, 15.3	Clonic	41, 26.1	
Intracerebral	30, 19.1	Infantile Spasm	9, 5.7	
hemorrhage& Ischemia	12, 7.6	Myoclonic	3, 1.9	
Infection	11, 7	Sequential	11,7	
Brain structural	15, 9.6	Automatism	6, 3.8	
abnormality	16, 10.2	NON-MOTOR Autonomic	29, 18.5	
Genetic		Behavioral Arrest	14, 8.9	
Metabolic disorders				
Idiopathic			22.44.6	
EEG (n, %)		Neuroimaging IVH/ ICH, Ischemia	23, 14.6	
Focal epileptiform abnormality	73, 46.5	(n, %) Normal	85, 54.1	
Normal	53, 33.8	Periventricular leukomalacia	20, 12.7	
Irregular background	5, 3.2	Cystic encephalomalacia	4, 2.5	
activity	14, 8.9	White Matter Abnormalities	13, 8.3	
Increased spike	12, 7.6	Corpus callosum hypoplasia	3, 1.9	
activity		Not available	9, 5.7	
Burst suppression		i vot avaliable		

Table 1: Demographic Data of all Participants

ACTH, cosyntropin (tetracosactide); ASM, anti-seizure medication; C/S, cesarean section; EEG, Encephalogram; IVH, Intraventricular haemorrhage; ICH, Intracerebral haemorrhage; NSVD, Normal Spontaneous Vaginal Delivery; SD, standard deviation.

The analysis of the determinants that affect the likelihood of cease ASM is presented in the graph of ROC was summarized in Table 4. A lower likelihood of discontinuing ASM was associated with the age of the first seizure occurrence being less than 45.5 hours after birth, with a sensitivity of 63.8% and specificity of 62.5%. Thus, the chance of stopping ASM was lower when the first seizure occurred before 45.5 hours after birth. Furthermore, there was a decrease in the likelihood of discontinuing ASM in neonates

when the duration of ASM usage extended beyond 6.5 months (sensitivity: 59.4%, specificity: 63.6%).

Discussion

This study characterizes the determinant factors for discontinuation of ASM in infants with seizures during the neonatal period. Stopping ASM treatment with normal EEG may be safe, but if burst suppression and increased spike

