The effect of AC and MFDC resistance spot welding technology on mechanical properties of new generation automotive steels
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Abstract
Usage of UHSS (ultra high-strength steels) had increased in recent years, automobile Body in White-BIW- manufacturers had faced the challenge of choosing between two types of currents for resistance spot welding equipment. The objective of this work is to compare the effects of welds obtained from both AC and MFDC (alternate current-AC- power source and medium frequency constant current one-MFDC) machines on mechanical performance. Two different welding equipment had been used for making joints between as-delivered 22MnB5 and DP600 steel sheets. The micro-hardness results, tensile shear (TS) and cross tension (CT) tests of resistance spot welded (RSW) joints between as-delivered (22MnB5) and double phase (DP600) steels for both AC and MFDC process are detailed. According to the results, comparatively lower hardness values were observed in the weld metal and heat effected zones of the welded samples obtained by MFDC technology compared to AC technology. The positive effect was observed in the strength and elongation values of the samples welded with MFDC technology according to AC technology. When the CT and TS test results were evaluated, more than 5% improvement is observed on welded samples with MFDC technology. The originality of this paper presents a comparative study of joint performance properties effect for both AC and MFDC process on dissimilar joints between as-delivered 22MnB5 and DP600.
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1 Introduction
Dual-phase (DP) steels and hot stamped martensitic steels, have important impacts for sustainable manufacturing of vehicles. Besides the pure technical benefits coming from these steels have cost advantages by weight reduction for automotive industry.

22MnB5 continues to be preferred with increasing acceleration in the automotive industry due to some advantages of tensile strength up to 1500 MPa, as well as lightness, reduction in fuel consumption, crash resistance and high formability [1]-[4]. In order to increase its hardenability, 22MnB5 steel with low amount of boron and high amount of magnesium elements added to its chemical structure are in the class of Ultra high strength steels [5]. The reason why 22MnB5 is preferred in Anti-collision BIW parts (A pillar, B pillar, side/roof rails) is that it has a completely martensite structure after hot forming (HF) operation and exhibits tensile strength over 1500 MPa [6],[7] (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Overview of BIW (Body in White) parts used hot stamped boron steel.

 Öz
Özellikle ultra yüksek mukavenetli çeliklerin kullanılan son yıllarda artışa arıtırıca, araç gövde üreticileri nokta direnç kaynak ekipmanı için iki akım türü arasında seçim yapma zorluğunu de karşı kıyıya kalmaktır. Çalışmanın amacı, alternatif akım (AA) ve orta frekans doğru akım (OFDA) güç kaynak makinelerinden elde edilen kaynaklı bağlantıların mekanik performansı üzerindeki etkilerini karşılaştırmaktır. Preslenmemiş 22MnB5 ve DP600 çelik saclar arasındaki bağlantı için iki farklı kaynak ekipmanı AA ve OFDA teknolojisi kullanılmıştır. Hem AC hem de OFDA işlemi için preslenmemiş (22MnB5) ve çif tazlı (DP600) çelik arasındaki nokta direnç kaynaklı bağlantılarının mikro sertlik sonuçları, çekme ve çapraz gerilim testleri ayrıntılı olarak açıklanmaktadır. Sonuçlara göre, OFDA teknolojisi ile elde edilen kaynaklı örneklerin kaynak metali ve ITAB bölgelerinde AA ve OFDA teknolojisinin de kaynağı düşmesine de kaynaklı bağlantıları alanın feature olarak gösterilmiştir. AA teknolojisinin göre OFDA teknolojisi ile kaynak yapılmış numunelerin mukavenet ve uzama değerlerinde pozitif etki gözlenmiştir. Çapraz çekme ve çekme makasında testi sonuçları değerlendirildikçe, OFDA teknolojisinin daha fazla seriklilik ve güç kazanması sağlanmış olup, AA teknolojisinin göre OFFDA teknolojisi ile kaynak yapılmış numuneler denetimden %5'ten fazla işleyiş göze çıkmıştır. Bu makalenin öngörüldüğü hem AA hem de OFDA procesinin preslenmemiş 22MnB5 ve DP600 arasındaki farklı bağlantıların performansı üzerindeki etkilerini incelenmektedir.

