

DOI: 10.14744/eer.2022.54227 Eur Eye Res 2022;2(1):30-34



REVIEW

Graft-versus-host disease and dry eye

🝺 Cansu Kaya, 🝺 Aylin Karalezli, 🝺 Cem Simsek

Department of Ophthalmology, Mugla Sitki Kocman University Faculty of Medicine, Mugla, Turkey

Abstract

Graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) is an important problem of hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Dry eye disease (DED) is one of the most common complications of ocular GVHD, and patients experience symptoms such as blurred vision, photophobia, sand stinging, pain, burning, and redness. DED can progress to keratopathy, ulceration, and visual loss if treatment is delayed or appropriate treatment cannot be arranged. Treatment of people with GVHD needs a multidisciplinary approach to ensure early diagnosis and to recognize all clinical signs of GVHD and to define disorder category and severity. The aim of the treatment is to improve the quality and quantity of tears, to protect the corneal epithelial integrity, and to reduce the inflammation on the ocular surface to reduce the severity of the symptoms and prevent their progression. In conclusion, patients with GVHD should be evaluated ophthalmologically very carefully, especially the condition of the ocular surface and fler transplantation, and it is important to carry out ophthalmological examinations and follow-up of these patients at regular intervals. Thus, early diagnosis, prevention of possible complication, and correct planning of treatment, when necessary, are very important before serious, perhaps permanent, and life-threatening consequences are experienced.

Keywords: Dry eye disease; graft-versus-host disease; ocular surface.

Graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) is an important problem of hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT). ^[1] The incidence of GVHD varies depending on the donor source, age, sex, presence of other systemic diseases, and degree of histocompatibility, among other factors.^[2] While acute GVHD primarily affects the liver, skin, and gastrointestinal system,^[3,4] it has been shown that there is a high rate of ocular complication in chronic GVHD (cGVHD).^[5,6] In cGVHD, 60–90% of patients complain of ocular symptoms such as stinging, burning, watering, blurred vision, and discomfort.^[5,6] Dry eye disease (DED) is one of the most common complications of ocular GVHD, and patients experience symptoms such as blurred vision, photophobia, sand stinging, pain, burning, and redness. Ocular GVHD does not usually cause permanent vision loss and its clinical course is stable,^[7] but this ocular discomfort condition significantly reduces the quality of life of patients.^[8,9] The degree of ocular surface disease correlates with the level of damage to tear film components.^[10] The ocular findings are summarized in Table 1. DED can progress to keratopathy, ulceration, and visual loss if treatment is delayed or appropriate treatment cannot be arranged.^[11] It is thought that the reason for the detection of ocular findings in patients with acute GVHD may be related to the interaction

Cite this article as: Kaya C, Karalezli A, Simsek C. Graft-versus-host disease and dry eye. Eur Eye Res 2022;2:30-34.

Correspondence: Cem Simsek, M.D. Department of Ophthalmology, Mugla Sitki Kocman University Faculty of Medicine, Mugla, Turkey Phone: +90 252 214 13 26 E-mail: cemsimsek1@hotmail.com Submitted Date: 21.12.2021 Accepted Date: 10.02.2022



Conjunctiva	Cornea	Eyelids	Posterior segment
Hyperemia	Punctate keratopathy	Scar	Central serous chorioretinopathy
Chemosis	Neovascularization	Trichiasis	Serous retinal detachment
Pseudomembrane	Secondary corneal infection	Ectropion	Posterior scleritis
Symblepharon	Perforation	Entropion	Infectious retinitis
Cicatricial conjunctivitis	Painful erosions	Lagophthalmus	Endophthalmitis
Superior limbic keratoconjunctivitis	Filament formation	Floppy eyelids	Intraocular lymphoma
Keratinization	Sterile stromal necrosis	Lacrimal punctal stenosis	Optic disc inflammation

