

The Evaluation of Child Sexual Abuse: Child Advocacy Center Example

Çocuk Cinsel İstismarının Değerlendirilmesi: Çocuk İzlem Merkezi Örneği

¹Giresun University Prof. Dr. A. İlhan Özdemir Training and Research Hospital, Clinic of Child Advocacy Center, Giresun, Turkey ²Şişli Hamidiye Etfal Training and Research Hospital, Clinic of Child Advocacy Center, İstanbul, Turkey ³Giresun University Faculty of Health Sciences, Department of Child Health Nursing, Giresun, Turkey ⁴Gümüşhane University Faculty of Health Sciences, Department of Nursing, Gümüşhane, Turkey

ABSTRACT

Objective: The purpose of the research was to evaluate the child sexual abuse cases admitted to the Child Advocacy Center (CAC) in terms of the child, family, and abuser, and to identify the associated factors.

Method: The data were collected retrospectively and descriptively from the cases in the CAC using the juridical reports, family interview reports Provincial Directorate of Family and Social Policies representative reports and the data collection form developed by the researchers.

Results: Within the scope of the research, 175 child victims of sexual abuse were evaluated According to this; the mean age of the abused children was in the study was 13.33±3.33, 81.7% were girls, and 42.9% were high school students. According to the results of the study, 34.3% of the children were diagnosed with mental illness. Among the mothers and fathers included in the study, 76% of the mothers and 33.7% of the fathers are not working and also 85.1% of the mothers and 79.5% of the fathers are primary school graduates. In addition, 60% of families have a low income level. It was determined that 57.7% of the children were exposed to abuse many times and irregularly, 40.6% were exposed to sexual touching, 35.4% were exposed to penetration, and 44% medical examination.

Conclusion: The study showed that family dynamics play a pivotal role in the sexual abuse of children, and low socio-economic/education level and being an adolescent girl is among the significant factors.

Keywords: Child, family, sexual abuse, child advocacy center

Ö7

Amaç: Araştırmanın amacı Çocuk İzlem Merkezi'ne başvuran çocuk cinsel istismarı olgularını çocuk, aile ve istismarcı açısından değerlendirmek ve ilişkili faktörlerini belirlemektir.

Yöntem: Çocuk İzlem Merkezi'ne gelen olgular adli, aile ve Aile Sosyal Politikalar İl Müdürlüğü temsilcisinin görüşme raporları, araştırmacılar tarafından oluşturulan veri toplama formu ile retrospektif tanımlayıcı olarak toplandı.

Bulgular: Araştırma kapsamında, cinsel istismar mağduru 175 mağdur çocuk değerlendirilmiştir. Buna göre; çocukların yaş ortalaması 13,33±3,33, %81,7'si kız ve %42,9'u lisede öğrenim görmektedir. Çocukların %34,3'ü ruhsal hastalık tanısı almıştır. Annelerin %76'sı, babaların %33,7'si çalışmıyor. Annelerin %85,1'i babaların %79,5'i ilköğretim mezunudur. Ailelerin %60'ı düşük gelir düzeyine sahiptir. Çocukların %57,7'sinin birçok kez ve düzensiz şekilde istismara maruz kaldığı, %40,6'sının cinsel dokunma, %35,4'ünün penetrasyon olacak şeklinde maruz edildiği, %44'üne ic beden muayenesi yapıldığı belirlenmistir.

Sonuç: Çocuğa yönelik uygulanan cinsel istismarda, aile dinamiklerinin önemli rol oynadığı, düşük sosyo-ekonomik ve eğitim düzeyinin, ergenlik döneminde ve kız çocuğu olmanın çocuk cinsel istismarında önemli faktörü olduğu belirlenmiştir.

Anahtar kelimeler: Çocuk, aile, cinsel istismar, çocuk izlem merkezi

Received: 13.06.2021 Accepted: 25.10.2021

Corresponding Author

Fadime Üstüner Top Assoc. Prof.
Giresun University Faculty of Health
Sciences, Department of Child
Health Nursing, Giresun, Turkey

☐ fadikom@hotmail.com
ORCID: 0000-0002-7341-5704

Cite as: Bayrak NG, Akpınar D, Üstüner Top F, Uzun S. The Evaluation of Child Sexual Abuse: Child Advocacy Center Example. J Dr Behcet Uz Child Hosp. 2022;12(1):67-75

INTRODUCTION

Child sexual abuse is a serious violation of children's rights and a global danger including medical, legal, and psychosocial dimensions that every child is at risk of encountering worldwide. Child sexual abuse is the involvement of a child or a teenager in sexual activity that s/he is not developmentally prepared, cannot understand the outcomes, give informed consent, and resist due to legal and/or social taboos (1). The term sexual abuse refers to a wide spectrum of violent behaviors (rape, physical harm, murder, etc.), and non-touching (verbal abuse, obscene telephone conversation, talking about sexuality openly, exhibitionism, voyeurism, exposure to auditory sexual activity) or touching (stroking, genital stimulation, oral stimulation, pornographic intercourse) acts (1,2).