Anahtar kelimeler: Nokta direnç kaynağı, Preslenmemiş 22MnB5, DP600, AA ve OFDA nokta direnç kaynağı.
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UHSS steels are had low formability properties with undesired high springback at room temperature. Therefore, when we look globally, the locations and numbers of alternative HS processes are increasing day by day. The reason for this increase is BIW parts with complicated geometry can be forming with low springback without deformation. In the HF operation of BIW parts, the sheets are pressed and quenched on Ac3 at high temperature immediately after the structure turns completely into austenite. Thus, almost completely martensite converted microstructure, which provides the highest strength value, is obtained at the end of the process [8]. Before the HF process hot formable boron steel with a tensile strength of 600 MPa increases strength to approximately 1500MPa after the HF process [5]. In the direct HS process, the steel is heated at austenitization temperature between 900-950 degrees for 5 or 10 min. followed by quenching at a cooling rate of 30-50 K/s. The high cooling rate causes the austenite structure to turn into martensite and thus gain high strength [1],[2],[4]. During the HS process, it is necessary to watch out the step of transferring the part from the furnace to the forming mold. Because the contact of the steel surface with the atmosphere leads to oxidation and decarburization [3],[9]. Patented aluminum silicon (Al-Si) coating technology is widely used in order to protect these grade steels from the negative effects of the atmosphere [3],[10]. DP steels obtained by the dispersion of the hard martensite phase in the ductile and soft ferrite matrix are used in BIW safety cage designs by combining with HF steels. The most important factor affecting the mechanical properties of DP steel classes is the balance of the volume fractions established between the martensite phase dispersed in the ferrite matrix [11],[12]. After the HF process, the pressed BIW parts are assembled together by suitable joining methods. In some BIW applications, boron alloy martensitic steels can be hot formed after welding with double phase steels. Various welding methods (RSW, Laser, MAG welding) are used in the assembly of BIW parts. While determining the method and welding process parameters, the effect of hardness distribution maps on welding area, cracking sensitivity and softening in the heat affected area (HAZ) should be examined.

BIW assemblies use lots of weldment joints to transfer crash loads through the BIW structure during crash. The joint failure occurs on the RSW welded BIW parts during crash is important failure type [13]. The process window for RSW of hot stamping steel is generally narrower compared to conventional automotive steels [14]. According to the claim of Park et al., In UHSS class hard steels the welding currents used in a high and narrow range are the reason for expulsion, and the weldment zone between the acceptance limits is narrow [15]. Coatings used to prevent oxidation during the HS process can be among the causes of this condition [14]. Another reason affects weldability is elements added for alloying. These elements added to the chemical composition increase the resistance and accelerate the formation of heat during the welding process. This reduces weldability and causes expulsion. Lee et al. [16] investigated the effects of boron ratio in the chemical composition of HF steels on weldability. The results showed increasing boron amount can increase crack formation. The high hardenability properties of HF steels show that the welded zone is prone to notch sensitivity and consequently of this interface failures can occur [17].

Choi et al. [18], had been investigated the effect of the weld current on the nugget diameter, load-carrying capacity and fracture behavior of the weld between 22MnB5 and GA780DP steels. Ductile regions had been observed on the interfacially fractured surface of weld. It is stated that the microstructure is fragile due to its high carbon equivalent and Al's penetration into the weld. It is also stated fracture was caused by the high hardness of the weld and the fragile microstructure, as well as the concentration of stress from the boundary of the nugget. Aras et all [19] had been investigated mechanical properties of RSW welded DP800, as-received Usibor1500 and Ductibor 500P three advised high strength steel with different thickness. According to the result they reached, when different types of steels are welded together, the maximum tensile-shear force and elongation achieved are higher than those of same type of materials. Tuncel and Aydin [20], had been experimentally investigated the effect of weld time and weld current on the tensile properties of RSW welded Usibor 1500 steel sheets with a thickness of 2 mm. Derived major conclusion from their investigation, tensile shear load and elongation values had been increased with increasing weld current. Sejć and Belanová [21], had been investigated and evaluated the influence of welding parameters of steel studs on USIBOR 22MnB5 steel sheet with AlSi coating on selected mechanical properties of joints. They had been found a significant hardness value difference between the subcritical heat affected zone from the USIBOR 22MnB5 plate and the steel stud and the inter-critical HAZ on both sides of the welded materials.

Failure mode types can significantly affect energy and load carrying capacity in resistance spot welding. Generally, the pull out failure mode is the preferred fracture type due to its higher associated plastic deformation and its ability to absorb energy [22],[23]. Vehicle crash resistance can be significantly reduced when interfacial failure occurs at spot welding [24]. Pull out failure mode during quality control indicates that the weld can transmit a high level of force, causing heavy plastic deformation in adjacent components and increasing strain energy distribution in impact conditions [25]. Therefore, welding parameters need to be adjusted and well considered in automobile design by automotive industry to achieve pull out failure.

