

DOI: 10.14744/eer.2024.42204 Eur Eye Res 2024;4(2):158-164



CASE REPORT

# Longitudinal Follow-up after successful photodynamic therapy in two cases with unilateral choroidal hemangioma and serous macular detachment

**Denizcan Ozizmirliler, Ali Osman Saatci** Department of Ophtalmology, Dokuz Eylul University, Izmir, Türkiye

#### Abstract

Circumscribed choroidal hemangioma is a rare benign vascular choroidal tumor that may cause visual loss in regard to its location and/or be associated with either intraretinal or subretinal fluid leakage. We described the long-term good clinical outcome in two patients who underwent a single session of verteporfin photodynamic therapy (PDT) for the treatment of unilateral choroidal hemangioma and associated serous macular detachment with a follow-up duration of 13 and 14 years. PDT is a safe and effective therapy for the treatment of choroidal hemangioma and restores visual function in many cases without causing any apparent ocular or systemic side effects in the long run.

Keywords: Fluorescein angiography; choroidal hemangioma; optical coherence tomography; photodynamic therapy.

Choroidal hemangioma (CH) is a rare, benign vascular tumor that can either be circumscribed CH (CCH) or diffuse CH.<sup>[1]</sup> CH may develop in early adulthood or be even present at birth.<sup>[2]</sup> Circumscribed type is characterized as an orange-red, well-circumscribed choroidal mass, usually unilateral, located mostly at the posterior pole and without any associated systemic diseases.<sup>[1-3]</sup> These vascular masses originate most likely from hemodynamic turbulences such as persistent arteriovenous shunts and are non-proliferative lesions with little to no tendency to enlarge, in contrast to other choroidal tumors.<sup>[4]</sup> However, when an enlargement occurs, venous congestion is the primary cause.<sup>[5]</sup>

The patients can be asymptomatic or experience mildto- severe visual complaints including metamorphopsia, photopsia, and/or visual loss.<sup>[6]</sup> The tumor is usually diagnosed either by coincidence or by the help of visual symptoms.<sup>[2]</sup> Visual disturbances may be related to tumor localization, presence of subretinal fluid (SRF), cystoid macular edema (CME), exudative retinal detachment, and very rarely choroidal neovascularization. The main treatment indications include SRF affecting the macula, the presence of CME, and choroidal neovascular membrane formation.<sup>[2]</sup> Thermal laser photocoagulation, transpupillary thermotherapy (TTT), radiation therapy, photodynamic therapy (PDT), and anti-vascular endothelial growth factor injections are among the treatment modalities.<sup>[7]</sup>

In this study, the long-term results of the two patients who received a single successful session of PDT were presented.

**P**Cite this article as: Ozizmirliler D, Saatci AO. Longitudinal Follow-up after successful photodynamic therapy in two cases with unilateral choroidal hemangioma and serous macular detachment. Eur Eye Res 2024;4(2):158–164.

Correspondence: Ali Osman Saatci, M.D. Department of Ophtalmology, Dokuz Eylul University, Izmir, Türkiye E-mail: osman.saatci@deu.edu.tr Submitted Date: 14.02.2024 Revised Date: 29.03.2024 Accepted Date: 29.04.2024 Available Online Date: 01.08.2024

OPEN ACCESS This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).



