# Evaluation of Amoebiosis, Giardiosis, and Blastocystosis Infections in Patients over 18 Years of Age Followed-up with a Diagnosis of Gastroenteritis

Esra Gurbuz<sup>1</sup>, Sehriban Yurekturk<sup>2</sup>, Selahattin Aydemir<sup>3</sup>, Abdurrahman Ekici<sup>3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>SBU Van Training and Research Hospital, Infectious Diseases and Clinical Microbiology, Van, Turkey <sup>2</sup>Van Yuzuncu Yil University, Vocational School of Health Services Van, Turkey <sup>3</sup>Van Yuzuncu Yil University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Parasitology Van, Turkey

#### ABSTRACT

This study was conducted to evaluate amoebiosis, giardiosis, and blastocystosis infections in patients over 18 years of age followed-up with the diagnosis of gastroenteritis. The study included 3848 patients with a diagnosis of gastroenteritis who were admitted to the SBU Van Training and Research Hospital with the complaint of diarrhea between 2016 and 2019 and were hospitalized. Of the 3848 patients included in the study, *Entamoeba histolytica* was found in 6.8% (3848/262), *Giardia intestinalis* was found in 3.6% (3848/137), and *Blastocystis* spp. was found in 3% (3848/117). When the incidence of parasites according to the age groups of the patients was examined, it was determined that *Giardia intestinalis* and *Entamoeba histolytica* were mostly seen in the age range of 50–65 years, and the difference in the incidence of *Entamoeba histolytica* was statistically significant (p = 0.026). It was determined that the incidence of *Blastocystis* spp. increased with age (p = 0.001). A statistically significant correlation was found between *Entamoeba histolytica* are the leading parasitic infections that are still important and need to be combated in the Van region, and that the increase in the frequency of *Blastocystis* spp. over the years should not be ignored. **Keywords:** *Blastocystis* spp., *Entamoeba histolytica*, Gastroenteritis, *Giardia intestinalis* 

#### Introduction

Gastroenteritis is the inflammation of the stomach, small intestine, or large intestine accompanied by clinical signs such as abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, and watery or bloody diarrhea. Gastroenteritis diarrhea is examined in two types, as acute and chronic, according to their duration. Acute gastroenteritis is considered as diarrhea that lasts less than 14 days, while diarrhea that lasts longer than 14 days is considered as chronic gastroenteritis (1-3). Norovirus, Rotavirus, and Adenovirus serotypes 40 and 41 in viruses, Shigella, Salmonella, Campylobacter and Escherichia coli in bacteria, and Giardia intestinalis, Entamoeba histolytica, and Cryptosporidium spp. in parasites are the most common causative agents of acute gastroenteritis (1,4). Among the causes of chronic gastroenteritis, diseases such as parasitic infections, drugs, inflammatory bowel diseases, bowel irritable syndrome, eosinophilic gastroenteritis, celiac disease, lactose intolerance, and colorectal cancer can be counted. G. intestinalis

and *Crytosporidium* spp. may cause chronic gastroenteritis (1).

Giardia intestinalis and E. histolytica, which are enteritis parasites, are the most important protozoans causing diarrhea worldwide. In addition, according to the World Health Organization reports; the first protozoan that causes the most common mortality and morbidity worldwide is E. histolytica, and the second is G. intestinalis. E. histolytica can cause different clinical symptoms such as colitis, bloody dysentery, and intestinal perforation. Apart from the intestine, it can cause disease by settling in the liver, lungs, brain, and skin. G. intestinalis can cause malabsorption by disrupting the absorption of fat and fat-soluble vitamins from the duodenum, as well as cause gastrointestinal symptoms, such as acute or chronic diarrhea, abdominal pain, nausea, and vomiting. Blastocystis spp., one of the other common protozoans, usually shows an asymptomatic course. It causes gastrointestinal complaints in symptomatic cases (5-9).