Birth weight(gr) mean±SD 3014.3 ± 795.1 2822.7 ± 951.9 Gestational weck(weck) mean±SD 37.5 ± 3.1 36.8 ± 4.1 Age at first seizures (hour) mean±SD 67.9 ± 104.2 150.2 ± 188.5 Number of seizures (per day) mean±SD 4.9 ± 4.7 9.7 ± 20.1 Duration of ASM (month) mean±SD 5.2 ± 3.4 13.4 ± 10.7 Family history of epilepsy (n, %) $29, 33$ $13, 18.8$ Etiology (n, %) $29, 33$ $13, 18.8$ Hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy $19, 21.6$ $30, 43.5$ Intracerebral hemorrhage& Ischemia $17, 19.3$ $7, 10.1$ Infection $19, 21.6$ $11, 15.9$ Brain structural abnormality $3, 3.4$ $9, 13$ Genetic $6, 6.8$ $5, 7.2$ Metabolic disorders $9, 10.2$ $6, 8.7$ Idiopathic $15, 17$ $1, 1.4$ Monotherapy (n, %) $55, 62.5$ $55, 79.7$ Polytherapy (n, %) $33, 37.5$ $14, 20.3$ EEG (n, %) 7.01 Irregular background activity $7, 7.2$ Mormal	Variable		Infants had ceased ASM before 12 months n=88	Infants still on ASM after 12 months	p Value 0.332	
Gestational week(week) mean±SD 37.5 ± 3.1 36.8 ± 4.1 Age at first seizures (per day) mean±SD $67.9.\pm 104.2$ 150.2 ± 188.5 Number of seizures (per day) mean±SD 4.9 ± 4.7 9.7 ± 20.1 Duration of ASM (month) mean±SD 2.2 ± 3.4 13.4 ± 10.7 Family history of epilepsy (n, %) $29, 33$ $13, 18.8$ Etiology (n, %) W W Hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy $19, 21.6$ $30, 43.5$ Intracerebral hemorrhage& Ischemia $17, 19.3$ $7, 10.1$ Infection $19, 21.6$ $11, 15.9$ Brain structural abnormality $3, 3.4$ $9, 13$ Genetic $6, 6.8$ $5, 7.2$ Metabolic disorders $9, 10.2$ $6, 8.7$ Idiopathic $15, 17$ $1, 1.4$ Monotherapy (n, %) $33, 37.5$ $14, 20.3$ ELG (n, %) $37, 42$ $36, 52.2$ Normal $46, 52.3$ $7, 10.1$ Irregular background activity $-, 5, 7.2$ Increased spike activity $3, 3.4$ $10, 23.2$ Clonic $19, 21.6$ $22, 31.9$ </th <th colspan="2">Birth weight(gr) mean+SD</th> <th>3014 3+705 1</th> <th>n=69</th>	Birth weight(gr) mean+SD		3014 3+705 1	n=69		
Age at first seizure(hour) mean \pm SD 67.9. \pm 104.2 150.2 \pm 188.5 Number of seizures (per day) mean \pm SD 4.9 \pm 4.7 9.7 \pm 20.1 Duration of ASM (month) mean \pm SD 5.2 \pm 3.4 13.4 \pm 10.7 Family history of epilepsy (n, %) 29, 33 13.18.8 Etiology (n, %) 19, 21.6 30, 43.5 Intraccrebral hemorrhage& Ischemia 17, 19.3 7, 10.1 Infection 19, 21.6 31, 43.5 Genetic 6, 6.8 5, 7.2 Metabolic disorders 9, 10.2 6, 8.7 Idiopathic 15, 17 1, 14 Monotherapy (n, %) 55, 62.5 55, 79.7 Polytherapy (n, %) 53, 37.5 14, 20.3 EEG (n, %) 7, 42 36, 52.2 Normal 46, 52.3 7, 10.1 Irregular background activity -, - 5, 7.2 Increased spike activity 3, 3.4 11, 15.9 Burst suppression 2, 2.3 10, 14.5 Seizure type (n, %) Infantile Spasm 3, 3.4 6, 8.7 MOTOR Tonic 28, 31.8 16, 23.2 Clonic	0 .0 /				0.332	
Number of seizures (per day) mean \pm SD 4.9 \pm 4.7 9.7 \pm 20.1 Duration of ASM (month) mean \pm SD 5.2 \pm 3.4 13.4 \pm 10.7 Family history of epilepsy (n, %) 29, 33 13, 18.8 Etiology (n, %) 19, 21.6 30, 43.5 Intraccrebral hemorrhage& Ischemia 17, 19.3 7, 10.1 Infection 19, 21.6 11, 15.9 Brain structural abnormality 3, 3.4 9, 13 Genetic 6, 6.8 5, 7.2 Metabolic disorders 9, 10.2 6, 8.7 Idiopathic 15, 17 1, 1.4 Monotherapy (n, %) 55, 62.5 55, 79.7 Polytherapy (n, %) 33, 37.5 14, 20.3 EEG (n, %) 7, 42 36, 52.2 Normal 46, 52.3 7, 10.1 Irregular background activity -, - 5, 7.2 Increased spike activity 3, 3.4 10, 14.5 Seizure type (n, %) Tonic 28, 31.8 16, 23.2 MOTOR Tonic 28, 31.8 16, 23.2 MOTOR Automatism 3, 3.4 3, 4.3 NON-MOTOR Automatism </td <td colspan="2"></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.001</td>					0.001	
Duration of ASM (month) mean \pm SD 5.2 \pm 3.4 13.4 \pm 10.7 Family history of epilepsy (n, %) 29, 33 13, 18.8 Etiology (n, %) 19, 21.6 30, 43.5 Hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy 19, 21.6 11, 15.9 Brain structural abnormality 3, 3.4 9, 13 Genetic 6, 6.8 5, 7.2 Metabolic disorders 9, 10.2 6, 8.7 Idiopathic 15, 17 1, 1.4 Monotherapy (n, %) 55, 62.5 55, 79.7 Polytherapy (n, %) 33, 37.5 14, 20.3 EEG (n, %) 7, 42 36, 52.2 Normal 46, 52.3 7, 10.1 Irregular background activity -, - 5, 7.2 Increased spike activity 3, 3.4 11, 15.9 Burst suppression 2, 2.3 10, 14.5 Scizure type (n, %) 5.4 5.7 MOTOR Tonic 28, 31.8 16, 23.2 Clonic 19, 21.6 22, 31.9 Infrantile Spasm 3, 3.4 3, 4.3 NON-MOTOR Autonomic 18, 20.5 11, 15.9 <	0				0.334	