## Case 1

A 59-year-old healthy health-care worker was referred to us with the diagnosis of left acute central serous chorioretinopathy in 2009. On examination, his best-corrected visual acuity was 10/10 in OD and 5/10 in OS. Slit-lamp examination was unremarkable OU and intraocular pressure was within normal limits bilaterally. While the right fundus was normal, there was an orange-red choroidal mass with a size of 1.5 disk diameter in the left eye. On fundus autofluorescence imaging (Fig. 1a), the mass looked mostly hypoautofluorescent with ill-defined margins, and fluorescein angiogram (Fig. 1b) displayed the impaired retinal pigmented epithelium (RPE)-related patchy hyperfluorescence. Optical coherence tomography (OCT) depicted the presence of marked SRF (Fig. 1c). The patient was diagnosed with left CCH and treated with a single session of a standard dose of PDT. Intravenous verteporfin ( $6 \text{ mg/m}^2$ ) (Visudyne, Novartis Ophthalmicus, Hettlingen, Switzerland) was administered over a 10-min period. Five minutes after the completion of infusion, the laser was delivered at an intensity of 600 mw/cm<sup>2</sup> using a Reichel-Mainster 2 lens (Ocular Instruments, Bellevue, WA, USA) with a 3000-micron spot size. The treatment duration was 166 s. Fourteen years later, his visual acuity was 8/10 in the affected left eye. Although the previous SRF tract could be inferred from the pattern of RPE changes, there was no sign of SRF indicating the persistence of the residual or recurrent fluid (Fig. 2).

# Case 2

A 46-year-old healthy man was presented with a left visual loss of 3-month duration in January 2007. On examination, his best-corrected visual acuity was 10/10 in the right eye and 4/10 in the left eye. Slit-lamp examination was unremarkable OU and intraocular pressure were within



Fig. 1. Left eye (2009), ill-defined, peripapillary mostly hypoautofluorescent area showing the mass (a), Late phase of fluorescein angiogram depicting the hyperfluorescent lesion (b). Optical coherence tomographic section demonstrating the subfoveal extensive neurosensory detachment (c).



Fig. 2. Left eye (2023), color fundus image (a), and fundus autofluorescent images (b) depicting the mottled RPE changes. No sign of fluid was evident on optical coherence tomography (c).

normal limits bilaterally. On fundus examination, while the right fundus was normal, there was a prominent reddish choroidal mass of 4 disc diameter size and adjacent serous-looking retinal detachment in the left eye (Fig. 3a). Fluorescein angiographically, the mass looked hyperfluorescent with hypofluorescent margins (Fig. 3b). OCT revealed the presence of extensive serous detachment neighboring the mass (Fig. 3c). The patient was treated with a single session of PDT at an intensity of 100 J/cm2 for 166 s 5 min after the 10-min verteporfin infusion at the dose of 6 mg/m<sup>2</sup> with the diagnosis of left CCH. Two overlapping spots were administered to cover the whole tumor by exceeding its edges by approximately 1000  $\mu$ . The treatment duration was 166 s. Thirteen years later, left vision was 10/10. On fundus examination, regressed CH with residual RPE changes were noted (Fig. 4a). Besides the presence of choroidal mass, there was no evidence of active fluid tomographically (Figures 4b and c).

## Discussion

Although CCH is a benign tumor, affected patients may experience visual deterioration. The aim of the treatment is to protect the integrity of the macula by eliminating the subfoveal fluid and CME if present and not to destroy the tumor such as the case in choroidal melanoma. TTT, laser photocoagulation, proton beam radiation therapy, external beam radiation therapy, cryotherapy, or plaque brachytherapy can be utilized to deal with CCHs.<sup>[8]</sup> When PDT was first administered in 2000, it was successful in treating both acute and chronic exudative retinal



Fig. 3. Left eye (2007), A prominent reddish choroidal mass of 4 disc diameter size and adjacent serous-looking retinal detachment in the left eye (a). Late phase of fluorescein angiogram delineating the hyperfluorescent mass with relatively ill-defined margins (b). Time domain optical coherence tomographic section demonstrating the presence of extensive serous detachment (c).