Society is only as aware of the true extent of childhood sexual abuse and neglect as the tip of the iceberg. Reported sexual abuse cases constitute only a small part of all existing cases ⁽³⁾. This is because children who are victims of sexual abuse tend to keep it as a secret because of feelings like guilt, fear and shame. Sexual abuse is a dreadful experience that makes disclosure difficult for the victim due to the community perspective they will face ^(2,4). It is not something that the family and society can easily accept. Since child neglect and abuse usually occurs in secrecy, other people rarely witness them ⁽³⁾.

According to the statistics of the Child Advocacy Center (CAC) in the USA in 2017, 334,626 children were registered as victims of abuse. Of these children, 36% were boys, 64% were girls, 67% were sexually abused, 20% were physically abused, and 7.3% were neglected. The most abused age group was in the 7-12 age group, their rate was 38.6%, and 91.6% knew the abuser (5). According to 2018 data in Turkey, 21,068 cases applied to 30 CAC in 27 provinces between January 2011 and May 2016 and 85% of these cases are girls and 15% are boys (6).

Sexual abuse is a crime according to the law, which is subject to a legal investigation. It has been reported that the obligation of children to testify repeatedly during the investigation process causes additional stress in children and families. Particular attention should be paid to avoid additional trauma to the sexually abused child (1,7,8). For this reason, CAC was first established in the USA in 1988 to reduce the secondary emotional trauma caused by repeated forensic interviews. Since 2010, a growing number of CAC has also been established in various provinces in Turkey (9). These centers are places in which various professionals are involved in the process

as a multidisciplinary approach. The main identified aim of CACs is to prevent children from constantly having to testify in front of different authorities and to prevent them from being mentally worn out (8).

Experiencing sexual trauma in childhood is a shocking experience that deeply hurts the emotional world of the victims, radically changes their lives and has a lifelong impact Children are often exposed to some types of abuse or all types of abuse and neglect at the same time. Children who have been sexually abused often experience emotional abuse too (4,10,11). Sexual abuse, which affects the psychological, social, and cognitive development of children, is a universal problem that can be encountered at all ages, at every socio economic level, in every region, and in all kinds of ethnic groups and cultures (11).

Exposure of children to sexual abuse is an issue that has psychological and social consequences, legal and moral dimensions, and sanctions for themselves, their families, the abuser who attempted this crime, and society. Child sexual abuse and neglect is a problem that profoundly damages the concept of a "healthy society", which requires a social struggle. The primary goal in this struggle is to prevent child abuse and neglect. The underlying cause of child neglect and abuse is the complex interaction between various risk factors. Even is the presence of conditions considered as risk factors does not conclusively prove the existence of neglect and abuse, it provides the first data for early diagnosis and treatment (3,9,11). It is essential to identify the risk factors associated with abuse and neglect to struggle with the problem. Issues such as preventing and diagnosing child neglect and abuse, identifying risk groups, providing consultancy services are also important in terms of crisis management and provision of protective services (10,12).

Considering all these facts, this study was planned to make a general evaluation about the types of admission, reasons for admission, diagnoses, and associated risk factors for child sexual abuse cases at the CAC. As a result of the study, it is thought that the data obtained on the child, his family, and the abuser will contribute to the existing literature in taking protective and preventive measures against child sexual abuse.

MATERIALS and METHODS

Research Type

The study was conducted retrospectively and descriptively to evaluate the child sexual abuse cases admitted to the CAC in terms of the child, family, and the abuser, and to identify the associated factors.

Population-sample

No sample selection was performed in the study, and all cases who were admitted to the CAC between 11.02.2019 (the date the center was opened) and 20.08.2020 (the onset of collecting the data) included in the sample (n=175).

Data Collection Tools

The data were collected using a data collection form developed by the researchers including questions about the child, the abuser, and family dynamics like the age and gender of the child, economic status and education level of the family, type of abuse, the abuser, and the degree of relationship. The relevant information in the interview reports in the CAC was transferred to the data collection form.

Before starting the study, ethics committee approval was obtained from the Ethics Committee of the Gümüşhane University with the number 2020/06 and date 11.06.2020, as well as the institutional approval from the institution where the data of the study was collected.

Statistical Analysis

The evaluation of the data was made using the SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) 22.0 statistical package program. Descriptive statistical methods like numbers and percentage calculations and arithmetic mean were used in the analysis of the data.

RESULTS

Within the scope of the research, 175 children who were exposed to sexual abuse were evaluated. The study showed that the mean age of the victim children was 13.33±3.33, 81.7% were girls, and 42.9% were high school students. 34.3% had a mental illness, 57.7% of the parents lived together, 16.6% of the parents had a consanguineous marriage, 76% of the mothers and 33.7% of fathers were unemployed, and 13.7% of fathers had a criminal record. 16% of the victims had a family member with a disability, 85.1% of mothers and, 79.5% of fathers were primary school graduates, 48% did not have health insurance, and 60% had a low financial situation. In addition, 9.7% of the families of abused children had suicide case and 6.9% of those who committed suicide were sexually abused children (Table 1).