Family history of epilepsy (n, %) 29, 33 13, 18.8 Etiology (n, %) 19, 21.6 30, 43.5 Intracerebral hemorrhage& Ischemia 17, 19.3 7, 10.1 Infection 19, 21.6 11, 15.9 Brain structural abnormality 3, 3.4 9, 13 Genetic 6, 6.8 5, 7.2 Metabolic disorders 9, 10.2 6, 8.7 Idiopathic 15, 17 1, 1.4 Monotherapy (n, %) 55, 62.5 55, 79.7 Polytherapy (n, %) 53, 37.5 14, 20.3 EEG (n, %) 7, 42 36, 52.2 Normal 46, 52.3 7, 10.1 Irregular background activity -, - 5, 7.2 Increased spike activity 3, 3.4 11, 15.9 Burst suppression 2, 2.3 10, 14.5 Scizure type (n, %) Tonic 28, 31.8 16, 23.2 Clonic 19, 21.6 22, 31.9 1 Infantile Spasm 3, 3.4 6, 8.7 Myoclonic 2, 2.3 1, 1.4 Sequential 7, 8 4, 5.8 Monotherapy (n, %)		u ,,			< 0.001	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $,			0.048	
Hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy 19, 21.6 30, 43.5 Intracerebral hemorrhage& Ischemia 17, 19.3 7, 10.1 Infection 19, 21.6 11, 15.9 Brain structural abnormality 3, 3.4 9, 13 Genetic 6, 6.8 5, 7.2 Metabolic disorders 9, 10.2 6, 8.7 Idiopathic 15, 17 1, 1.4 Monotherapy (n, %) 55, 62.5 55, 79.7 Polytherapy (n, %) 33, 37.5 14, 20.3 EEG (n, %) 57, 42 36, 52.2 Normal 46, 52.3 7, 10.1 Irregular background activity -, - 5, 7.2 Increased spike activity 3, 3.4 11, 15.9 Burst suppression 2, 2.3 10, 14.5 Scizure type (n, %) Tonic 28, 31.8 16, 23.2 Clonic 19, 21.6 22, 31.9 Infantile Spasm 3, 3.4 3, 4.3 NOTOR Automatism 3, 3.4 3, 4.3 4, 5.8 Mototherapy (n, %) Phenobarbital 7, 7 2, 2.9 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>27, 55</td> <td>13, 10.0</td> <td>0.001</td>			27, 55	13, 10.0	0.001	
Intracerebral hemorrhage& Ischemia 17, 19.3 7, 10.1 Infection 19, 21.6 11, 15.9 Brain structural abnormality 3, 3.4 9, 13 Genetic 6, 6.8 5, 7.2 Metabolic disorders 9, 10.2 6, 8.7 Idiopathic 15, 17 1, 1.4 Monotherapy (n, %) 53, 37.5 14, 20.3 EEG (n, %) 33, 37.5 14, 20.3 EEG (n, %) 33, 37.5 14, 20.3 EEG (n, %) 5, 62.3 7, 10.1 Irregular background activity -, 5, 7.2 10.1 Irregular background activity -, 3, 3.4 11, 15.9 Burst suppression 2, 2.3 1, 1.4 Seguential 7, 8 4, 5.8	0, ()	nic encephalopathy	19.21.6	30, 43,5	0.003	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	• •	· · ·			0.114	
Brain structural abnormality 3, 3.4 9, 13 Genetic 6, 6.8 5, 7.2 Metabolic disorders 9, 10.2 6, 8.7 Idiopathic 15, 17 1, 1.4 Monotherapy (n, %) 55, 62.5 55, 79.7 Polytherapy (n, %) 33, 37.5 14, 20.3 EEG (n, %) 7, 42 36, 52.2 Normal 46, 52.3 7, 10.1 Irregular background activity -, - 5, 7.2 Increased spike activity 3, 3.4 11, 15.9 Burst suppression 2, 2.3 10, 14.5 Scizure type (n, %) Tonic 28, 31.8 16, 23.2 Clonic 19, 21.6 22, 31.9 11, 14.5 Sequential 7, 8 4, 5.8 3.3.4 6, 8.7 Myoclonic 2, 2.3 11, 14.5 Sequential 7, 8 4, 5.8 NON-MOTOR Autonatism 3, 3.4 3, 4.3 3, 4.3 3, 4.3 NON-MOTOR Autonatism 3, 3.4 3, 5.7 47, 68.1 Levetiracetam 32, 36.4 -,- -,- -,- -,- </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>0.373</td>				-	0.373	
Genetic 6, 6.8 5, 7.2 Metabolic disorders 9, 10.2 6, 8.7 Idiopathic 15, 17 1, 1.4 Monotherapy (n, %) 55, 62.5 55, 79.7 Polytherapy (n, %) 33, 37.5 14, 20.3 EEG (n, %) 33, 37.5 14, 20.3 Focal epileptiform abnormality 37, 42 36, 52.2 Normal 46, 52.3 7, 10.1 Irregular background activity -, - 5, 7.2 Increased spike activity 3, 3.4 11, 15.9 Burst suppression 2, 2.3 10, 14.5 Seizure type (n, %) MOTOR Tonic 28, 31.8 16, 23.2 Clonic 19, 21.6 22, 31.9 Infantile Spasm 3, 3.4 6, 8.7 Myoclonic 2, 2.3 1, 1.4 Sequential 7, 8 4, 5.8 NON-MOTOR Autonomic 18, 20.5 11, 15.9 8.9.1 6, 8.7 Monotherapy (n, %) Infantile Spasm 3, 3.4 3, 3.4 3, 3.4 3, 5.7 Monotherapy (n, %)		l abnormality			0.025	
Metabolic disorders 9, 10.2 6, 8.7 Idiopathic 15, 17 1, 1.4 Monotherapy (n, %) 55,62.5 55, 79.7 Polytherapy (n, %) 33, 37.5 14, 20.3 EEG (n, %) - - Focal epileptiform abnormality 37, 42 36, 52.2 Normal 46, 52.3 7, 10.1 Irregular background activity -, - 5, 7.2 Increased spike activity 3, 3.4 11, 15.9 Burst suppression 2, 2.3 10, 14.5 Seizure type (n, %) - - MOTOR Tonic 28, 31.8 16, 23.2 Clonic 19, 21.6 22, 31.9 Infantile Spasm 3, 3.4 6, 8.7 Myoclonic 2, 2.3 11, 14 Sequential 7, 8 4, 5.8 Automatism 3, 3.4 6, 8.7 Myoclonic 18, 20.5 11, 15.9 Behavioral Arrest 8, 9.1 6, 8.7 Monotherapy (n, %) - - Phenobarbital 24, 27.3 47, 68.1 Levetiracetam		5			0.917	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Metabolic disor	rders			0.747	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Idiopathic			-	0.001	
