THE ANATOLIAN JOURNAL OF CARDIOLOGY



Reply to Letter to the Editor: "Anticoagulation in Real-Life Patients with Atrial Fibrillation: Impact of Renal Disease"

To the Editor,

We would like to thank the esteemed author Anetta Undas for reading our manuscript with interest and for her contributions. We would like to contribute to the author's valuable comments and answer her questions.

The renal elimination rate of nonvitamin K antagonist anticoagulants (NOACs) can vary within a wide range. Around 80% of dabigatran, 50% of edoxaban, 35% of rivaroxaban, and 27% of apixaban can be eliminated by kidney.1 Renal function should be monitored at least once a year in all patients using NOACs.² Rivaroxaban, apixaban, and edoxaban (but not dabigatran) are approved for use in patients with severe chronic kidney disease (CKD) in Europe [stage 4, i.e., creatinine clearance (CrCl) 15-29 mL/min] on a reduced dose regimen. In Europe, NOACs should not be prescribed for patients with atrial fibrillation (AF) and severe CKD (CrCl < 15 mL/min).³ It is recommended to monitor CrCl at least once a year using the Cockcroft-Gault (CCG) method in patients receiving NOAC therapy and not having CKD.² In 2018, the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA) reported that the current use of edoxaban, rivaroxaban, and apixaban may be inadequate in patients with "hyper-normal" kidney function [i.e., glomerular filtration rate (GFR) > 95 mL/min/1.73 m²].⁴ In all NOAC registry studies comparing NOAC group drugs with warfarin, GFR was evaluated with the CCG-CrCl formula.5-9 Despite the undisputed superiority of the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration formula over CCG-CrCl and other creatinine-based formulas, EHRA, European Society of Cardiology (ESC), and North American consensus documents and guidelines on AF still recommend the CCG formula for assessing renal function in patients considering NOAC therapy and in patients currently receiving treatment. 3,4,10,11 In another study, although the CCG method has traditionally been used to calculate kidney function in all NOAC studies, it has been reported that this method can no longer be considered an accurate way to evaluate kidney function.¹² In our AFTER-2 study, we calculated the GFR value using the CCG formula. The CCG formula may have found lower GFR values in some patient groups. We classified it as follows: GFR \geq 90 as stage I, $60 \leq$ GFR < 90 as stage II, $30 \leq$ GFR < 60 as stage III, $15 \le GFR < 30$ as stage IV, GFR < 15 as stage V. In our study, the age ratio and female gender ratio were relatively high. In addition, patients with GFR < 60 were evaluated as CKD. Therefore, we found that the most common comorbid condition in patients was CKD.¹³ In addition, we had 327 (12.6%) patients with GFR \geq 90, 479 (18.5%) patients with 60 \leq GFR < 90, 1141 (44%) patients with 30 \leq GFR < 60, 499 (19.3%) patients with 15 \le GFR < 30, and 146 (5.6%) patients with GFR < 15. Among our patient population, rivaroxaban was the most commonly used NOACs, followed by dabigatran. We think that the reason for this is that these molecules were included in the scope of reimbursement earlier in our country. Dabigatran has been reimbursed in Turkey since May 2013 and rivaroxaban since October 2013.14 In addition, we think that the single dose use of rivaroxaban may be effective in the choice of treatment. It is known that reducing the dosage frequency increases drug compliance. The distribution of patients using warfarin and NOAC group drugs according to GFR intervals is shown in Table 1 in detail.



Copyright@Author(s) - Available online at anatolicardiol.com.