According to the children's statements, the mean age of the abusers was 26.22±17.86, and 97.7% were male.

Abusers was described as "lover/friend" by 33.7% of the victimized children, also 81.7% of the children were

only abused by one person and 57.7% were irregularly abused many times. The study shows that; 40.6% of the children were exposed to sexual touch, 35.4% were exposed to abused including penetration. In addition to all this 45.1% of those exposed to penetration had vaginal penetration, 44% had a medical examination and 2.9% were pregnant (Table 2).

According to our results, 36.6% of the people who reported the abuse were teachers, 74.3% of the families had a "protective" attitude towards the victim child, 52.6% of the families, and 58.3 of the victims filed a complaint against the abuser (Table 3).

"Counselling" was the protective injunction given to 83.4% of abused children (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

In the study, the child sexual abuse cases admitted to the CAC were evaluated. It is believed that identifying risk groups for sexual abuse and associated factors with a retrospective and descriptive study will be a guide to struggling against sexual abuse.

Discussing the Findings Regarding the Victim

In the study, most of the sexually abused children were found to be girls, and in some societies, being a girl is considered as a risk factor for sexual abuse (13). Similar studies also report that victims of abuse are mostly girls (14,15). In our study, children were mostly exposed to sexual abuse during adolescence. Literature has citations that being a girl during adolescence can be considered a significant risk factor for sexual abuse, which is consistent with our finding (14-16).

In the study, it was determined that 10.3% of the cases had a diagnosis of physical disease, 34.3% of them had a diagnosis of mental illness, and children with a diagnosis of depression, mood disorder and mental retardation were predominantly mental disorders. Malnutrition, moodiness, sleep problems, excessive crying, hyperactivity, behavioral disorders, chronic diseases, mental and physical problems are often observed in abused children (13,17). Various studies conducted with sexually abused children highlighted that a significant number of victims had diagnosed with a mental illness (18,19). The literature on sexual abuse cases report that children's perceptions of good and bad touch are distorted, their beliefs that they are loved through sexuality are reinforced, the victims blame themselves and feel lonely and desperate as they think that this situation only happens to them, they are exposed to threats and exploitation of emotions by their closest relatives, and their basic sense of trust is damaged (20,21).