Polytherapy $(n, \%)$ 33, 37.5 14, 20.3 EEG $(n, \%)$ 37, 42 36, 52.2 Normal 46, 52.3 7, 10.1 Irregular background activity -, - 5, 7.2 Increased spike activity 3, 3.4 11, 15.9 Burst suppression 2, 2.3 10, 14.5 Scizure type $(n, \%)$ Tonic 28, 31.8 16, 23.2 MOTOR Tonic 28, 31.8 16, 23.2 Clonic 19, 21.6 22, 31.9 Infantile Spasm 3, 3.4 6, 8.7 Myoclonic 2, 2.3 1, 1.4 Sequential 7, 8 4, 5.8 Automatism 3, 3.4 3, 4.3 NON-MOTOR Autonomic 18, 20.5 11,15.9 Behavioral Arrest 8, 9.1 6, 8.7 Monotherapy $(n, \%)$ Phenobarbital 24, 27.3 47, 68.1 Levetiracetam 32, 36.4 -,- -,- Pheniotin -,- 2, 2.9 2, 2.9 Carbamazepine -,- -,- 4, 5.8 Polytherapy $(n, \%)$ Phenobarbital+Phenitoin	<u>^</u>)	55,62.5	55, 79.7	0.020	
Focal epileptiform abnormality 37, 42 36, 52.2 Normal 46, 52.3 7, 10.1 Irregular background activity -, - 5, 7.2 Increased spike activity 3, 3.4 11, 15.9 Burst suppression 2, 2.3 10, 14.5 Seizure type (n, %) 7, 8 16, 23.2 MOTOR Tonic 28, 31.8 16, 23.2 Clonic 19, 21.6 22, 31.9 Infantile Spasm 3, 3.4 6, 8.7 Myoclonic 2, 2.3 1, 1.4 Sequential 7, 8 4, 5.8 Automatism 3, 3.4 3, 4.3 NON-MOTOR Autonomic 18, 20.5 11,15.9 Behavioral Arrest 8, 9.1 6, 8.7 Monotherapy (n, %) 7, 68.1 -,- Phenobarbital 24, 27.3 47, 68.1 Levetiracetam 32, 36.4 -,- Phenitoin -,- 2, 2.9 Carbamazepine -,- 4, 5.8 Polytherapy (n, %) -,- 4, 5.8 Phenobarbital+Phenitoin 14, 15.9 5, 7.2 <	* • · ·	, ,	33, 37.5	14, 20.3		
Normal 46, 52.3 7, 10.1 Irregular background activity -, - 5, 7.2 Increased spike activity 3, 3.4 11, 15.9 Burst suppression 2, 2.3 10, 14.5 Seizure type (n, %) 7, 10.1 1.5 MOTOR Tonic 28, 31.8 16, 23.2 Clonic 19, 21.6 22, 31.9 Infantile Spasm 3, 3.4 6, 8.7 Myoclonic 2, 2.3 1, 1.4 Sequential 7, 8 4, 5.8 Automatism 3, 3.4 3, 4.3 NON-MOTOR Autonomic 18, 20.5 11, 15.9 Behavioral Arrest 8, 9.1 6, 8.7 Monotherapy (n, %) 24, 27.3 47, 68.1 Levetiracetam 32, 36.4 -,- Phenobarbital 24, 27.3 47, 68.1 Levetiracetam 32, 36.4 -,- Phenitoin -,- 2, 2.9 Carbamazepine -,- 4, 5.8 Polytherapy (n, %) Y Y 5, 7.2					< 0.001	
Irregular background activity-, -5, 7.2Increased spike activity3, 3.411, 15.9Burst suppression2, 2.310, 14.5Seizure type (n, %)Tonic28, 31.816, 23.2MOTORTonic28, 31.816, 23.2Clonic19, 21.622, 31.9Infantile Spasm3, 3.46, 8.7Myoclonic2, 2.31, 1.4Sequential7, 84, 5.8Automatism3, 3.43, 4.3NON-MOTORAutonomic18, 20.511, 15.9Behavioral Arrest8, 9.16, 8.7Monotherapy (n, %)Phenobarbital24, 27.347, 68.1Levetiracetam32, 36.4-,-Phenitoin-,-2, 2.9Carbamazepine-,-1, 1.4Oxcarbazepine-,-4, 5.8Polytherapy (n, %)Phenobarbital+Phenitoin14, 15.95, 7.2Phenobarbital+Levetiracetam17, 19.31, 1.5Vigabatrin+Phenobarbital-4, 5.8	Focal ep	ileptiform abnormality	37, 42	36, 52.2	0.208	
Increased spike activity3, 3.411, 15.9Burst suppression2, 2.310, 14.5Seizure type (n, %)70nic28, 31.816, 23.2MOTORTonic19, 21.622, 31.9Infantile Spasm3, 3.46, 8.7Myoclonic2, 2.31, 1.4Sequential7, 84, 5.8Automatism3, 3.43, 4.3NON-MOTORAutomatism3, 3.43, 4.3NON-MOTORAutonomic18, 20.511, 15.9Behavioral Arrest8, 9.16, 8.7Monotherapy (n, %)Phenobarbital24, 27.347, 68.1Levetiracetam32, 36.4-,-Phenitoin-,-2, 2.9Carbamazepine-,-1, 1.4Oxcarbazepine-,-4, 5.8Polytherapy (n, %)Phenobarbital+Phenitoin14, 15.95, 7.2Phenobarbital+Levetiracetam17, 19.31, 1.5Vigabatrin+Phenobarbital-4, 5.8			46, 52.3	7, 10.1	< 0.001	
Burst suppression 2, 2.3 10, 14.5 Seizure type (n, %) MOTOR Tonic 28, 31.8 16, 23.2 MOTOR Tonic 19, 21.6 22, 31.9 Infantile Spasm 3, 3.4 6, 8.7 Myoclonic 2, 2.3 1, 1.4 Sequential 7, 8 4, 5.8 Automatism 3, 3.4 3, 4.3 NON-MOTOR Autonomic 18, 20.5 11, 15.9 Behavioral Arrest 8, 9.1 6, 8.7 Monotherapy (n, %) Phenobarbital 24, 27.3 47, 68.1 Levetiracetam 32, 36.4 -,- Phenitoin -,- 2, 2.9 Carbamazepine -,- 1, 1.4 Oxcarbazepine -,- 1, 1.4 Oxcarbazepine -,- 4, 5.8 Polytherapy (n, %) Phenobarbital+Phenitoin 14, 15.9 5, 7.2 Phenobarbital+Phenitoin 14, 15.9 5, 7.2 Phenobarbital+ Levetiracetam 17, 19.3 1, 1.5 Vigabatrin+ Phenobarbital - 4, 5.8	Irregular background activity		-, -	5, 7.2	0.011	
Seizure type (n, %) MOTOR Tonic 28, 31.8 16, 23.2 MOTOR Tonic 19, 21.6 22, 31.9 Infantile Spasm 3, 3.4 6, 8.7 Myoclonic 2, 2.3 1, 1.4 Sequential 7, 8 4, 5.8 Automatism 3, 3.4 3, 4.3 NON-MOTOR Autonomic 18, 20.5 11,15.9 Behavioral Arrest 8, 9.1 6, 8.7 Monotherapy (n, %) Phenobarbital 24, 27.3 47, 68.1 Levetiracetam 32, 36.4 -,- Phenitoin -,- 2, 2.9 Carbamazepine -,- 4, 5.8 Polytherapy (n, %) Phenobarbital+Phenitoin 14, 15.9 5, 7.2 Phenobarbital+ Levetiracetam 17,19.3 1, 1.5 Vigabatrin+ Phenobarbital - 4, 5.8	· · ·		3, 3.4	11, 15.9	0.006	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	· ·		2, 2.3	10, 14.5	0.004	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	* *				0.169	