Table 1. Ocular findings in GVHD

of donor lymphocytes with host tissue compatibility antigens.^[5] T lymphocytes known to originate from the donor have been demonstrated in patients with pseudomembranous conjunctivitis associated with acute GVHD. Conjunctival hyperemia, chemosis, and pseudomembrane development are commonly seen in patients with acute GVHD.^[12] The deterioration of the ocular surface in cGVHD patients was thought to be due to dryness of the ocular surface due to apoptosis and fibrosis of the conjunctiva as well as the lacrimal gland.^[13] Dry eye accompanied by meibomian gland dysfunction and chronic blepharitis is the most common ocular finding of cGVHD.^[14] Corneal epithelial alterations such as punctate keratopathy, conjunctival subepithelial fibrosis, filament formation, aching erosions, and secondary corneal diseases may develop due to this. Less commonly, sterile corneal stromal necrosis and perforations may also be seen. In addition, atrophy and irregularity may develop on the eyelid margins, and complication such as entropion or ectropion development, loss of eyelashes, and lacrimal punctal stenosis may occur as a result of keratinization of the tarsal conjunctiva and structural changes on the ocular surface.^[15] Palpebral and subtarsal conjunctival scarring is realized in some people and may cause cicatricial lagophthalmos.^[16] Approximately half (47.8%) of cGVHD patients have significant meibomian gland dysfunction.^[17] This dysfunction causes increased evaporation of the tear layer, leading to further deterioration of the ocular surface. In cGVHD, fibrotic processes usually affect the lacrimal gland, reduce its secretory power, and even cause extensive stasis with obliteration of the duct lumen. ^[8] Histological examinations showed an increase in CD34+ stromal fibroblasts accompanied by mild lymphocytic infiltration, destruction of tubuloalveolar glands and ducts in the lacrimal gland, tissue atrophy, and fibrosis.^[8] Wang et al.^[18] found that corneal sensitivity decreased and the rate of meibomian gland obstruction increased in all patients after HSCT, in a study examining patients with cGVHD, post-HSCT without DED, and healthy control groups. Tabbara et al.^[16] retrospectively evaluated 620 patients after

allogeneic HSCT in a large series they performed and observed eye involvement in 80 patients, although cGVHD developed in only 34 patients. They reported DED with or without cGVHD as the most common ocular complication. It has been suggested that the development of aqueous insufficiency in individuals who do not develop cGVHD may be due to immunosuppression, body irradiation, or both. They observed that vernal or atopic keratoconjunctivitis developed in four patients after allogeneic HSCT from atopic donors. They reported corneal ulcers in 15 patients, involving bacterial corneal ulcer (10), herpetic keratitis (1), and sterile epithelial defect (4). They observed that an ocular cicatricial pemphigoid-like clinical finding developed in five patients with cGVHD. It should also be kept in mind that ocular opportunistic infections may develop due to immunosuppression therapy.^[16] Cataract development, mostly posterior capsular cataract, is seen in patients with GVHD, which is thought to be related to steroid use. However, it has been reported that GVHD alone is not an independent risk factor for cataract development.^[19] Posterior segment involvement, including microvascular retinopathy, central serous chorioretinopathy, serous retinal detachment, posterior scleritis, optic nerve edema, infectious retinitis, endophthalmitis, and intraocular lymphoma, has also been reported in GVHD.^[20]

Risk Factors

Skin and mouth involvements are among the risk factors for the development of ocular GVHD.^[21] Human leukocyte antigen (HLA) incompatibility or an unrelated donor, advanced age of the patient and donor, female donor for male recipient, previous acute GVHD are also shown as risk factors.^[22] The severity of ocular symptoms is generally proportional to the severity of systemic findings.^[23] Conjunctival involvement in acute GVHD can be divided into degrees (Table 2). There is no ocular surface disease in Stage 0, and conjunctival hyperemia is present in Stage 1. In Stage 2, hyperemia accompanies chemosis. Chemosis may be the effect of liquid inequity (from concomitant systemic fluid

Table 2. Staging of conjunctival findings in acute GVHD^[25]

Stages	Findings
Stage 0	There is no ocular surface disease
Stage 1	Conjunctival hyperemia
Stage 2	Hyperemia and chemosis
Stage 3	Pseudomembranous conjunctivitis
Stage 4	Corneal epithelial loss and pseudomembrane formation

overload in steroid-suppressed patients) or ocular GVHD. Although the definitive diagnosis of ocular GVHD can be made by conjunctival biopsy, it is important to carry out a detailed systemic examination of the patients, to question the drugs used, and to exclude conditions such as hyponatremia and hypoalbuminemia as an aid to the diagnosis. Pseudomembranous conjunctivitis seen in 12–17%^[24] of patients with acute GVHD is classified as Stage 3 and is known as a marker of systemic involvement associated with poor prognosis. Stage 4 is known as corneal epithelial loss and pseudomembrane formation.^[25] One study identified an increased expression of ICAM1 in the conjunctival epithelium of people with ocular cGVHD and was also thought to be a possible marker of its progression.^[26] Ophthalmologic examination should be performed before allo-HSCT to evaluate for ocular surface deformities, conjunctival scarring, and inflammation, and patients should be informed about this. This may be useful for detecting