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding Author: Abdurrahman Ekici, Van Yüzüncü Yıl University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Parasitology Van, Turkey E-mail: abdurrahman2400@gmail.com

ORCID ID: Esra Gürbüz: 0000-0002-3123-0963, Şehriban Yürektürk: 0000-0003-4902-0689, Selahattin Aydemir: 0000-0002-0941-2779, Abdurrahman Ekici: 0000-0001-6034-513X

In the diagnosis of gastroenteritis, it is very difficult to distinguish the agents according to the history and clinical features. Laboratory tests are needed for differential diagnosis. Laboratory tests include microscopy, culture, antigen detection and molecular methods. Direct stained and unstained microscopic examination is generally used in stool parasite examination. In addition, methods such as culture, histopathological examination, and investigation of antibodies and parasite antigens are used in the diagnosis of parasites (4,10).

This study was conducted to evaluate amoebiosis, giardiasis, and blastocystosis infections in patients aged 18 years and older with acute or chronic gastroenteritis.

## Materials and methods

The study was approved by the SBU Van Training and Research Hospital Clinical Research Ethics Committee (20/10/2021-2021/19).Retrospectively analyzed, between January 2016 and December 2019, were 3848 patients with a diagnosis of gastroenteritis, who were admitted to the SBU Van Training and Research Hospital with the complaint of diarrhea and were hospitalized. It is stated that the parasites investigated in the literature are frequently seen in the pediatric age group. However, to emphasize the importance of these parasites in individuals over the age of 18, only individuals over the age of 18 were included in the study. Demographic characteristics of the patients and stool examination results were obtained from the hospital automation system. The stool samples were examined under a light microscope with the native-Lugol method in the microbiology laboratory, and the E. histolytica suspected samples were evaluated E. histolyticaspecific adhesin antigen with ELISA methods.

**Statistical Analysis:** Categorical variables from the features emphasized were expressed as numbers and percentages. For the categorical variables, the two-ratio Z test of the ratios and chi square test were used. Statistical significance was considered as 5% in the calculations and SPSS 26.0 and MINITAB 14.0 statistical package programs were used for the calculations.

# Results

Of the 3848 stool samples, *E. histolytica* was found in 6.8% (3848/262), *G. intestinalis* was found in 3.6% (3848/137), and *Blastocystis* spp. was found in 3% (3848/117). It was determined that the incidence of *Blastocystis* spp. increased every year ( $R^2$ = 0.8895, p= 0.011), and there was no change in the frequency of *G. intestinalis* and E. *histolytica* according to year (Table 1) (Figure 1).

Considering the incidence of parasites according to the age groups of the patients, it was determined that *G. intestinalis* and *E. histolytica* were mostly seen in the age range of 50–65 years, and the difference in the incidence of *E. histolytica* was statistically significant (p = 0.026), but the difference in the incidence of *G. intestinalis* was not significant (p = 0.594). The incidence of *Blastocystis* spp. increased with age (p = 0.001) (Table 1).

In the microscopic examination of the stool samples, of the 137 G. intestinalis-positive patients, 14 (10.2%) had leukocytes and three (2.2%) had erythrocytes; 202 (77.1%) of the 262 E. histolyticapositive patients had leukocytes and 176 (67.2%) were erythrocytes; and 18 (15.4%) of the 117 Blastocystis spp.-positive patients had leukocytes and five (4.3%) had erythrocytes. A statistically significant correlation was found between the E. histolytica and fecal leukocytes and erythrocytes. In addition, while no statistically significant relationship was found with Blastocystis spp., a statistically significant relationship was found with G. intestinalis (Table 1).

# Discussion

*Giardia intestinalis* and *E. histolytica* are protozoans that are common worldwide and cause gastroenteritis. *Blastocystis* spp., on the other hand, is quite common in both healthy individuals and patients with gastrointestinal symptoms. These parasitic agents that cause gastroenteritis can be transmitted with contaminated food and beverages, usually due to poor hygiene conditions (4,6,11).