Age (mean ± SD) Ila 33 ± 3.33 (minimum: 5; maximum: 18) - Presence of a mental illness Total control illness	Characteristics	n	%	Characteristics	n	%
Mace Machine Machine Machine Machine Machine Mace Machine						1.0
Pemale	Age (mean ± SD)		um: 18)		115	65.7
Female	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					1.7
Male 32 18.3 Mood disorders 9		143	817			9.1
Mental retardation 14						5.1
ADHD 7 Impulsive disorder 3 Dyslexia 2 Preschool 7 Preschool 7 Preschool 20 Preschool 20 Preschool 62 Secondary school 62 Secondary school 75 A2.9 Special education 7 A0 Diabetes 3 No education 4 Bilind 1 Growth retardation 1 Asthma 1 Consanguineous marriage Ves 29 Inc.6 Ves 29 Inc.6 Ves 42 Inc.7 No 149 Secondary school 149 Mother 2 Inc.7 No 149 Secondary school 17 Special education 1 Rathma 1 R		32	10.3			8.0
Impulsive disorder 3 Dyslexia 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Education level					4.0
Preschool 7						1.7
Preschool 7				•		1.1
Primary school 20	Duanalanal	7	/ 0			4.5
Secondary school 62 35.4 No 157 157 159 159 159 159 16.6 No 157 164 159 159 16.6 No 158 16.0 16.2 16.0						1.5
High school 75	•				157	89.7
Special education 7	•			1.12		6.3
No education	=					
Blind 1 Growth retardation 1 Asthma 1					3	1.7
Consanguineous marriage	No education	4	2.3			0.6
Asthma 1						0.6
Consanguineous marriage Yes 29 16.6 Yes 42 42 43 42 43 43 44 48 48 48 48 48					1	0.6
Yes					1	0.6
No						
Parents' criminal status Find the property of the father Primary and secondary school 149 85.1 Primary and secondary school 149 13.7	Yes	29		Yes	42	24
No	No	146	83.4	No	133	76
Mother	Parents' criminal status			Employment status of the father		
Mother 2 1.1 No 59 Having a sibling A family member with a disability Yes 28 No 17 9.7 No 147 Biological or Stepparents The status of parents being alive Biological 159 90.9 Both alive 157 Step 16 10.2 One of both parents deceased 18 Mother's education level Father's education level Primary and secondary school 149 85.1 Primary and secondary school 135 High school 24 13.7 High school 31 University 2 1.1 University 5 Health insurance Socioeconomic situation Yes 91 52 High Middle 67 No 84 48 Low 10! Suicide history Parents living together No 158 90.3 No 10!	No	149	86.2	Yes	116	66.3
Father 2 13.7	Mother	2	1.1			33.7
Yes 158 90.3 Yes 28 No 17 9.7 No 147 Biological or Stepparents The status of parents being alive Biological 159 90.9 Both alive 157 Step 16 10.2 One of both parents deceased 18 Mother's education level Father's education level Primary and secondary school 139 High school 31 University 2 1.1 University 5 Health insurance Socioeconomic situation High Middle 67 Low 10! Suicide history Parents living together No 158 90.3 No Parents living together	Father	2	13.7	140		33.7
No	Having a sibling			A family member with a disability		
The status of parents being alive 159 90.9 Both alive 157 Step 16 10.2 One of both parents deceased 18 Mother's education level Father's education level Primary and secondary school 149 85.1 Primary and secondary school 139 High school 31 University 2 1.1 University 5 Socioeconomic situation 139 High school 149 13.7 High school 31 University 5 Socioeconomic situation 158 48 Middle 67 Low 105 Suicide history Parents living together 158 90.3 Mother 2 1.1 Yes 101	Yes	158	90.3	Yes	28	16.0
Biological 159	No	17	9.7	No	147	84.0
Step 16 10.2 One of both parents deceased 18 Mother's education level Father's education level Primary and secondary school 149 85.1 Primary and secondary school 139 High school 24 13.7 High school 31 University 2 1.1 University 5 Health insurance Socioeconomic situation Yes 91 52 High Middle 67 Low 109 Suicide history Parents living together No 158 90.3 Mother 2 1.1 Yes 101	Biological or Stepparents	3		The status of parents being alive		
Mother's education level Father's education level Father's education level	Biological	159	90.9	Both alive	157	89.7
Primary and secondary school 149		16	10.2	One of both parents deceased	18	10.3
High school 24 13.7 High school 31 University 5	Mother's education level			Father's education level		
High school 24	Primary and secondary so	chool 149	85.1	Primary and secondary school	139	79.5
University 2			13.7		31	17.7
Socioeconomic situation	=	2	1.1	_	5	2.9
Yes 91 52 High 3 No 84 48 High 67 Low 105 Suicide history No 158 90.3 Mother 2 1.1 Yes 101						
Yes 91 52 Middle 67 No 84 48 Low 105 Suicide history No 158 90.3 Mother 2 1.1 Yes 101					3	1.7
No 84 48 Low 105 Suicide history Parents living together No 158 90.3 Mother 2 1.1 Yes 101	Yes	91	52			38.3
Suicide history Parents living together No 158 90.3 Mother 2 1.1 Yes 101	No	84	48			60
No 158 90.3 101 Yes 101	Suicide history				103	30
Mother 2 1.1 Yes 101	-	15.0	00.3	i arents traing together		
				V ₂ z	101	[F
						57.7
Father 3 1.7 No 74 Victim child 12 6.9				NO	/4	42.3

Characteristics	n	%	Characteristics	n	%
Age					
Gender of the abuser			The number of abuses		
Female	2	1.1	Once	61	34.9
Male	171	97.7	More than once	101	57.7
Female and male	2	1.1	Regularly	13	7.4
Degree of relationship with the abuser			The number of abusers		
Family (biological)	16	9.1	1	143	81.7
Family (step)	7	4.0			13.7
Close relative	24	13.7	2-3	24	
Neighbor	32	19.4			
Lover/friend	59	33.7	4+	8	4.6
Stranger	24	13.7	Pregnancy		
Teacher	9	5.1	Yes	5	2.9
	4	22	No	162	92.6
More than one person		2.3	Suspected	8	4.6
Types of abuse			Penetration location (n=62)		
Penetration	62	35.4	Vaginal	28	45.1
Verbal abuse	3	1.7	Anal	17	27.4
Exhibitionism	7	4.0			27.4
Pornography	2	1.1			
Sexual touch	71	40.6			
Genital touch	2	1.1	Anal and vaginal	17	
Medical examination	•	,			
Yes	77	44.0			
No	98	56.0			

Table 3. Attitudes of the families of child victims towards abuse					
Characteristics	n	%	Characteristics	n	%
The reporter of the abuse		·	Family attitude to child	d victim*	
Victim	16	9.1			
Family	50	28.6	Protective	130	74.3
Teacher	64	36.6	Rejecting	12	6.8
Physician	22	12.6	Accusatory	16	9.1
Relative/neighbor	22	12.6	Not accepting	25	9.8
Police	1	0.6			
Family filing a complaint			Victim filing a complaint		
Yes	92	52.6	Yes	102	58.3
No	43	24.6	No	55	31.4
Indecisive	//0	22.0	Indecisive	5	2.9
	40	22.8	No reasoning	13	7.4
*More than one option has bee	n chosen	,		,	1

Table 4. The protective injunctions given for the abuse				
Characteristics*	n	%		
Providing care	37	21.1		
Staying with the family	133	76.0		
Health care	52	24.7		
Counseling	146	83.4		
Social investigation	31	17.7		
Education	11	6.3		
*More than one option has been chosen				

The families of the victims are questioned about whether their children have been diagnosed with a mental disorder or not when they are admitted to CACs, and health care injunctions are provided when necessary. After the health care measures, some cases are also diagnosed with mental disorders, so it is thought that the actual rates are higher than the findings obtained in our study.