Infantile Spasm3, 3.46, 8.7Myoclonic2, 2.31, 1.4Sequential7, 84, 5.8Automatism3, 3.43, 4.3NON-MOTORAutonomic18, 20.511,15.9Behavioral Arrest8, 9.16, 8.7Monotherapy (n, %)Phenobarbital24, 27.347, 68.1Levetiracetam32, 36.4-,-Phenitoin-,-2, 2.9Carbamazepine-,-1, 1.4Oxcarbazepine-,-4, 5.8Polytherapy (n, %)Phenobarbital+ Levetiracetam14, 15.95, 7.2Phenobarbital+ Levetiracetam17,19.31, 1.5Vigabatrin+ Phenobarbital-4, 5.8	MOTOR	Tonic	28, 31.8	16, 23.2	0.234	
Myoclonic2, 2.31, 1.4Sequential7, 84, 5.8Automatism3, 3.43, 4.3NON-MOTORAutonomic18, 20.511,15.9Behavioral Arrest8, 9.16, 8.7Monotherapy (n, %) $24, 27.3$ 47, 68.1Levetiracetam32, 36.4-,-Phenitoin-,-2, 2.9Carbamazepine-,-1, 1.4Oxcarbazepine-,-4, 5.8Polytherapy (n, %) $14, 15.9$ 5, 7.2Phenobarbital+ Levetiracetam17, 19.31, 1.5Vigabatrin+ Phenobarbital-4, 5.8		Clonic		22, 31.9	0.146	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Infantile Spasm	3, 3.4	6, 8.7	0.159	
Automatism3, 3.43, 4.3NON-MOTORAutonomic $18, 20.5$ $11, 15.9$ Behavioral Arrest $8, 9.1$ $6, 8.7$ Monotherapy (n, %)Phenobarbital $24, 27.3$ $47, 68.1$ Levetiracetam $32, 36.4$ $-,-$ Phenitoin $-, 2, 2.9$ Carbamazepine $-, 1, 1.4$ Oxcarbazepine $-, 4, 5.8$ Polytherapy (n, %)Phenobarbital+Phenitoin $14, 15.9$ $5, 7.2$ Phenobarbital+Levetiracetam $17, 19.3$ $1, 1.5$ Vigabatrin+Phenobarbital $ 4, 5.8$,	0.709	
NON-MOTORAutonomic18, 20.511,15.9Behavioral Arrest8, 9.16, 8.7Monotherapy (n, %)Phenobarbital24, 27.347, 68.1Levetiracetam32, 36.4-,-Phenitoin-,-2, 2.9Carbamazepine-,-1, 1.4Oxcarbazepine-,-4, 5.8Polytherapy (n, %)Phenobarbital+Phenitoin14, 15.95, 7.2Phenobarbital+Levetiracetam17,19.31, 1.5Vigabatrin+ Phenobarbital-4, 5.8		-			0.600	
Behavioral Arrest8, 9.16, 8.7Monotherapy (n, %)Phenobarbital $24, 27.3$ $47, 68.1$ Levetiracetam $32, 36.4$ -,-Phenitoin-,- $2, 2.9$ Carbamazepine-,- $1, 1.4$ Oxcarbazepine-,- $4, 5.8$ Polytherapy (n, %)Phenobarbital+Phenitoin $14, 15.9$ $5, 7.2$ Phenobarbital+Levetiracetam $17, 19.3$ $1, 1.5$ Vigabatrin+Phenobarbital- $4, 5.8$					0.761	
Monotherapy $(n, \%)$ Phenobarbital24, 27.347, 68.1Levetiracetam32, 36.4-,-Phenitoin-,-2, 2.9Carbamazepine-,-1, 1.4Oxcarbazepine-,-4, 5.8Polytherapy $(n, \%)$ Phenobarbital+Phenitoin14, 15.95, 7.2Phenobarbital+Levetiracetam17,19.31, 1.5Vigabatrin+ Phenobarbital-4, 5.8	NON-MOTOR				0.471	
Phenobarbital $24, 27.3$ $47, 68.1$ Levetiracetam $32, 36.4$ -,-Phenitoin-,- $2, 2.9$ Carbamazepine-,- $1, 1.4$ Oxcarbazepine-,- $4, 5.8$ Polytherapy (n, %) $14, 15.9$ $5, 7.2$ Phenobarbital+Phenitoin $14, 15.9$ $5, 7.2$ Phenobarbital+Levetiracetam $17, 19.3$ $1, 1.5$ Vigabatrin+Phenobarbital- $4, 5.8$			8, 9.1	6, 8.7	0.931	
Levetiracetam32, 36.4-,-Phenitoin-,-2, 2.9Carbamazepine-,-1, 1.4Oxcarbazepine-,-4, 5.8Polytherapy (n, %)Phenobarbital+Phenitoin14, 15.95, 7.2Phenobarbital+Levetiracetam17,19.31, 1.5Vigabatrin+ Phenobarbital-4, 5.8			a / a= a		< 0.001	
Phenitoin-,-2, 2.9Carbamazepine-,-1, 1.4Oxcarbazepine-,-4, 5.8Polytherapy (n, %)Phenobarbital+Phenitoin14, 15.95, 7.2Phenobarbital+ Levetiracetam17,19.31, 1.5Vigabatrin+ Phenobarbital-4, 5.8				47, 68.1		
Carbamazepine-,-1, 1.4Oxcarbazepine-,-4, 5.8Polytherapy (n, %)Phenobarbital+Phenitoin14, 15.95, 7.2Phenobarbital+ Levetiracetam17,19.31, 1.5Vigabatrin+ Phenobarbital-4, 5.8			32, 36.4			
Oxcarbazepine-,-4, 5.8Polytherapy (n, %)-,-4, 5.8Phenobarbital+Phenitoin14, 15.95, 7.2Phenobarbital+ Levetiracetam17,19.31, 1.5Vigabatrin+ Phenobarbital-4, 5.8Visital+ (in + ACTH)-4, 5.8						
Polytherapy (n, %)14, 15.95, 7.2Phenobarbital+ Phenitoin14, 15.95, 7.2Phenobarbital+ Levetiracetam17,19.31, 1.5Vigabatrin+ Phenobarbital-4, 5.8Visit 1 + 1 + ACTUL-4, 5.8		•				
Phenobarbital+Phenitoin14, 15.95, 7.2Phenobarbital+ Levetiracetam17,19.31, 1.5Vigabatrin+ Phenobarbital-4, 5.8	*		-,-	4, 5.8		
Phenobarbital+ Levetiracetam17,19.31, 1.5Vigabatrin+ Phenobarbital-4, 5.8			14 150	5 7 2		
Vigabatrin+ Phenobarbital - 4, 5.8						
$X^{\prime} = 1 + \lambda C^{\dagger} T T$			17,19.0			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		-	4, 5.8 3, 4.4		
Phenobarbital+ Oxcarbazepine 1, 1.1 2, 2.9			- 1 1 1			