The prevalence of intestinal protozoa causing gastroenteritis according varies to the socioeconomic and cultural level of the societies, demographic characteristics, dietary habits, and geographical conditions. The prevalence of parasitic infections varies according to countries, regions, and even the year (12,13). In a total of 24651 stool samples taken from patients in various age groups with gastrointestinal complaints in İzmir (14), E. histolytica was detected in 12.9% and G. intestinalis was detected in 11.4%. In a total of 9421 stool samples taken from patients who applied for digestive system complaints in

		Total	G. intestinalis		E. histolytica		Blastocystis spp.	
Group		number of patients	Number of positive patients (%)	p.	Number of positive patients (%)	p.	Number of positive patients (%)	p.
Year	2016	773	36 (4.6)	0.082	52 (6.7)	0.535	16 (2.1)	
	2017	975	31 (3.2)		57 (5.8)		23 (2.4)	0.011
	2018	1100	29 (2.6)		80 (7.3)		33 (3.0)	
	2019	1000	41 (4.1)		73 (7.3)		45 (4.5)	
Gender	Female	1808	61 (3.4)	0.556	122 (6.7)	0.888	56 (3.1)	0.847
	Male	2040	76 (3.7)		140 (6.8)		61 (3.0)	
Age	18-33	1801	66 (3.7)	0.594	120 (6.7)a	0.026	36 (2.0)	
	34-49	1041	36 (3.5)		57 (5.5)a		29 (2.8)	0.001
	50-65	733	29 (3.9)		67 (9.1)b		28 (3.8)	
	>66	273	6 (2.2)		18 (6.6)a		24 (8.8)	
Leukocytes	Yes	718	14 (1.9)	0.010*	202 (28.1)	0.001	18 (2.5)	0.356
in the Stool	No	3130	123 (3.9)		60 (1.9)		99 (3.2)	
Erythrocytes	Yes	325	3 (0.9)	0.007*	176 (54.2)	0.001	5 (1.5)	0.099
in the Stool	No	3523	134 (3.8)		86 (2.4)		112 (3.2)	
Total		3848	137 (3.6)		262 (6.8)		117 (3.0)	

**Table 1.** Investigation of The Positivity Rates of *G. intestinalis*, *E. histolytica*, and *Blastocystis* spp. According To Year, Gender, Age, and The Presence Of Leukocytes and Erythrocytes in The Stool

\*Statistically Significant but Not Leukocytes or Erythrocytes Have Higher G. intestinalis Positivity

Hatay (15) between 2006 and 2010, B. hominis was detected in 51%, G. intestinalis was detected in 18.1%, E. coli was detected in 15.5% and E. histolytica/dispar was detected in 7.5%. The stool samples of 4957 patients who were admitted to a university hospital in Northern Cyprus (13) with gastrointestinal complaints between 2016 and 2019 were examined and the most common parasites were B. hominis (76.2%) and G. intestinalis (12.1%). In a study conducted in Konya (16) between 2010 and 2012, a total of 41967 patients who applied to the parasitology laboratory were found to have B. hominis at a rate of 59.9%, Entamoeba spp. at a rate of 25% and, G. intestinalis at a rate of 13.7%. In a study in which the stool samples of 1218 patients in Elazig (17) were examined, 26.7% had B. hominis, 24.8% had G. intestinalis, and 3.3% had E. histolytica/dispar. In a study in which a total of 60501 stool samples were examined between 2011 and 2020 in Diyarbakır (18), 57.6% had Blastocystis spp., 31.9% had G. intestinalis, and 3.75% had  $\hat{E}$ . histolytica/dispar positivity. In another study conducted in Van (19), E. histolytica/dispar positivity was found in 6.2% and G. intestinalis positivity was found in 4.7% of 9911 stool samples. Studies have shown that Blastocystis spp. is more common than other protozoa (13,15-18). In this study, E. histolytica was detected in 6.8% and G. intestinalis was detected in 3.6%, similar to the study (19) conducted in the

Van regions. Although the rate of *Blastocystis* spp. %3 was lower than those of *E. histolytica* and *G. intestinalis* in the study, the increase in the rate over the years increases the importance of the parasite. In addition, it is thought that many factors, such as personal hygiene, cultural habits, socio-economic conditions, education level, the immunity level of individuals, and environmental factors, are effective in the prevalence differences between provinces.