Discussing the Findings Regarding the Family

Most of the parents in the study were alive and significant number of parents were separated or divorced. In similar studies in the literature, the parents of sexually abused children are generally separated, and the rate of parental loss is higher than the rest of the society (22,23). It is believed that family dynamics play a critical role in child abuse and that not only the divorced or separated parents but also the absence of one or both may cause the parents to lose their authority over the child, and this situation will pave the way for the children to experience in appropriate situations for their developmental level.

The rate of consanguineous marriage in the study was found to be 16.6%. Metin (24) found the rate of consanguineous marriage in families of sexually abused children as 17.8%, and Bhatta and Haque (25) found that women who had consanguineous marriages were exposed to domestic violence more than the others. In addition to consanguineous marriage between parents, factors such as low income, education level and violence can lead to child neglect and then sexual abuse cases.

The families in the study mostly had a low-income level, and most of the mothers were unemployed. Being a family with a low-income level is a risk factor for the exposure of children to sexual abuse (14,23,26); however, some studies suggest that that child sexual abuse is not related to socioeconomic status and can occur at any socioeconomic level (27,28). In national studies, child abuse is generally reported to be more common in families

with middle- or low-income levels (29,30). Therefore, to obtain more objective and concrete data, further comprehensive studies with large samples including regional and cultural differences are recommended.

In this study, 13.7% of the fathers had a criminal record. In a study by Cetin and Altıner ⁽²³⁾, the rate of a criminal record was reported to be 18% in the family members of sexually abused children. Having a criminal record of any of the family members can be considered a significant factor for the possibility of repetition of the crime and harming the family members.

Within the scope of protective and preventive interventions especially in primary health care services; it is thought that the evaluation of risk factors for crime in the family and the provision of supportive trainings such as anger control, problem solving skills and coping methods to risky family members will play a role in reducing the crime rate.

Most of the parents in the study were primary school graduates, which is parallel with the literature reporting that families with low education levels constitute a risk group for child sexual abuse (14,31). The reason for this situation may be because families with low education levels have an inadequate level of awareness of abuse and neglect of their children due to financial problems.

It was determined that 9.7% of family members committed suicide and 6.9% of those who committed suicide were sexually abused children. In similar studies in the literature, a history of abuse was seen in adolescents who attempted suicide (32,33). Adolescents aged 13-18 years can experience substance addiction, running away from home, suicide attempts, and social withdrawal (13). It can be assumed that sexually abused children cannot cope with their negative emotions and consider suicide an escape.

In the study, it was determined that the majority of parents (74.3%) showed a protective approach against

sexual abuse. Child sexual abuse can negatively affect not only the victims, but also the families of the children and even the clinicians working with them (34). Learning of the child's sexual abuse by the parents can generally create feelings of anger and disappointment in the parents, and it can also cause the parents to question whether the abuse is the result of their own neglect and their parenting style. Parents may develop mixed feelings such as anger towards their children or shame with the thought that they tarnish the family name, and they may hesitate to love and touch their children (35). Difficulties in believing the case, indecision or denial in the parents after learning about the child's sexual abuse are common reactions that cause psychological distress and inconsistency (36). Studies have found that parent' attitudes towards abuse are based on various factors, and these factors are related to how mothers learn about abuse, the size of the crime committed, the consequences of hiding the abuse, and the level of stress. In addition, the poor care history of children, sociodemographic characteristics, psychosocial support and coping methods also affect the reactions of mothers to the traumatic situation (37,38). As it is known, health professionals working in CAC evaluate family dynamics, inform families, and offer suggestive approaches to reduce parents' fears and concerns. It is thought that the communication and interaction between health professionals and parents for the best interests of the child plays an important role in parents' protective approach towards their children.

Discussing the Findings of Abuse

The mean age of the abusers in the study was found to be 26.22±17.86, and most of them were male. Child victims often described the abuser as a lover/friend, and they reported exposure to sexual abuse many times. Güney (39) indicated that 37.6% of the sexually abused adolescents abuses were their family members (parents, stepparents, siblings, relatives), and 62.4% reported a stranger as their abuser. A similar study found that most children were exposed to sexual abuse more than once (40). It is known that the age of the victim, the frequency of abuse, the occurrence of the act by force, the presence of penetration, and the familiarity of the abuser cause more destructive and permanent effects on the victim (41). The degree of relationship with the abuser is among the most critical variables associated with post-traumatic psychopathology, and those who are sexually abused by familiar person blame themselves more and have more difficulty in building trust again. Therefore, it is thought that child victims will be in a risk group in terms

of mental disorders at later ages. Exposure to sexual abuse within the family adversely affects family integrity, creates a family crisis, and may lead to the blaming and exclusion of the victim child ⁽⁴²⁾. Children tend to trust a person they know more easily, which makes them more vulnerable to these people, which is a risk factor.