Table 2: Identification of Predictive Risk Factors For The Withdrawal of Anti-Seizure Medication (ASM)

East J Med Volume:29, Number:4, October-December/2024

Neuroimaging (n, %) 0.016							
IVH/ ICH, Ischemia	9, 10.2	14, 20.3	0.078				
Normal	57, 64.8	28, 40.6	0.003				
PVL	8, 9.1	12, 17.4	0.123				
Cystic encephalomalacia	2, 2.3	2, 2.9	0.806				
WM Abnormalities	8, 9.1	5, 7.2	0.678				
CC hypoplasia	1, 1.1	2, 2.9	0.425				

Dokurel Çetin et al / Cessation of Anti-seizure Medication in Neonatal Seizures

ACTH, cosyntropin(tetracosactide); ASM, anti-seizure medication; EEG, Encephalogram; IVH, Intraventricular haemorrhage; ICH, Intracerebral haemorrhage; PVL, Periventricular leukomalacia; WM, White Matter; CC, Corpus callosum; SD, standard deviation. Statistically significant P-values are in bold

3, 3.4

Table 3: Logistic Regression Analysis To Determine Risk Factors For Unable To Stop Anti-SeizureMedication Before 12 Months of Age

Variables	Multivariate logistic regression analysis				
	(Enter step1)				
	Wald statistic	Р	OR	95% CI	
Age at first seizure(hours)	8.076	0.004	0.995	0.992	0.998
Aetiology as structural abnormality of brain (presence vs absence)	4.016	0.045	6.283	1.041	37.913
Poly-therapy use at the discharge	9.396	0.002	7.606	2.078	27.836
Presence of normal EEG	4.479	0.034	3.398	1.095	10.548
Presence of increased spike activity	5.239	0.022	6.824	1.318	35.340
Presence of burst suppression	11.343	0.001	34.992	4.420	277.005