Giardia intestinalis, which has a cosmopolitan distribution, causes endemic and epidemic diarrhea. G. intestinalis, which can be seen in all age groups, is more common in clinical or subclinical cases, especially in children. The patients' age is considered a risk factor for giardiasis, and many studies have found a higher prevalence of giardiasis in children (5,20-23). In a study examining the distribution of G. intestinalis positivity according to different age groups, it was observed that 29.4% of G. intestinalis antigenpositive patients were in the 25-34 age group, followed by the 35-44 age group with 17.2% (7). In another study, G. intestinalis positivity was found in 55% of patients aged 5-14 years and in 25.5% of patients aged 49-60 years (24). In a similar study, it was reported that G. intestinalis positivity was



Fig. 1. Change of the Positivity Rates of *G. intestinalis*, *E. histolytica*, and *Blastocystis* spp. According to Year

mostly seen in the 30–39 (26.6%) age group (25). In this study, when the age groups and the incidence of parasites were compared, it was determined that *G. intestinalis* was most common in the age range of 50–65 years, but the difference in the incidence of *G. intestinalis* was not significant (p = 0.594).

E. histolytica is one of the most common parasites with a cosmopolitan distribution. It is known that approximately 10% of the world's population is infected with E. histolytica (7). In studies on the distribution of E. histolytica-positive patients by age group, it was observed that the incidence of the parasite varied in different age groups (7,26,27). In another study examining the distribution of *E. histolytica* positivity by age group, it was determined that E. histolytica seropositivity was most common in patients aged 50 years (5.41%) and above, and there was a statistically significant correlation between age and E. *histolytica* seropositivity (7,26,28). In this study, it was determined that E. histolytica was most common in the age range of 50-65 years and the difference in the incidence of E. histolytica was statistically significant (p = 0.026).

In studies evaluating the distribution of *Blastocystis* spp. according to age group, there are studies reporting that the prevalence is higher in the adult age group (6,29-30), as well as studies reporting that it is higher in childhood (31,32). In this study, which included patients aged 18 and over, it was determined that the incidence of *Blastocystis* spp. increased with age (p = 0.001).

In the current study, it was determined that the incidence of all three parasites increased with age. This is thought to be due to the increase in the possibility of encountering parasites and the risk of chronic diseases with age, and the weakening of cellular and humoral immunity.

*Giardia intestinalis* and *E. histolytica* are the most common enteritis parasitic agents that cause leukocyte positivity in stool (33). In one study, the

microscopic examination of stool samples of 539 patients with E. histolytica detected erythrocytes in 5%, leukocytes in 20%, and erythrocytes and leukocytes in 6% of 343 samples with G. intestinalis (7). In another study, abundant erythrocytes and leukocytes were found in 24.6%, only leukocytes in 3%, and only erythrocytes in 9.2% in stool samples of 65 patients who were found to be positive for E. histolytica (34). The detection of leukocytes in the stool indicates the presence of an infection or inflammatory condition irritating the intestinal mucosa. There are also studies on the increase in leukocyte cells in the presence of Blastocystis spp. (34-36). In this study, of the 137 G. intestinalis-positive patients, 14 had leukocytes and three had erythrocytes; 202 of the 262 E. histolytica-positive patients had leukocytes and 176 were erythrocytes; and 18 of the 117 Blastocystis spp.-positive patients had leukocytes and five had erythrocytes. It was concluded that enteritis protozoa should be evaluated as a risk factor in the increase of leukocytes and erythrocytes in the stool, and that parasitic factors, especially E. *histolytica*, should be considered in the presence of leukocytes and erythrocytes in the stool.

Giardia intestinalis and E. histolytica, which are enteritis parasites, can cause acute or chronic diarrhea. These parasites, transmitted by fecal-oral route, education conditions, economic levels, insufficient infrastructure, and the lack of sufficient knowledge about these parasitic diseases, are among the main reasons for the prevalence of parasitic infections. It is thought that parasitic infections are common due to the crowded family structure, insufficient socioeconomic level, inadequate infrastructure systems, and sanitation in Van. As a result, it was concluded that G. intestinalis and E. histolytica are the leading parasitic infections that are still important and need to be combated in the Van region, and that the increase in Blastocystis spp. frequency over the years should not be ignored.

**Ethics Committee Approval:** SBU Van Training and Research Hospital Clinical Research Ethics Committee approved the study protocol (20/10/2021-2021/19).