Table 3. Treatment options in DED due to GVHD

ocular involvement in the early period after transplantation and to prevent possible complication, and is important for improving the quality of life of patients.^[27]

Treatment

Treatment of people with GVHD needs a multidisciplinary approach to ensure early diagnosis and to recognize all clinical signs of GVHD and to define disorder category and severity. If proper diagnosis and treatment cannot be performed, irreversible complication may occur in patients and their quality of life may be seriously impaired. Today, the use of corticosteroids is an indispensable treatment option for both acute and cGVHD^[28] as well as the frequency of recurrence and complication^[29] has made it necessary to bring different treatment options to the agenda. The aim of the treatment is to improve the quality and quantity of tears, to protect the corneal epithelial integrity, and to reduce the inflammation on the ocular surface to reduce the severity of the symptoms and prevent their progression.^[30] Patients are generally managed according to DED treatment guidelines, partly because few studies have evaluated the efficacy of topical treatments for ocular GVHD. Preservative-free artificial tears should be used to relieve ocular surface dryness and reduce inflammation.^[31] In the occurrence of filamentary keratitis, topical N-acetylcysteine (5-10%) should be used alongside artificial tears due to its mucolytic and an-

Treatment	Advantages	Disadvantages
Preservative-free artificial tears	Elimination of ocular dryness, suppression of inflammation ^[31]	
Topical N-acetylcysteine	Mucolytic and anti-collagenolytic in the presence of filamentary keratitis ^[32]	
Topical or systemic tetracycline or macrolide	Treatment of blephariti ^{s[33]}	Side effects related to the gastrointestinal tract and genitourinary system, hypersensitivity reaction ^[34]
Punctal plugs	Temporarily increasing tear volume ^[35]	Worsening of inflammation with chronic use ^[35]
Topical corticosteroids drops	Suppression of inflammation ^[36]	Topical corticosteroids are contraindicated in the presence of corneal epithelial defects, stromal thinning, or infiltrates ^[36]
Topical nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drops	Suppression of inflammation ^[40]	Cytotoxicity, delayed healing, corneal melts ^[40]
Topical cyclosporine	Suppression of inflammation ^[37]	
Topical tacrolimus	Suppression of inflammation ^[38]	
Topical autologous serum	Preservation of the ocular surface epithelium, epithelial healing ^[39]	There is no standard for the preparation conditions Contamination and risk of infection ^[41]
Contact scleral lens	Relief of symptoms and healing of corneal erosions ^[42]	High cost

ti-collagenolytic properties.^[32] In the presence of blepharitis, eyelid hygiene and warm compress application and, if necessary, topical or systemic tetracycline or macrolide use should be recommended depending on the severity of blepharitis. Due to the matrix metalloproteinase inhibiting effect of azithromycin and tetracyclines, it is used as an anti-inflammatory in the treatment of blepharitis.^[33] However, it is known that these agents have side effects on the gastrointestinal and genitourinary system, and may also cause a hypersensitivity reaction.^[34] Temporary punctal plugs and punctal occlusion are among the treatment options that can be applied.^[35] Topical nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory and topical corticosteroid drops are classically used to suppress ocular inflammation.^[36] Topical steroid use should be applied carefully because of possible side effects and patients should be followed closely. Topical cyclosporine therapy is also an important treatment option in ocular GVHD due to its anti-inflammatory effects and improvement of tear quality.^[37] In addition to these, topical tacrolimus treatment is an option that is approved and can be used in the medication of DED.^[38] There are studies in the literature reporting that the application of autologous serum in the form of topical drops is also effective and safe.^[39] Treatment options, along with their advantages and disadvantages, are summarized in Table 3.

Conclusion

The patients with GVHD should be evaluated ophthalmologically very carefully, especially the condition of the ocular surface and the findings of DED before and after transplantation, and it is important to carry out ophthalmological examinations and follow-up of these patients at regular intervals. Thus, early diagnosis, prevention of possible complication, and correct planning of treatment, when necessary, are very important before serious, perhaps permanent, and life-threatening consequences are experienced.

Peer-review: Externally peer-reviewed.

Authorship Contributions: Concept: C.S.; Design: C.S.; Supervision: A.K.; Materials: C.K.; Data Collection and/or Processing: C.K.; Analysis and/or Interpretation: C.S.; Literature Search: C.K.; Writing: C.K.; Critical Reviews: C.S.

Conflict of Interest: None declared.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared that this study received no financial support.