Variables with P > 0.25 in the univariate analysis were excluded from multivariate analysis. Nagelkerke R-squared:0.566 (r squared>0.2), and Hosmer and Lemeshow P: 0.238,(P>0.05)was a good fit for the model. EEG, Encephalogram; OR: Odds ratio; CI: Confidence interval; ASM: Anti-seizure medication; LR: Likelihood ratio

activity are present, drug discontinuation should be gradual. Poly-therapy protocol at NICU discharge and etiology of structural brain abnormality are other red flags for rapid cessation of ASM. Younger age at the first seizure (< 45.5 hours) should be considered to increase the probability of long-term ASM usage. The most of the neonatal seizures are temporary and caused by sudden changes in metabolic levels, infections, or focal lesions in the brain (10). Hence these provoked seizures are not classified as epilepsy, long-term treatment is redundant. The main goal of continued ASM is to ensure seizures do not reoccur (12). However, recent studies have shown that the use of ASMs to treat neonatal seizures does not have an effect on the latent period or the overall likelihood of developing early onset epilepsy syndrome (15, 16). Glass and colleagues (11) suggested that it is safe to discontinue ASM after resolution of acute symptomatic seizures in discharge. neonates before hospital Acute neonatal seizures have been the primary focus of prior research on the duration of ASM use in neonates. But seizure burden can make it difficult

Not available

to determine a definitive diagnosis. Early childhood epilepsies are more prevalent in areas with higher levels of social deprivation, indicating a significant social gradient. As a result, greater resources will be needed to address this issue in these regions (17). Our study has supported the utility of neuroimaging (structural abnormalities) and EEG (background activity) in guiding diagnosis in these situations.

6, 8.7

0.159

Neonatal seizures are most commonly caused by acute neurologic disorders, including hypoxicischemic encephalopathy (38%), ischemic stroke (18%), and intracranial bleeding (12%) (18). Consistent with the literature, this research found that the most common etiologies of neonatal seizures are HIE (31.2%), infections (sepsis, meningitis, encephalitis) (19.1%) and intracerebral hemorrhage & ischemia (15.3%). Though most neonatal seizures are due to acute brain injury, around 15% of neonates suffer from neonatal epilepsy syndromes, which are caused by brain malformations or genetic reasons (19). In line with previous studies, 7% of our cohort had genetic

Table 4: Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) Analysis Results

	AUC	SE	р	95%	o CI	Cut-off	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)
Age at first seizure (hour)	0.653	0.045	0.001	0.565	0.742	45.5	63.8	62.5
Duration of ASM (month)	0.738	0.042	< 0.001	0.656	0.820	6.5	59.4	63.6

AUC: Area under the curve; CI: Confidence interval; ASM: Anti-seizure medication; SE: Standard error

etiologies and 7.6% had structural brain malformations.

The clinical diagnosis of neonatal seizures could be quite challenging due to subtle clinical motor signs or could be masked by electro-clinical uncoupling with the medications despite the presence of obvious electrographic seizure activity on EEG (4, 20, 21). Anti-seizure medicine can be initiated when EEG is unavailable by estimating the probability that clinical episodes are seizures based on diagnostic certainty levels (21). For instance, the Brighton Collaboration justifies the beginning of ASM when a motor phenomenon suspected of being an epileptogenic seizure has a focal clonic or tonic seizure characteristic (with or without EEG verification) (10). Our study found that tonic (28%) and clonic (26.1%) seizures were the most commonly observed types due to their easy detectability by observers. One unexpected finding was the extent to which we did not find a significant relationship between the duration of drug discontinuation and the seizure type of the neonates.

Tekgül et al. (22) suggested that EEG and neuroimaging play a crucial role in on determining the neurodevelopmental outcomes of seizures in term newborn infants. A neonatal EEG that is normal or mildly abnormal is associated with favorable outcomes, especially if neonatal neuroimaging is also normal. However, if the EEG is moderate/severely abnormal or if there are multifocal/diffuse cortical or primarily deep gray matter lesions, the outcome is worse. In accordance with existing literature, our findings suggest that individuals with a regular EEG pattern are prone to discontinuing the drug early, while those with structural brain abnormalities and abnormal EEG patterns are more likely to continue using it for an extended period.

Unlike neonates with early-onset epilepsy who typically require long-term ASMs, the ideal duration of ASM for infants experiencing acute symptomatic seizures is unknown (12). A recent meta-analysis strongly recommends discontinuing ASMs before discharge home, regardless of MRI or EEG results, after the resolution of acute provoked seizures in which there is an absence of evidence of neonatal-onset epilepsy (4). However, identification of the etiology in neonatal seizures can prove to be a challenging task, particularly when distinguishing early-onset epilepsy from acute provoked seizures is hindered by suboptimal resources. The aim of our study was to identify the determinant factors that encourage physicians to cease ASM in neonates.

The generalizability of these results is subject to certain limitations. Due to the small sample size, we were unable to explore the correlations between all ASMs and treatment duration separately. The retrospective design of the study limits our ability to determine the long-term outcomes of the infants. An investigator bias due to this being a single-center study is an additional Notwithstanding uncontrolled factor. the relatively limited sample, this research provides valuable insights into physicians' decision-making process when discontinuing ASM for neonatal seizures and emphasizes the importance of not delaying treatment under certain circumstances. Considerably more work will need to be done to determine specific algorithms and standards for the management of neonates' seizures and elucidate the precise duration of treatment.