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest

### References

- 1. Graves NS. Acute gastroenteritis. Primary Care. 2013;40(3):727–741.
- 2. Humphries RM, Linscott AJ. Practical guidance for clinical microbiology laboratories: diagnosis of

bacterial gastroenteritis. Am Soc Microbiol. 2015;28(1):3-31.

- Çiftçi N, Maçin S. Investigation of gastroenteritis frequency caused by adenovirus and rotavirus. Sağlık Akademisi Kastamonu. 2021;6(1): 40-47.
- Göktaş Ş, Aksoy Gökmen A, Şamlıoğlu P. Detection of acute gastroenteritis agents by molecular methods. J Clin Exp Invest. 2018;9(1): 21-25.
- Uyar Y, Özkan AT. Antigen detection methods in diagnosis of amebiasis, giardiasis and cryptosporidiosis. Turkiye Parazitol Derg. 2009;33(2):140-150.
- İnceboz T, Usluca S, Över L, Yalçın G, Tuncay S, Özkoç S. The epidemiology research of *Blastocystis hominis* in the Dokuz Eylül University Medical Faculty Hospital between 2005 and 2009. Turkiye Parazitol Derg. 2011;35:72-76.
- 7. Akyar I, Gültekin M. Five year surveillance of *Entamoeba histolytica* and *Giardia* antigen of stool samples by ELISA method. Turkiye Parazitol Derg. 2012;36:12-16.
- 8. Langbang D, Dhodapkar R, Parija SC, Premarajan KC, Rajkumari N. Prevalence of intestinal parasites among rural and urban population in Puducherry, South India-A community-based study. J Family Med Prim Care. 2019;8:1607-1612.
- Paulos S, Saugar JM, de Lucio A, Fuentes I, Mateo M, Carmena D. Comparative performance evaluation of four commercial multiplex real-time PCR assays for the detection of the diarrhoeacausing protozoa *Cryptosporidium hominis/parvum*, *Giardia duodenalis* and *Entamoeba histolytica*. PloS one. 2019;14(4).
- Binnicker MJ. Multiplex Molecular panels for diagnosis of gastrointestinal infection: performance, result interpretation, and costeffectiveness. Journal Clin Microbiol. 2015;53(12):3723–3728.
- Odabaş Y, Topbaş M, Kazaz S, Sünbül Ş, Çan G. An investigation of an acute gastro-enteritis outbreak in the Vakfikebir district of Trabzon, november-2006. TSK Koruyucu Hekimlik Bülteni. 2007;6(4).
- Tüzemen NÜ, Alver O, Ener B. Investigation of parasitic infection rate in stool samples submitted to Uludag University Parasitology Laboratory between 2011-2015. Flora. 2017;22(4):160-165.
- Güler E, Süer K. Epidemiology of intestinal parasites in a University Hospital in Northern Cyprus: A 4-year retrospective experience. Turkiye Parazitol Derg. 2021;45(2):128-132.
- Bayındır Bilman F, Yetik M. The epidemiology of intestinal parasites: evaluation of five years. İKSSTD. 2019;11(3):184-189
- 15. Çulha G, Gülkan B. Distribution of intestinal parasites in patients presented at the Parasitology Laboratory of the Medical School of Mustafa Kemal University during the years 2006 and 2010. Turk Hij Den Biyol Derg. 2011;68(4):165-174.