Fig. 4. Left eye (2020), color picture revealing the slightly regressed choroidal hemangioma with some residual RPE changes (a). OCT section depicting the normal foveal contour (b). OCT section over the mass without any evidence of fluid (c).

detachments in association with CCHs by preventing field loss, slightly flattening the tumor, reducing the SRF, and thereby improving the visual acuity.<sup>[9-11]</sup> Studies consisting of 10 or more CCH patients treated with PDT are summarized in Table 1.<sup>[12-21]</sup> PDT affects the neuroretinal structures selectively.<sup>[22]</sup> Nowadays, PDT seems to be the most preferred option in eyes with CCH and associated serous detachment and facilitates the selective treatment of pathologic vessels and thereby results in the resorption of the serous fluid with relatively less collateral retinal damage. Thus, visual improvement is usually achieved with a low recurrence and complication rate.<sup>[22]</sup> PDT can be administered as a single session or multiple sessions,<sup>[23]</sup> double dose,<sup>[24,25]</sup> standard dose,<sup>[12]</sup> half dose,<sup>[26]</sup> or half-fluence dose.<sup>[27]</sup> Although the exact mechanism of the PDT in CCH is still not understood, it is hypothesized that choriocapillaris sclerosis ensues following the treatment due to occlusion of the tumor's arteries, thereby reducing the leakage.<sup>[6]</sup> PDT is more particularly effective in eyes where the hemangioma lies underneath the fovea when

Table 1. Manuscripts	reporting 10 or mo	ore circumscribed cho	roidal hemangioma	oatients trea	ited with photo	dynamic therapy		
Author "Year"	Number of patients	Mean Age	Treatment Dose	Session (Min-Max)	Anatomic Success	Visual Success (Stable or improved)	Recurrence	Complication
Jurklies et al. <sup>[12]</sup> "2003"	19	51	Standard protocol	1–5	18 (94.8%)	18 (94.8%) 14 eyes-	N/A	No
Zhang et al <sup>[13]</sup> "2010"	25	17	Standard protocol	1-2	25 (100%)	improved 4 eyes-stable 21 (84%) 14 eves-	N/A	No
	1	:		l		improved 7 stable		
Michels et al. <sup>[14]</sup> "2005"	15	N/A	Standard protocol	1-4	15 (100%)	15 (100%) 13 eyes-	No	ocal loss of choroid
						improved 2 eyes-stable		and RPE in all cases
Verbraak et al. <sup>[15]</sup> "2003"	13	50	Standard protocol	1–2	13 (100%)	13 (100%) 11 eyes-	No	N/A
						improved 2 eyes-stable		
Lee et al. <sup>[16]</sup> "2019"	17 (7 standard	52 for the double	Standard dose	N/A	<b>Complete SRF</b>	Visual improvement	2 patients only in	No
	dose verteporfin	dose group and	or double dose		resorption 80%	was not statistically	the standard dose	
	group, 10 double	51 for the standard	protocol		(double dose	significant between	group	
	dose group)	dose group			group) 57%	the two groups		
					(standard dose			
					group)			
Schmidt-Erfurth et al. <sup>[17</sup>	] 15	53	Standard Protocol	1-4	15 (100%)	15 (100%)	No	Focal choroidal
"2002"						13 eyes-improved		atrophy in 7 cases
						2 eyes-stable		
Blasi et al. <sup>[18]</sup> "2010"	25	54	Standard Protocol	1–2	25 (100%)	22 (88%)	No	No
						19 eyes-improved		
						3 eyes-stable		
Porrini et al. <sup>[19]</sup> "2003"	10	N/A (Range: 38–64)	Standard Protocol	1–3	10 (100%)	10 (100%)	No	Intraretinal edema
								in 2 cases
Jamison et al. <sup>[20]</sup> "2018"	17	56	Standard protocol	1–2	17 (100%)	17 (100%)	N/A	No
Singh et al. <sup>[21]</sup> "2004"	10	53	Standard protocol	1–2	10 (100%)	8 (80%) 4 eyes-improved	N/A	Choroidal atrophy
						4 eyes-stable		in 2 cases
N/A· Not annlicable. RPF· Ratina	al Picment Enithelium: SR	E. Suhratinal Eluid						

ral	
he	
UT U	
Ē	
ar	
5	•
g	
đ	
Ĝ	
Ę	
Ę	
<u>ح</u>	
Ę	
ea	
Ę	
ts	
P	
ati	
õ	
Ja	
5	
ġ	1
an	
Ĕ	
ē	
Ì	
ő	
ō	
ō	
ъ	
b	
ä	
5	
ĩ	
Ľ	
<u> </u>	
0	
μ	
Ĕ	
ž	
ŏ	
Ē	
g	1
Ŀ	
8	
ē	
t	
<u>i</u>	
Ď	
ñ	
an	
Σ	
_•	
6	