Studies in the literature have mostly concentrated on the effect of different sheet thickness and parameters of the resistance spot welding on the mechanical properties of new generation steels [13],[22]. The effects of AC and MFDC resistance spot welding method on the mechanical properties of advanced high strength automotive steels joints are presented in this work. Mechanical properties of as-delivered 22MnB5 and DP600 sheet pairs which are welded these RSW methods were tested and the effects of different RSW technologies on the strength and performance of the joints were compared.

### 2 Test materials

RSW experiments were performed between joints of as 1.5 mm thick as-received 22MmB5 steel sheet (45 µm Al-Si coated) and 1.6 mm thick galvanized DP600 steel sheet (5 µm Zn coated). The chemical composition of the materials is given in Table 1. Cutting process was achieved by using laser cutting method. 100 mm long and 30 mm wide samples were prepared according to EN ISO 14273 standards. Microstructure photographs and tensile test results of the base materials were taken in preliminary work stages the study. The microstructure of the main materials can be seen in Figure 2. The results of base metals tensile strength and images subjected to the tensile strength test can be seen respectively in Figure 3 and Figure 4.
The hardness tests were carried out to characterize weldment zones. The measurements were done with Shimadzu brand Vickers hardness tester with a 1000 g Vickers setting (HV1). Hardness lines began with DP600 side base metal, progressed along the nugget and ended within the 22MnB5 base metal. According to drawings in Figure 5.

Table 1. Chemical composition of 22MnB5 and DP600 (max. % atomic weight).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>Ti + Nb</th>
<th>Cr + Mo</th>
<th>Fe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DP600</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.015-0.2</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Rest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22MnB5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01-0.1</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Rest</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 Welding method and experimental procedures

RSW process was executed using an alternate current-AC-power source and medium frequency constant current one-MFDC. C construction RSW machine with 1000-Hz medium frequency inverter (MFDC) from Serra Soldadura used for MFDC power source. AC and MFDC power source welding parameters are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. AC and MFDC power source equipment welding parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Type</th>
<th>Welding time (ms)</th>
<th>Welding time (cycle)</th>
<th>Welding current (kA)</th>
<th>Electrode Force (kN)</th>
<th>Electrode Diameter (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>7.21</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFDC</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.21</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Base metals microstructures: As received 22MnB5 (before any heat treatment and stamping), and DP600.

Figure 3. Base metals tensile strength sample images: As received 22MnB5, and DP600.

Figure 4. Base metals tensile strength results: As received 22MnB5 and DP600.

Figure 5. Specimen drawings of, TS and CT test for RSW. (unit=mm).

Tensile-shear (TS) and cross-tension (CT) destructive mechanical test samples done. Three samples were prepared separately for each TS and CT tests by MFDC and AC process. Test results showed that TS and CT values tolerance have been range between ± 2%. One sample was prepared for hardness and microstructure analysis by MFDC and AC process separately. The hardness result values tolerance have been in the range of ± 5%. Welded samples can be seen in Figure 6.

Figure 6. Welded specimens of micro-hardness, tensile-shear and cross-tension tests of RSW.
Tensile-shear and cross-tension tests were executed at 5 mm/min. speed in SHIMADZU brand tensile testing device with a capacity of 50 kN. Before and after cross tension test process images can be seen in Figure 7.

Figure 7. The cross-tension test images. (a): Before the test. (b): After the test.

4 Result and discussions

4.1 Microstructural change in the weld and Hardness Profile

Microstructure all around the welded area were illustrated in Figure 8. Although welding area on samples are roughly divided into three regions as the fusion zone (FZ), heat-affected zone (HAZ), unaffected base metal (BM), the HAZ can also be subdivided according to the thermal history. According to the cross-sectional optical micrographs of welded samples, at the DP600 and 22MnB5 side the base metal microstructure of the welded samples shows the same morphology after the material has been welded. No changes were observed in the microstructure of this region. As a result of epitaxial solidification, the fusion zone microstructure indicated columnar and martensitic (in needle form) structure growing from the nugget melting border to the nugget center. The reason for the fusion zone to result in a completely martensitic structure is the thermal history of the melting zone exceeds liquidus temperatures and then quickly quenched. While grain coarsening was observed near the fusion boundary on DP600 side, the microstructure in the HAZ on the 22MnB5 side is not clearly seen.

The high quality direct current obtained with MFDC technology gives more heat than AC for the same welding time. Therefore, microstructural analyzes have been carried out to see the effect of the same welding times on the weld metal microstructure.

When the fusion zone in Figure 8 are examined, it can be said that the reason for the relatively lower hardness values of the MFDC fusion zone is relatively coarse martensite grains with no obvious difference compared to the AC fusion zone.