In conclusion, the optimal length of ASM duration for infants experiencing acute neonatal seizures is unknown, but neonates with early onset epilepsy usually need long-term ASM. The objective of maintaining ASM is to prevent the recurrence of seizures. The purpose of the current study was to assess the determinant factors of ceasing ASM in infants with neonatal seizures. One of the more significant findings to emerge from this study is that EEG is crucial for commenting on ASM duration, and abnormal findings in the EEG should be meticulously evaluated before ceasing ASM. The subsequent major finding emphasized the importance of refraining from expeditious withdrawal of ASM in neonates presenting with seizures caused by underlying structural abnormalities of the brain. Overall, this study strengthens the idea that the discontinuation of ASM after the acute

symptomatic neonatal seizures before discharge is safe. The formulation of exact diagnostic criteria for early-onset epilepsy, coupled with the opportunity for genetic testing, will significantly strengthen the capabilities of clinicians in their detection and management of neonatal seizures. This new understanding should help to improve the prevention of the predicted unfavorable impacts of ASM on the outcome of the immature brain.

References

- Hunt RW, Liley HG, Wagh D, et al. Effect of Treatment of Clinical Seizures vs Electrographic Seizures in Full-Term and Near-Term Neonates: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Netw Open. 2021;4(12):e2139604.
- 2. Lynch NE, Stevenson NJ, Livingstone V, et al. The temporal characteristics of seizures in neonatal hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy treated with hypothermia. Seizure. 2015;33:60-65.
- Zuberi SM, Wirrell E, Yozawitz E, et al. ILAE classification and definition of epilepsy syndromes with onset in neonates and infants: Position statement by the ILAE Task Force on Nosology and Definitions. Epilepsia 2022; 63:1349–1397.
- Pressler RM, Abend NS, Auvin S, et al. Treatment of seizures in the neonate: Guidelines and consensus-based recommendations-Special report from the ILAE Task Force on Neonatal Seizures. Epilepsia 2023; 64:2550–2570.
- Bättig L, Dünner C, Cserpan D, et al. Levetiracetam versus Phenobarbital for Neonatal Seizures: A Retrospective Cohort Study. Pediatr Neurol 2023; 138:62–70.
- 6. Pisani F, Spagnoli C, Falsaperla R, et al. Seizures in the neonate: A review of etiologies and outcomes. Seizure 2021; 85:48–56
- Qiao MY, Cui HT, Zhao LZ, Miao JK, Chen QX. Efficacy and Safety of Levetiracetam vs. Phenobarbital for Neonatal Seizures: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Front Neurol. 2021;12:747745.
- Kanmaz S, Altun Köroğlu Ö, Terek D, et al. Efficacy of levetiracetam as first-line therapy for neonatal clinical seizures and neurodevelopmental outcome at 12 months of age. Acta Neurol Belg 2021; 121:1495–1503
- 9. Cornet MC, Sands TT, Cilio MR. Neonatal epilepsies: Clinical management. Semin Fetal Neonatal Med 2018; 23:204–212

- Yozawitz E. Neonatal Seizures. N Engl J Med 2023; 388:1692–1700
- Glass HC, Soul JS, Chang T, et al. Safety of Early Discontinuation of Antiseizure Medication After Acute Symptomatic Neonatal Seizures. JAMA Neurol 2021; 78:817–825
- 12. Shellhaas RA, Chang T, Wusthoff CJ, et al. Treatment Duration After Acute Symptomatic Seizures in Neonates: A Multicenter Cohort Study. J Pediatr. 2017;181:298-301.e1.
- 13. Pressler RM, Cilio MR, Mizrahi EM, et al. The ILAE classification of seizures and the epilepsies: Modification for seizures in the neonate. Position paper by the ILAE Task Force on Neonatal Seizures. Epilepsia 2021; 62:615–628
- 14. Shellhaas RA, Chang T, Tsuchida T, et al. The American Clinical Neurophysiology Society's Guideline on Continuous Electroencephalography Monitoring in Neonates. J Clin Neurophysiol 2011; 28:611– 617
- Fitzgerald MP, Kessler SK, Abend NS Early discontinuation of antiseizure medications in neonates with hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy. Epilepsia 2017; 58:1047–1053
- Guillet R, Kwon J. Seizure recurrence and developmental disabilities after neonatal seizures: outcomes are unrelated to use of phenobarbital prophylaxis. J Child Neurol 2007; 22:389–395
- 17. Symonds JD, Elliott KS, Shetty J, et al. Early childhood epilepsies: epidemiology, classification, aetiology, and socio-economic determinants. Brain 2021; 144:2879–2891
- Soul JS: Acute symptomatic seizures in term neonates: Etiologies and treatments. Semin Fetal Neonatal Med 2018; 23:183–190
- Shellhaas RA, Wusthoff CJ, Tsuchida TN, et al. Profile of neonatal epilepsies: Characteristics of a prospective US cohort. Neurology 2017; 89:893–899.
- Wusthoff CJ, Sundaram V, Abend NS, et al. Seizure Control in Neonates Undergoing Screening vs Confirmatory EEG Monitoring. Neurology 2021; 97:E587–E596
- 21. Pellegrin S, Munoz FM, Padula M, et al. Neonatal seizures: Case definition & guidelines for data collection, analysis, and presentation of immunization safety data. Vaccine 2019; 37:7596–7609
- 22. Tekgul H, Gauvreau K, Soul J, et al. The current etiologic profile and neurodevelopmental outcome of seizures in term newborn infants. Pediatrics 2006; 117:1270–1280