References

1. Shamloo K, Barbarino A, Alfuraih S, Sharma A. Graft versus host disease-associated dry eye: Role of ocular surface mucins

and the effect of rebamipide, a mucin secretagogue. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2019;60:4511–9. [CrossRef]

- Arora M, Klein JP, Weisdorf DJ, et al. Chronic GVHD risk score: A center for international blood and marrow transplant research analysis. Blood 2011;117:6714–20. [CrossRef]
- Sung AD, Chao NJ. Concise review: Acute graft-versus-host disease: Immunobiology, prevention, and treatment. Stem Cells Transl Med 2013;2:25–32. [CrossRef]
- 4. Ferrara JL, Reddy P. Pathophysiology of graft-versus-host disease. Semin Hematol 2006;43:3–10. [CrossRef]
- Franklin RM, Kenyon KR, Tutschka PJ, Saral R, Green WR, Santos GW. Ocular manifestations of graft-vs-host disease. Ophthalmology 1983;90:4–13. [CrossRef]
- 6. Riemens A, te Boome L, Imhof S, Kuball J, Rothova A. Current insights into ocular graft-versus-host disease. Curr Opin Oph-thalmol 2010;21:485–94. [CrossRef]
- 7. Sáles CS, Johnston LJ, Ta CN. Long-term clinical course of dry eye in patients with chronic graft-versus-host disease referred for eye examination. Cornea 2011;30:143–9. [CrossRef]
- Ogawa Y, Kuwana M. Dry eye as a major complication associated with chronic graft-versus-host disease after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Cornea 2003;22:S19–27. [CrossRef]
- Fahnehjelm KT, Tornquist AL, Winiarski J. Dry-eye syndrome after allogeneic stem-cell transplantation in children. Acta Ophthalmol 2008;86:253–8. [CrossRef]
- Balasubramaniam SC, Raja H, Nau CB, Shen JF, Schornack MM. Ocular graft-versus-host disease: A review. Eye Contact Lens 2015;41:256–61. [CrossRef]
- 11. Nassar A, Tabbara KF, Aljurf M. Ocular manifestations of graft versus-host disease. Saudi J Ophthalmol 2013;27:215–22.
- Saito T, Shinagawa K, Takenaka K, et al. Ocular manifestations of acute graftversus-host disease after allogeneic peripheral blood stem cell transplantation. Int J Hematol 2002;75:332–4.
- Jack MK, Jack GM, Sale GE, Shulman HM, Sullivan KM. Ocular manifestations of graft-versus-host disease. Arch Ophthalmol 1983;101:1080–4. [CrossRef]
- 14. Riemens A, Boome L, Imhof S. Current insights into ocular graftversus-host disease. Curr Opin Ophthalmol 2010;21:485–94.
- Ogawa Y, Okamoto S, Wakui M, et al. Dry eye after haematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Br J Ophthalmol 1999;83:1125– 30. [CrossRef]
- Tabbara KF, Al-Ghamdi A, Al-Mohareb F, et al. Ocular findings after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Ophthalmology 2009;116:1624–9. [CrossRef]
- West RH, Szer J, Pedersen JS. Ocular surface and lacrimal disturbances in chronic graft-versus-host disease: The role of conjunctival biopsy. Aust N Z J Ophthalmol 1991;19:187–91.
- 18. Wang Y, Ogawa Y, Dogru M, et al. Baseline profiles of ocular surface and tear dynamics after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in patients with or without chronic GVHDrelated dry eye. Bone Marrow Transplant 2010;45:1077–83.
- Flowers ME, Inamoto Y, Carpenter PA, et al. Comparative analysis of risk factors for acute graft-versus-host disease and for chronic graft-versus-host disease according to National Insti-

tutes of Health consensus criteria. Blood 2011;117:3214-9.