Many children in this study were exposed to sexual abuse in the form of sexual touch or penetration. Most of those sexually abused were exposed to vaginal penetration, and medical examination was performed in most of the cases. In a study conducted by Imren Gökçe et al. (33) with sexually abused children and adolescents, it was found that 56.1% of the sexual abuse occurred by touching, caressing, and rubbing, 36.7% of girls were exposed to vaginal penetration, and 38.5% of boys were exposed to anal penetration, in another study 58.3% of the cases were exposed to penetration, and 41.7% were exposed to sexual touch (39). The findings in this current study are consistent with the similar studies.

Discussion of Findings on the Interlocutory Injunction

Considering the best interests of the child, within the scope of Child Protection Law No 5395, the protective injunction is given ⁽⁴³⁾. The protective injunction, including counseling, was given for most child victims in the study, and health care injunction, institutional care, social investigation, and education are among the other protective injunction types.

All children taken into institutional care in the study had been exposed to serious abuse, and their family dynamics were not at the desired level 83.4% of the cases were offered counseling injuction on issues such as family and child communication, adolescence characteristics, and risk factors, and in line with the observations of the forensic interviewer, health care injunctions were applied in some cases when necessary. It was thought that families with lower level of education might have limited awareness of child sexual abuse and also low socioeconomic status might also have formed the basis for this situation. It was determined that 83.4% of the cases were offered counseling injuction on issues such as communication between the family and the child, adolescence characteristics and risk factors, and also health care injunctions were taken when deemed necessary in line with the observations of the forensic interviewer. CACs are centers where children who are victims of abuse and their families are evaluated holistically, risk factors are determined and necessary precautions are taken for the best interests of

the child and therefore they are regarded as effective organizations in this respect.

Study Limitations

The main identified limitation of the study is that the data in the study is limited to the information obtained from the interview reports of the cases admitted to the CAC. In a larger sample, multicenter and multidisciplinary studies can be planned.

CONCLUSION

The study revealed that being a girl and being in the adolescent age group are significant factors for exposure to sexual abuse and that low education and economic status of parents are among the other associated factors for child sexual abuse. The majority of sexually abused children experience repeated victimization. After all these considerations, within the scope of protective injuctions, it is recommended to provide children training programs covering subjects like distinguishing between good and bad touch patterns, asking for help, family commutation and possible risk factors of adolescence, reporting abuse, etc. in accordance with their development level. Besides, there is a need for counseling training on the establishment of strong family dynamics, communication with the child, possible risk factors, symptoms that can be seen in sexually abused children, the approach to the child and how to report abuse etc.

Ethics

Ethics Committee Approval: Before starting the study, ethics committee approval was obtained from the Ethics Committee of the Gümüşhane University with the number 2020/06 and date 11.06.2020, as well as the institutional approval from the institution where the data of the study was collected.

Informed Consent: Since our study had a retrospective design, informed consent was not obtained from the patients.

Peer-review: Externally and internally peer-reviewed.

Author Contributions

Surgical and Medical Practices: N.G.B., D.A., Concept: N.G.B., D.A., F.Ü.T., Design: N.G.B., D.A., F.Ü.T., S.U., Data Collection and/or Processing: N.G.B., D.A., F.Ü.T., Analysis and/or Interpretation: F.Ü.T., S.U., Literature Search: N.G.B., D.A., F.Ü.T., S.U., Writing: N.G.B., F.Ü.T., S.U.

Conflict of Interest: The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared that this study has received no financial support.