163

compared to other treatment techniques as PDT can be repeatable and has fewer side-effects than the other treatment modalities.<sup>[28]</sup>

## Conclusion

Verteporfin PDT is a safe and effective therapy for the treatment of CCH and restores visual function without causing any apparent ocular or systemic side effects in many eyes.

**Informed Consent:** Written informed consent was obtained from the patient for the publication of the case report.

Peer-review: Externally peer-reviewed.

**Authorship Contributions:** Concept: D.O.; Design: A.O.S.; Supervision: A.O.S.; Resource: D.O., A.O.S.; Materials: D.O.; Data Collection and/or Processing: D.O.; Analysis and/or Interpretation: D.O.; Literature Search: D.O.; Writing: D.O., A.O.S.; Critical Reviews: D.O., A.O.S.

Conflict of Interest: None declared.

Use of AI for Writing Assistance: Not declared.

**Financial Disclosure:** The authors declared that this study received no financial support.

## References

- 1. Berry M, Lucas LJ. Circumscribed choroidal hemangioma: A case report and literature review. J Optom 2017;10:79–83.
- Shields CL, Honavar SG, Shields JA, Cater J, Demirci H. Circumscribed choroidal hemangioma: Clinical manifestations and factors predictive of visual outcome in 200 consecutive cases. Ophthalmology 2001;108:2237–48. [CrossRef]
- Sen M, Honavar SG. Circumscribed choroidal hemangioma: An overview of clinical manifestation, diagnosis and management. Indian J Ophthalmol 2019;67:1965–73. [CrossRef]
- Witschel H, Font RL. Hemangioma of the choroid. A clinicopathologic study of 71 cases and a review of the literature. Surv Ophthalmol 1976;20:415–31. [CrossRef]
- 5. Karimi S, Nourinia R, Mashayekhi A. Circumscribed choroidal hemangioma. J Ophthalmic Vis Res 2015;10:320–8. [CrossRef]
- García Caride S, Fernández-Vigo JI, Valverde-Megías A. Update on the diagnosis and treatment of choroidal hemangioma. Arch Soc Esp Oftalmol (Engl Ed) 2023;98:281–91. [CrossRef]
- Lupidi M, Centini C, Castellucci G, Nicolai M, Lassandro N, Cagini C, et al. New insights on circumscribed choroidal hemangioma: "Bench to bedside". Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol 2023;262:1093–110. [CrossRef]
- Anand R, Augsburger JJ, Shields JA. Circumscribed choroidal hemangiomas. Arch Ophthalmol 1989;107:1338–42. [CrossRef]
- 9. Sheidow TG, Harbour JW. Photodynamic therapy for circumscribed choroidal hemangioma. Can J Ophthalmol

2002;37:314-7. [CrossRef]