- Arain MA, Niazi MK, Khan MD, Ahmed P, Naz MA, Fayyaz M. Frequency of ocular manifestations of chronic graft versus host disease. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad 2010;22:80–3.
- 21. Coskuncan NM, Jabs DA, Dunn JP, et al. The eye in bone marrow transplantation: VI: Retinal complications. Arch Ophthalmol 1994;112:372–9. [CrossRef]
- Westeneng AC, Hettinga Y, Lokhorst H, Verdonck L, van Dorp S, Rothova A. Ocular graft-versus-host disease after allogeneic stem cell transplantation. Cornea 2010;29:758–63. [CrossRef]
- 23. Janin A, Facon T, Castier P, Mancel E, Jouet JP, Gosselin B. Pseudomembranous conjunctivitis following bone marrow transplantation: Immunopathological and ultrastructural study of one case. Hum Pathol 1996;27:307–9. [CrossRef]
- 24. Bray LC, Carey PJ, Proctor SJ. Ocular complications of bone marrow transplantation. Br J Ophthalmol 1991;75:611–4. [CrossRef]
- Jabs DA, Wingard J, Green WR, Farmer ER, Vogelsang G, Saral R. The eye in bone marrow transplantation: Ill: Conjunctival graft-vs-host disease. Arch Ophthalmol 1989;107:1343–8.
- Aronni S, Cortes M, Sacchetti M, et al. Upregulation of ICAM-1 expression in the conjunctiva of patients with chronic graftversus-host disease. Eur J Ophthalmol 2006;16:17–23. [CrossRef]
- 27. Giannaccare G, Pellegrini M, Bernabei F, Scorcia V, Campos E. Ocular surface system alterations in ocular graft-versus-host disease: All the pieces of the complex puzzle. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol 2019;257:1341–51. [CrossRef]
- Ruutu T, Gratwohl A, de Witte T, et al. Prophylaxis and treatment of GVHD: EBMT-ELN working group recommendations for a standardized practice. Bone Marrow Transplant 2014;49:168–73. [CrossRef]
- 29. Jagasia MH, Greinix HT, Arora M, et al. National institutes of health consensus development project on criteria for clinical trials in chronic graft-versus-host disease: I. The 2014 diagnosis and staging Working Group Report. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2015;21:389–401. [CrossRef]
- 30. Bruscolini A, Gharbiya M, Sacchetti M, et al. Involvement of ocular surface in graft-versus-host disease: An update from immunopathogenesis to treatment. J Cell Physiol 2021;236:6190–9. [CrossRef]
- 31. Espana EM, Shah S, Santhiago MR, Singh AD. Graft versus host disease: Clinical evaluation, diagnosis and management.

Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol 2013;251:1257–66. [CrossRef]

- 32. Ziment I. Acetylcysteine: A drug with an interesting past and a fascinating future. Respiration 1986;50:26–30. [CrossRef]
- 33. Lam-Franco L, Perfecto-Avalos Y, Patiño-Ramírez BE, Rodríguez García A. IL-1α and MMP-9 tear levels of patients with active ocular rosacea before and after treatment with systemic azithromycin or doxycycline. Ophthalmic Res 2018;60:109–14. [CrossRef]
- 34. Takcı, Z. Sistemik antibiyotikler. Turkderm Turk Arch Dermatol Venereol 2020;54:30–3. [CrossRef]
- 35. Milner MS, Beckman KA, Luchs JI. Dysfunctional tear syndrome: Dry eye disease and associated tear film disordersnew strategies for diagnosis and treatment. Curr Opin Ophthalmol 2017;27:3–47. [CrossRef]
- 36. Munir SZ, Aylward J. A review of ocular graft-versus-host disease. Optom Vis Sci 2017;94:545–55. [CrossRef]
- 37. Dietrich-Ntoukas T, Cursiefen C, Westekemper H, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of ocular chronic graft-versus-host disease: Report from the German-Austrian-Swiss consensus conference on clinical practice in chronic GVHD. Cornea 2012;31:299–310. [CrossRef]
- Abud TB, Amparo F, Saboo US, et al. A clinical trial comparing the safety and efficacy of topical tacrolimus versus methylprednisolone in ocular graft-versus-host disease. Ophthalmology 2016;123:1449–57. [CrossRef]
- 39. Ogawa Y, Okamoto S, Mori T, et al. Autologous serum eye drops for the treatment of severe dry eye in patients with chronic graft-versus-host disease. Bone Marrow Transplant 2003;31:579–83. [CrossRef]
- 40. Fernández-Ferreiro A, Santiago-Varela M, Gil-Martínez M, Parada TG, Pardo M, González-Barcia M, et al. Ocular safety comparison of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory eye drops used in pseudophakic cystoid macular edema prevention. Int J Pharm 2015;495:680–91. [CrossRef]
- Poon AC, Geerling G, Dart JK, Fraenkel GE, Daniels JT. Autologous serum eyedrops for dry eyes and epithelial defects: clinical and in vitro toxicity studies. Br J Ophthalmol 2001;85:1188– 97. [CrossRef]
- 42. Harthan JS, Shorter E. Therapeutic uses of scleral contact lenses for ocular surface disease: patient selection and special considerations. Clin Optom (Auckl) 2018;10:65–74. [CrossRef]