Content of this journal is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial

4.0 International License.

LETTER TO THE EDITOR REPLY

Tuncay Güzel¹⁰
Adem Aktan¹⁰
Raif Kılıç¹⁰
Bayram Arslan¹⁰
Muhammed Demir¹⁰
Mehmet Özbek¹⁰
Faruk Ertas¹⁰

¹Department of Cardiology, Health Science University, Gazi Yaşargil Training and Research Hospital, Diyarbakır, Turkey ²Department of Cardiology, Mardin Training and Research Hospital, Mardin, Turkey

³Department of Cardiology, Diyarlife Hospital, Diyarbakır, Turkey ⁴Department of Cardiology, Ergani State Hospital, Diyarbakır, Turkey ⁵Department of Cardiology, Faculty of Medicine, Dicle University, Diyarbakır, Turkey

Corresponding author:

Tuncay Güzel ☑ drtuncayguzel@gmail.com

Cite this article as: Güzel T, Aktan A, Kılıç R, et al. Reply to letter to the editor: "anticoagulation in real-life patients with atrial fibrillation: Impact of renal disease". *Anatol J Cardiol*. 2023;27(1):56-58.

DOI:10.14744/AnatolJCardiol.2022.2335

Table 1. Oral Anticoagulation Use According to Glomerular Filtration Rate Ranges

Parameters	GFR≥90	60 ≤ GFR < 90	30 ≤ GFR < 60	15 ≤ GFR < 30	GFR < 15	Total Number of Patients
Dabigatran 150 mg (%)	3 (0.1)	15 (0.6)	38 (1.5)	3 (0.1)	0	59 (2.3)
Dabigatran 110 mg (%)	14 (0.5)	39 (1.5)	205 (7.9)	80 (3.1)	15 (0.6)	353 (13.6)
Rivaroxaban 20 mg, (%)	0	10 (0.4)	34 (1.3)	13 (0.5)	0	57 (2.2)
Rivaroxaban 15 mg (%)	29 (1.1)	64 (2.5)	307 (11.8)	152 (5.8)	28 (1.08)	580 (22.37)
Apixaban 5 mg (%)	8 (0.3)	20 (0.8)	6 (0.2)	1 (0.0)	0	35 (1.35)
Apixaban 2.5 mg (%)	55 (2.1)	101 (3.9)	89 (3.4)	19 (0.73)	8 (0.3)	272 (10.5)
Edoxaban 60 mg (%)	3 (0.3)	7 (0.27)	5 (0.19)	0	0	15 (0.57)
Edoxaban 30 mg (%)	3 (0.3)	9 (0.34)	16 (0.61)	3 (0.1)	1 (0.03)	32 (1.23)
NOAC (%)	115 (4.4)	265 (10.2)	700 (27.0)	271 (10.4)	52 (2.0)	1403 (54.1)
Warfarin (%)	110 (4.2)	136 (5.24)	359 (13.8)	146 (5.63)	57 (2.19)	808 (31.17)

GFR, glomerular filtration rate; NOAC, nonvitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulant.

The coexistence of AF and CKD includes serious risks in terms of both thromboembolic events and major bleeding. Insufficient and inappropriate doses of NOACs are not uncommon in clinical practice. Physician preference and experience, age, comorbid conditions, patient's lifestyle, and patient preference are among the reasons for this. In a study, lower doses of NOACs were prescribed in 30% of AF patients despite the indication for a standard treatment dose. Around 16.4% of the patients received a reduced dose of NOACs instead of a full dose. In particular, 7% of patients treated with rivaroxaban received a full dose of NOACs instead of reduced doses.

Although the CHA2DS2-VASc risk score was high in our study, the number of patients who did not use any OAC was 558 (24.9%). The mean age of these patients was 68.6 ± 10.9 years, and 308 (55.1%) patients had a high HASBLED score (HASBLED score \geq 3). When we examine the GFR intervals of this patient group, we identified 89 (15.9%) patients with GFR \geq 90, 123 (22%) patients with 60 \leq GFR < 90, 179 (32.1%) patients with $30 \le GFR < 60$, 132 (23.7%) patients with $15 \le GFR < 30$, and 35 (6.3%) patients with GFR < 15. The rate of inadequate dose of NOACs was relatively high in our study. The causes of inappropriate doses of NOACs can be explained by patient preference and high HASBLED scores. However, the 2018 ESC AF guidelines emphasize that the HASBLED score should not be used for NOAC prescriptions.4 In the case of drugs such as Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and steroid, alcohol consumption and lifestyle recommendations, anticoagulation dose reduction may be considered. Finally, patients who have high HASBLED score require more outpatient clinic visits. Physicians and patients should be encouraged to use NOACs, especially in patients with high CHA2DS2-VASc risk score with GFR \geq 30.