- Pektaş B, Gökmen AA, İnci A, Biten AA, Keşl R. Three years of distribution of intestinal parasites in an Education and Research Hospital: A retrospective study. J Clin Exp Invest. 2015;6(3):269-273.
- 17. Kuk S, Erensoy A, Keleştemur N. Results of parasitological examination of stools in the parasitology laboratory of the Firat University Firat Medical Central inside the last year. Firat Tip Derneği, 2006;11:113-115.
- Akpolat N, Çakır F, Çiçek M, Bilden A. Retrospective analysis of the distribution of intestinal parasites in patients admitted to Dicle University Faculty of Medicine between the years 2011-2020. Turkiye Parazitol Derg. 2022;46:119-123.
- Bayram Y, Parlak M, Çıkman A. The prevalence of *Giardia intestinalis* and *Entamoeba histolytica/dispar* in Van Regional Training and Research Hospital: A four-year monitoring. Dicle Tip Derg. 2013;40(1):40-44.
- Al Kilani MK, Dahesh SM, El Taweel HA. Intestinal parasitosis in Nalout popularity, western Libya. J Egypt Soc Parasitol. 2008;38(1),255–264.
- Mohammed Mahdy AK, Surin J, Wan KL, Mohd-Adnan A, Al-Mekhlafi MS, Lim YA. *Giardia intestinalis* genotypes: risk factors and correlation with clinical symptoms. Acta Trop. 2009;112:7-70.
- Ghenghes KS, Ghanghis K, BenDarif ET, Shembesh K, Franka E. Prevalence of *Entamoeba histolytica, Giardia lamblia*, and *Cryptosporidium* spp. in Libya: 2000-2015. Libyan J Med. 2016;11,32088.
- 23. Yılmaz A, Uslu H. Examination of *Giardia intestinalis* with direct microscopy and direct fluorescent antibody in patients with diarrhea. Turkiye Parazitol Derg. 2020;44(4):187-90.
- 24. Köksal F, Başlantı İ, Samastı M. A retrospective evaluation of the prevalence of intestinalis parasites in İstanbul, Turkey. Turkiye Parazitol Derg. 2010;34(3):166-171.
- Mahmoudi MR, Mahdavi F, Ashrafi K, Forghanparast K, Rahmati B, Mirzaei A, et al. Report of *Giardia* assemblages and giardiasis in residents of Guilan province-Iran. J Parasitol Res. 2020;119(3),1083-1091.
- 26. Alver O, Özakın C, Yılmaz E, Akçağlar S, Töre O. Evaluation of the distribution of intestinal parasites in the Uludag University Medical Faculty during a period of eight years. Turkiye Parazitol Derg. 2005;29:193-199.
- Samie A, Mahlaule L, Mbati P, Nozaki T, El Bakri A. Prevalence and distribution of *Entamoeba* species in a rural community in northern South Africa. Food Waterborne Parasitol, 2020;18:e00076.
- Yanagawa Y, Nagashima M, Gatanaga H, Kikuchi Y, Oka S, Yokoyama K, et al. Seroprevalence of *Entamoeba histolytica* at a voluntary counselling and testing centre in Tokyo: a cross-sectional study. BMJ. 2020;10(2):e031605.

East J Med Volume:28, Number:3, July-September/2023

- 29. Amin OM. The epidemiology of *Blastocystis hominis* in the United States. Res J Parasitol. 2006;1:1-10.
- Salehi M, Mardaneh J, Niazkar HR, Minooeianhaghighi M, Arshad E, Soleimani F, et al. Prevalence and subtype analysis of *Blastocystis hominis* Isolated from patients in the northeast of Iran. J Parasitol Res. 2021; 8821885.
- Beyhan YE, Yılmaz H, Cengiz ZT, Ekici A. Clinical significance and prevalence of *Blastocystis hominis* in Van, Turkey. Saudi Med J. 2015;36(9):1118–1121.
- 32. Asfaram S, Daryani A, Sarvi S, Pagheh AS, Hosseini SA, Saberi R, et al. Geospatial analysis and epidemiological aspects of human infections with *Blastocystis hominis* in Mazandaran Province, northern Iran. Epidemiology and health 2019;41:e2019009.

- İnan N, Erdoğan H, Genç L, Bal Ç, Gürler N. An investigation of the correlation between presence of leukocytes and cultures of stool samples. Klimik Derg. 2003;16(3):126-129
- Yıldırım D, Hasbek M, Nur N. Analysis of intestinal ameobiasis in patients with diarrhea by adhesin antigen test and direct microscopy. Turkiye Parazitol Derg. 2014;38:155-158.
- Bozdemir MN, Kuk S, Yıldız M, Ateşçelik M, Baştürk M, Kılıçaslan İ. Evaluation of the patients who presented emergengy department complaining of diarrhea. Firat Tıp Derg. 2007;12(2):118-120.
- Kaya S, Çetin ES, Arıdoğan BC, Arıkan S, Demirci M. Pathogenicity of *Blastocystis hominis*, a clinical reevaluation. Turkiye Parazitol Derg. 2007;31(3):184-187.

East J Med Volume:28, Number:3, July-September/2023