REFERENCES

- Nathanson M, Heger AH. The Pathology of Trauma: The phsysically and emotionally abused child, sexual violence. Mason JK, Purdue BN (Eds.), 2000; 3rd ed., pp. 155-190. London: Arnold Pub.
- Hansen DJ, Wilson KR Child sexual abuse. In BL Cutler (Ed.), Encyclopedia of Psychology and Law. 2008; 85-89. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- 3. Leventhal JM, Asnes AG. Child Maltreatment: From Neglect to Abuse. Rudolph C D, Rudolph AM, Lister GE, First LR, Gershon AA, Leventhal JM. (Eds.). Rudolph Pediatrics. 2013;22 nd ed., 1:137-143). Ankara: Günes Medical Bookstores.
- Theimer K, Hansen DJ. Child Sexual Abuse: Stigmatization of Victims and Suggestions for Clinicians. The Behavior Therapist. 2018;41:213-9. https://www.abct.org/Journals/?m=mJournal&fa=TBT
- National Children's Alliance (2017). National Annual Report. (Retrieved: 18.12.2018), https://www.nationalchildrensalliance. org/wp-content/uploads/2018/04/2017-NCA-Annual-Report-2. pdf
- Polat O. Child Abuse Report- in Turkey-2. 2018. http://cohum. giresun.edu.tr/Files/ckFiles/cohum-giresun-edu-tr/RAPOR-%C3%87OCUK-%C4%B0ST%C4%B0SMARI-tam_v2_cleancopy. pdf
- Olafson E. A Call for Field-Relevant Research about Child Forensic Interviewing for Child Protection. Journal of Child Sex Abuse. 2012;21(1):109-29. https://doi.org/10.1080/10538712.2012. 642469.
- Theimer K, Mii A, Sonnen E, McCoy K, Meidlinger K, Biles B, et al. Identifying and addressing barriers to treatment for child sexual abuse survivors and their non-offending caregivers. Aggression and Violent Behavior. 2020;52:101418. doi: 10.1016/j. avb.2020.101418.
- Danis MZ, Aygün M, Karasu M, Danis Y. Children Advocacy Centers and Social Work. The Journal of Academic Social Science. 2019;7(8):518-28. http://dx.doi.org/10.16992/ASOS.14785.
- Raws P. Adolescent Neglect: Messages from Research for Policy and Practice. Child Abuse Review. 2019;28:240-7. https://doi. org/10.1002/car.2560.
- Lalor K, McElvaney R. Overview of the nature and extent of child sexual abuse in Europe. In M. DeBoer-Buquicchio (Ed.), Council of Europe, 'Protecting children from sexual violence- A comprehensive approach'. Strasbourg: Council of Europe. 2010.
- Chen M, Chan KL. Effects of Parenting Programs on Child Maltreatment Prevention: A Meta-Analysis. Trauma Violence Abuse. 2016;17(1):88-104. doi: 10.1177/1524838014566718.
- 13. Gürhan N. Child Abuse and Neglect in All Aspects. (1st ed.). 2015. Ankara: Nobel Medical Publications.
- 14. Zengin BY. Evaluation and proposed solutions for the sexual abuse cases among 9-17 age groups during the forensic medical dissertation. Istanbul University. The Department of Forensic Medicine at Istanbul University Medical School. 2014.
- Bag O, Alsen S. The evaluation of child sexual abuse in a child advocacy center: One year experience of a center. Alpha Psychiatry. 2017;18(1):62-68. doi: 10.5455/apd.214951.

- McElvaney R, Moore K, O'Reilly K, Turner R, Walsh B, Guerine S. Child Sexual Abuse Disclosures: Does Age Make a Difference? Child Abuse Negl. 2020;99:104121. doi: 10.1016/j.chiabu.2019.104121.
- Spataro J, Mullen PE, Burgess PM, Wells DL, Moss SA. Impact of child sexual abuse on mental health: prospective study in males and females. Br J Psychiatry. 2004;184(5):416-21. doi: 10.1192/ bjp.184.5.416.
- 18. Soylu N, Şentürk Pilan B, Ayaz M, Sönmez S. Study of factors affecting mental health in sexually abused children and adolescent. Anatolian Journal of Psychiatry. 2012;13(4): 292-8. Available at: https://www.proquest.com/openview/b66af4c265b 773430f2ecba69ee6b6bb/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=136214
- Ayraler Taner H, Cetin FH, Isık Y, Iseri E. Psychopathology in abused children and adolescents and related risk factors. Anatolian Journal of Psychiatry. 2015;16(4):294-300. Available at: https://go.gale.com/ps/i.do?id=GALE%7CA558814665&sid= googleScholar&v=2.1&it=r&linkaccess=abs&issn=13026631&p= AONE&sw=w&userGroupName=anon%7E7899bf90
- Bozbeyoğlu AC, Koyuncu E, Kardam F, Sungur A. The dark side of the family incest in Turkey. Journal of Sociological Research. 2010;13(1):1-37. https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/pub/sosars/ issue/11403/136141
- 21. Maniglio R. The impact of child sexual on health: A systematic review of reviews. Clin Psychol Rev. 2009;29(7):647-57. doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2009.08.003.
- 22. Türkmen SN, Sevinç I, Kırlı Ö, Erkul I, Kandemir, T. Profiles of sexually abused girls. Journal of Behçet Uz Children's Hospital. 2017;7(3):197-202. doi: 10.5222/buchd.2017.197.
- Cetin K, Altıner H. Sociodemographical evaluation of children with sexual abuse. Necmettin Erbakan University Eregli Faculty of Education Journal. 2019;1(12):135-147. doi:10.5222/ buchd.2021.58265.
- 24. Metin O. Bio-psychosocial characteristics of the sexual abuse cases treated at the department of child psychiatry. Medical Dissertation, Mersin University. The Department of Child and Adolescent Mental Health at Mersin University Medical School. 2010.
- 25. Bhatta ND, Haque A. Health problems, complex life and consanguinity among ethnic minority muslim women in Nepal. Ethn Health. 2015;20(6):633-49. doi: 10.1080/13557858.2014.980779.
- Dönmez YE, Soylu N, Ozcan OO, Yüksel T, Demir AC, Bayhan PC, Miniksar DY. Sociodemographic and Clinical Features of Sexually Abused Children and Adolescents Cases. J Turgut Ozal Med Cent. 2014;21(1):44-8.
- Yahaya I, Soares J, Leon AP, Macassa G. A Comparative study of the socioeconomic factors associated with childhood sexual abuse in Sub Saharan Africa. Pan Afr Med J. 2012;11:51. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3343679/pdf/ PAMJ-11-51.pdf
- Yahaya I, de Leon AP, Uthman OA, Soares J, Macassa G. Individual and community level socioeconomic position and its association with adolescents experiences of childhood sexual abuse: a multilevel analysis of six countries in Sub- Saharan Africa. J Inj Violence Res. 2014;6(1):21-30. doi: 10.5249/jivr.v6i1.316.
- Koc F, Aksit S, Tomba A, Aydın C, Koturoğlu G, Çetin Korkmaz S, et al. Demographic and clinical features of child abuse and neglect cases: One-year experience of The Hospital-Based Child