- Barbazetto I, Schmidt-Erfurth U. Photodynamic therapy of choroidal hemangioma: Two case reports. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol 2000;238:214–21. [CrossRef]
- 11. Madreperla SA. Choroidal hemangioma treated with photodynamic therapy using verteporfin. Arch Ophthalmol 2001;119:1606–10. [CrossRef]
- Jurklies B, Anastassiou G, Ortmans S, Schüler A, Schilling H, Schmidt-Erfurth U, et al. Photodynamic therapy using verteporfin in circumscribed choroidal haemangioma. Br J Ophthalmol 2003;87:84–9. [CrossRef]
- Zhang Y, Liu W, Fang Y, Qian J, Xu G, Wang W, et al. Photodynamic therapy for symptomatic circumscribed macular choroidal hemangioma in Chinese patients. Am J Ophthalmol 2010;150:710–5.e1. [CrossRef]
- Michels S, Michels R, Simader C, Schmidt-Erfurth U. Verteporfin therapy for choroidal hemangioma: A long-term follow-up. Retina 2005;25:697–703. [CrossRef]
- 15. Verbraak FD, Schlingemann RO, Keunen JE, de Smet MD. Longstanding symptomatic choroidal hemangioma managed with limited PDT as initial or salvage therapy. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol 2003;241:891–8. [CrossRef]
- Lee JH, Lee CS, Lee SC. Efficacy of double dose photodynamic therapy for circumscribed choroidal hemangioma. Retina 2019;39:392–7. [CrossRef]
- Schmidt-Erfurth UM, Michels S, Kusserow C, Jurklies B, Augustin AJ. Photodynamic therapy for symptomatic choroidal hemangioma: Visual and anatomic results. Ophthalmology 2002;109:2284–94. [CrossRef]
- Blasi MA, Tiberti AC, Scupola A, Balestrazzi A, Colangelo E, Valente P, et al. Photodynamic therapy with verteporfin for symptomatic circumscribed choroidal hemangioma: Five-year outcomes. Ophthalmology 2010;117:1630–7. [CrossRef]
- Porrini G, Giovannini A, Amato G, Ioni A, Pantanetti M. Photodynamic therapy of circumscribed choroidal hemangioma. Ophthalmology 2003;110:674–80. [CrossRef]
- 20. Jamison A, Cauchi P, Gilmour DF. Photodynamic therapy for circumscribed choroidal haemangioma in a scottish cohort. Ocul Oncol Pathol 2018;4:322–30. [CrossRef]
- 21. Singh AD, Kaiser PK, Sears JE, Gupta M, Rundle PA, Rennie IG. Photodynamic therapy of circumscribed choroidal haemangioma. Br J Ophthalmol 2004;88:1414–8. [CrossRef]
- 22. Alshehri WM, AlAhmadi BO, Alhumaid F, Khoshhal MS, Khawaji ZY, AlHabuobi H, et al. Safety and efficacy of photodynamic therapy in the treatment of circumscribed choroidal hemangioma: A systematic review. Cureus 2023;15:e50461.
- Vicuna-Kojchen J, Banin E, Averbukh E, Barzel I, Shulman M, Hemo I, et al. Application of the standard photodynamic treatment protocol for symptomatic circumscribed choroidal hemangioma. Ophthalmologica 2006;220:351–5. [CrossRef]
- 24. Byeon HJ, Lee JH, Lee J, Choi EY, Kim YJ, Lee SC, et al.

Therapeutic effect of modified double-dose photodynamic therapy in circumscribed choroidal haemangioma. Br J Ophthalmol 2023;107:425–9. [CrossRef]

- 25. Pellegrini M, Staurenghi G, Mambretti M, Preziosa C. Double fluence photodynamic therapy for the treatment of circumscribed choroidal hemangioma. Retina 2022;42:767– 74. [CrossRef]
- 26. Pérez-González D, Goldstein M, Iglicki M, Zur D. Half-dose photodynamic therapy as a novel treatment protocol

for circumscribed choroidal hemangioma. Life (Basel) 2022;12:1748. [CrossRef]

- 27. Kumar A, Kumar P, Mishra SK, Jhanawar M, Gupta A, Sathagopam S. Half-fluence photodynamic therapy in peripapillary circumscribed choroidal haemangiomas. Int Ophthalmol 2022;42:1605–12. [CrossRef]
- 28. Ayhan Z, Yaman A, Oner FH, Saatci AO. Photodynamic theraphy in choroidal hemangiomas: Case report. Turk Klin J Ophthalmol 2010;19:58–62.