REFERENCES

- Harel Z, Sholzberg M, Shah PS, et al. Comparisons between novel oral anticoagulants and vitamin K antagonists in patients with CKD. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2014;25(3):431-442. [CrossRef]
- Undas A, Drabik L, Potpara T. Bleeding in anticoagulated patients with atrial fibrillation: practical considerations. Kardiol Pol. 2020;78(2):105-116. [CrossRef]

- Kirchhof P, Benussi S, Kotecha D, et al. 2016 ESC guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation developed in collaboration with EACTS. Eur Heart J. 2016;37(38):2893-2962. [CrossRef]
- 4. Steffel J, Verhamme P, Potpara TS, et al. The 2018 European Heart Rhythm Association Practical Guide on the use of non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants in patients with atrial fibrillation. *Eur Heart J.* 2018;39(16):1330-1393. [CrossRef]
- Connolly SJ, Ezekowitz MD, Yusuf S, et al. Dabigatran versus warfarin in Patients with atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med. 2009;361(12):1139-1151. [CrossRef]
- Patel MR, Mahaffey KW, Garg J, et al. Rivaroxaban versus warfarin in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med. 2011;365(10): 883-891. [CrossRef]
- Connolly SJ, Eikelboom J, Joyner C, et al. Apixaban in patients with atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med. 2011;364(9):806-817.
 [CrossRef]
- Granger CB, Alexander JH, McMurray JJV, et al. Apixaban versus warfarin in Patients with atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med. 2011;365(11):981-992. [CrossRef]
- Giugliano RP, Ruff CT, Braunwald E, et al. Edoxaban versus warfarin in Patients with atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med. 2013; 369(22):2093-2104. [CrossRef]
- Lip GYH, Banerjee A, Boriani G, et al. Antithrombotic therapy for atrial fibrillation: CHEST Guideline and Expert Panel Report. Chest. 2018;154(5):1121-1201. [CrossRef]
- January CT, Wann LS, Calkins H, et al. 2019 AHA/ACC/HRS focused update of the 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS guideline for the management of patients with atrial fibrillation: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society in collaboration with the Society of Thoracic Surgeons. Circulation. 2019;140(2):e125-e151. [CrossRef]
- Cemin R, Foco L, Zoccali C, De Caterina R. Should we continue assessing glomerular filtration rate with the Cockroft-Gault formula in NOAC-treated patients? The magnitude of the problem. J Clin Med. 2020;9(6):1893. [CrossRef]
- Güzel T, Aktan A, Kılıç R, et al. Oral anticoagulant use and longterm follow-up results in patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation in Turkey AFTER-2 study. *Anatol J Cardiol*. 2022;26(7): 567-576. [CrossRef]
- Başaran Ö, Beton O, Doğan V, et al. ReAl-life Multicenter Survey Evaluating Stroke prevention strategies in non-valvular atrial fibrillation (RAMSES study). Anatol J Cardiol. 2016;16(10): 734-741. [CrossRef]

- Reinecke H, Brand E, Mesters R, et al. Dilemmas in the management of atrial fibrillation in chronic kidney disease. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2009;20(4):705-711. [CrossRef]
- Nielsen PB, Skjøth F, Søgaard M, Kjældgaard JN, Lip GY, Larsen TB. Effectiveness and safety of reduced dose non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants and warfarin in patients
- with atrial fibrillation: propensity weighted nationwide cohort study. *BMJ*. 2017;356:j510. [CrossRef]
- Lodziński P, Gawałko M, Budnik M, et al. Trends in antithrombotic management of patients with atrial fibrillation. A report from the Polish part of the EURObservational Research Programme-atrial fibrillation General Long-Term Registry. *Pol Arch Intern Med*. 2020;130(3):196-205. [CrossRef]