- Protection Team of Ege University, Turkey. Turk Arch Pediatr. 2012;47:119-24. http://dx.doi.org/10.4274/tpa.640.
- 30. Aygün HH, Şensoy AF. Legal penalties imposed on the sexual crimes against minors and the expected social justice. Gaziantep University Journal of Social Sciences. 2018;17(2):533-51. doi: 10.21547/jss.384324.
- 31. Kılıçoğlu AG. Comparative investigation of the reaction to trauma in sexually abused children and adolescents and their parents. Medical Dissertation, Bursa Uludag University. The Department of Child and Adolescent Mental Health at Uludag University Medical School. 2010.
- 32. Zoroğlu SS, Tüzün U, Sar V, Oztürk M, Kora ME, Alyanak B. Probable results of childhood abuse and neglect. Anatolian Journal of Psychiatry. 2001;2(2):69-78.
- Imren Gökçe S, Ayaz BA, Yusufoğlu C, Arman Rodopman A. Clinical features and risk factors related with suicide attempts in sexually abused children and adolescents. Marmara Medical Journal. 2013;26(1):11-16. https://doi.org/10.5472/MMJ.2012.02518.1
- Manion IG, McIntyre J, Firestone P, Ligezinska M, Ensom R, Wells G. Secondary traumatization in parents following the disclosure of extrafamilial child sexual abuse: Initial effects. Child Abuse Negl. 1996:20(11);1095-109. doi: 10.1016/0145-2134(96)00098-1.
- 35. Set Z. Çocuğu cinsel istismara uğramış ebeveynlerin gösterdikleri tepkiler ve çocuklarına destekleri. Sosyal, Beşeri ve Idari Bilimler Alanında Yenilikçi Yaklaşımlar, 2018:2(1);499-506. Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/329949514.
- Bolen RM, Lamb JL. Ambivalence of nonoffending guardians after child sexual abusedisclosure. Journal of Interpersonal Violence, 2004:19(2):185-211. doi: 10.1177/0886260503260324.
- Cyr M, McDuff P, Hébert M. Support and profiles of nonoffending mothers of sexuallyabused children. J Child Sex Abus, 2013:22(2); 209-30. doi: 10.1080/10538712.2013.737444.
- 38. Cyr M, Frappier JY, Hébert M, Tourigny M, McDuff P, Turcott MÈ. Psychological and physical health of nonoffending parents after disclosure of sexual abuse oftheir child. J Child Sex Abus. 2016:25(7);757-76. doi: 10.1080/10538712.2016.1228726.
- 39. Güney SA. How do variables related to sexual abuse in adolescence affect self-esteem? a child advocacy center experience. Turk J Child Adolesc Ment Health. 2017;24(3):251-65. Available at: https://cms.galenos.com.tr/Uploads/Article_27524/cogepderg-24-251.pdf
- 40. Koctürk N, Bilge F. The irrational beliefs and the psychological symptoms of the sexual abuse victims. Dusunen Adam The Journal of Psychiatry and Neurological Sciences. 2017;2(30):113-123. doi:10.5350/DAJPN2017300205.
- 41. Uytun Cıkılı M, Oztop BH. Evaluation of Psychiatric Diagnosis and Continuity of Treatment of Children and Adolescents Who Abused Sexually. Journal of New Symposium. 2016;54(3):18-24. http://dx.doi.org/10.5455/NYS.201606020
- 42. Işeri E. Sexual Abuse. Çetin, F. Ç., Pehlivantürk, B., Unal, F., Uslu, R., Işeri, E., Türkbay, T., Coskun, A., Miral, S.& Motavallı, N. (Eds.). Handbook of child and adolescent psychiatry. 2008;1st ed.470-477. Ankara: Doctors Publication Association.
- Presidential Legislation Information System (Website). Child Protection Law. (Retrieved: 25.08.2020), Available at: mevzuat.gov. tr/MevzuatMetin/1.5.5395.pdf