The increasing use of aluminum, titanium and its alloys, especially the new generation high strength steels, has led the development of medium frequency direct-current (MFDC) resistance spot welding technology. When the energy values per spot are examined, MFDC welding machines reduce the unit welding cost per spot by ~ 30%. Welding time is shorter due to DC current. With this technology, high power is obtained with the compact pulse form in the welding made at 1000 Hz. For a certain welding time, MFDC technology provides more heat than conventional AC. Therefore, the MFDC method makes it more profitable than AC [26].

Alternating AC and MFDC weld current used in this study. AC current supply reasons the weld pool to grow in steps because of the current switches’ polarity. DC welding current ensures continuous growth of the welding pool. The welding current is considered as the most effectual parameter to heat formation during RSW process.

Figure 8. Cross-sectional optical micrographs of welded samples.
For a given welding time, more heat is supplied to weldment area than conventional AC technology thanks to the MFDC welding. Because in this technology, high power is obtained due to the compact pulse form at a frequency of 1000 Hz. While MFDC power source weld time is measured in milliseconds, for 50 Hz AC power European machines, the time is measured in cycles (50 cycle/seconds). Increasing the heat input with MFDC technology reduces cooling speed due to shorter welding times than AC which is high current transformers. This is the reason why the hardness values in the fusion area in Figure 9 are low in the samples taken from the MFDC machine.

According this study supported by Ma et al [27] and Zhang et al [28], in the hardness of weld nugget been more than two times higher than base metal due to the formation of lath martensite in the fusion zone. Of plenty of martensite in the FZ explains the higher hardness of the FZ compared to the base metals. Hernandez et al [29], had reached similar conclusion too; The hardness of the nugget in dissimilar welds with DP600 paired to HSLA, DP780 and TRIP780 were about two times more than that of the base metal.

4.2 Tensile-Shear and cross tension tests of the welded joints

Dissimilar RSW of two common automotive BIW steel as received 22MnB5 with ferritic-pearlitic and DP600 with ferritic-martensitic structure was analyzed under CT and TS. Figure 10. shows the strength capacity results of the spot welded samples according to different power sources. On cross tension test results graph can be seen in Figure 10. (a), max 5.1921 MPa force were obtained from MFDC power source samples, while 4.8143 MPa were obtained from AC power source samples. Figure 10 (a) is evaluated, MFDC power source samples tensile shear test result was measured max 12.637 MPa, while 11.512 MPa force was obtained from AC power source samples. A similar change in the elongation values of the tests was observed.

The failure modes obtained in TS and CT test for MFDC and AC process outputs are seen in Figure 11 and 12. Fracture failure mode can considerably effectiveness the ability to absorb energy and load carrying capacity in resistance spot welding. Generally, the pullout failure mode is the preferred fracture type due to its high plastic deformation and energy absorption ability [13],[22],[30].

Figure 9. Microhardness profile of dissimilar welded Dp600 and as received 22MnB5.

Figure 10. CT (a) and TS (b) test graphs to characterize spot weld strength from AC and MFDC technology. The dominant fracture mechanism in the ST test is necking across the thickness. [30]-[34]. Therefore, in this test, the location of the fracture for pull out failure mode is managed by the hardness profile characteristic of the weld. The necking tendency to was formed at the lowest value of hardness. In RSW welding of low carbon steels, it has been found experimentally that necking starts from basic metal. [31],[35]. Similar observations have been observed in the RSW welds of HSLA (High Strength Low Alloy) and DP600 steels. [24],[25]. This can be connected with the weak hardness of the base metal compared to the HAZ and the weld metal. Failure modes were inspected visual with naked eyes, a similar result was observed for tensile shear sample. Pull-out failure mode fracture image of MFDC process output can observed in Figure 11. Partial interface failure mode was encountered at AC process tensile shear output samples as shown in Figure 11.

Figure 11. The failure modes encountered after TS tests.
As can be seen in Figure 12, after MFDC and AC process output samples’ cross tension tests, partial interface failure modes were encountered. According to reviews of cross tension failure modes with naked eye at MFDC and AC process output samples’ interfacial fracture ratio were observed 60% and 25% respectively.

The main conclusion of the evaluated study: MFDC technology has shown positive influence on mechanical properties and has improved the strength capability of resistance spot welded joint. Research suggestion: In this work as-delivered 22MnB5 and DP600 steel sheets used. However, Body in White anti-intrusion steel components are being increasingly produced by hot stamped 22MnB5 and its upper grades. The next work with hot stamped UHSS might be useful for the automotive BIW industry.
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