Acute grief experience in Diyarbakir condolence houses and the factors influencing the severity of grief
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SUMMARY

Objective: The objectives of this study were to measure state anxiety levels of individuals in an acute grief period following the death of a close relative, and to evaluate the effects of degree of closeness and gender on grief reactions. Method: The study was conducted in condolence houses in Diyarbakır, Turkey with participants in mourning due to kinship with the deceased. One hundred twenty-two participants (49 females, 73 males) were included in the study. Fifty-four individuals (27 females, 27 males) who were not relatives of the deceased were included in the control group. After informing the participants about the study and obtaining their consent, all participants were asked to complete a survey with a sociodemographic data form, state anxiety inventory (SAI), and a list of possible behavioural reactions. Results: Acute grief experience was more severe and SAI scores were higher in first-degree relatives. When the loss was sudden, the mean SAI score was 51.8±8.9 and when it was an anticipated death, the mean SAI score was 45.8±11.4 (p<0.001). Grief symptoms were more severe in females compared to males (p<0.001). Psychophysiological reactions of the deceased’s relatives were observed to be more severe than the control group. Discussion: As the degree of closeness increases, and when the death is unexpected and sudden, individuals appear to be affected more, and have higher levels of anxiety. Females are affected by the grief process more than males.
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ÖZET

Amaç: Bu çalışmada, bir yakını öldükten sonra akut yaş sürecindeki kişilerin durumlu kaygı düzeyleri ve diğer yaş tepkilerinin belirlenmesi, yakınlık derecesinin ve cinsiyet farklılığının yaş tepkilerine etki eden faktörlerin araştırılması amaçlanmıştır. Yöntem: Çalışma Diyarbakır ve çevresindeki yerleşim merkezlerinde kurumsal hale gelen ve ölenin akrabası olan 122 birey (49 kadın, 73 erkek) ile yapılmıştır. Ölenin akrabası olmayan 54 birey (27 kadın, 27 erkek) kontrol grubuna alınmıştır. Tüm katılımcılar çalışma hakkında bilgilendirilip onayları alındıktan sosyodemografik veriler, durumlu kaygı envanteri (DKE) ve yasta ortaya çıkalabilecek olan duygusal-davranışsal tepkiler ile ilgili veriler kaydedilmiştir. Bulgular: Birinci derece akrabalarda akut yaş daha erken akrabalarda ise daha şiddetli yaşandığı ve DKE puanları daha yüksek (DKE ortalaması birinci derece akrabalarda 45.3±8.9; ikinci derece akrabalarda 44.1±9.6; üçüncü derece akrabalarda 43.9±11.6 idi; p<0.001). Ölenin yakınlığı arttıkça DKE puanı 51.8±8.9 iken ölümü beklenenlerin yakınlığındaki ortalamda 45.8±11.4 bulundu ve ölenin yakınlığını etkilediği aradırıldı (p<0.001). Kadınlarda yaş semptomlarının daha şiddetli olduğunu belirledi. Kadınlarda DKE puanı 53.7±8.2; erkeklerde 45.4±10.8 bulundu (p<0.001). Kontrol grubu ile kıyaslarken akrabalardaki psikofizyolojik tepkilerinin daha yoğun olduğu saptandı (p<0.001). Sonuç: Yaş kültürden kültüre ve bireyden birey çeşitlilik gösteren bir süreçtir. Yakınlık derecesi artıka ve ölüm beklenmedik ve ani olunca yasaktaki kişiler daha çok etkilenmektedir. Kadınlara yaş sürecinde erkeklerden daha çok etkilenmektedir.
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INTRODUCTION

Death is the inevitable truth of all living creatures. The end of the deceased’s life marks the beginning of the grief process for those who remain behind. Although ceremonies and rituals vary across cultures and religions, grief and condolence are fairly ubiquitous concepts. After birth, we build relationships with significant others throughout our lives. These relationships continue to evolve and, from time to time, are disrupted. When a close one dies, the meaning of life is altered. Adding meaning to this change through the grief process could provide a growth motivation for the bereaved (1). Grief is a process over which the psyche heals itself following a feeling of loss. Grief is personal, and is unique to everyone, just like a fingerprint (2).

Grief Reaction

Grieving, which could simply be defined as the psychological reaction against any loss and change, is the set of reconciliations we make in order to harmonise our inner world with reality. Death is the most tangible of all losses. Death and the following grief is a personal event taking place in a specific environment. Societies and religions have developed series of rules and norms for this event (1, 3). The word grief, in its contemporary use, refers to a series of culturally, socially and religiously defined procedures and practices.

Bowlby’s attachment theory helps us comprehend the human need for forming strong affective bonds, as well as the affective reactions that occur when these bonds are threatened or broken. According to Bowlby, attachment stems from a need for security, develops early in life, is often directed towards a number of significant individuals, and tends to endure for the large part of the life cycle. Forming bonds with significant others is considered a normal behaviour not just for the child, but also for the adults. When the attachment figure disappears from sight or when their existence is threatened, the reaction is an intense anxiety and a strong emotional protest (4).

Larger the potential loss, the more intense and versatile the reactions are. The loss is usually followed by withdrawal, apathy, and frustration. Bowlby has defined three phases of response for loss (4). These phases are 1) the phase consisting of apathy, disbelief, pain and at times bursts of anger; 2) yearning, and an effort to recontact with the lost object or the mementos, which is usually accompanied by anxiety; 3) disorganisation, despair and apathy expressed as depression, and the self-destruction of the individual’s previous existence. Bowlby later includes a healing phase to these original three.

Many authors have defined the grief process by dividing it up to various stages (5-7). Worden, on the other hand, has first defined the signs of grief, and then classified them into categories such as feelings, thoughts, bodily symptoms, and behaviours (8). Similarly, Erich Lindemann has studied the grief reactions of individuals who lost their loved ones in a fire in Boston, and explained these reactions systematically (9). Elisabeth Kübler-Ross has worked with terminal cancer patients, and has divided their post-diagnosis life into five stages — denial and isolation, anger, bargaining, depression, and acceptance (10).

As a result, grief is a process and many individuals complete this process through social and religious rituals. However, grief process may never be completed or may lead to disorders such as depression or anxiety in some individuals, depending on factors such as unexpected and sudden death, stigmatised deaths (AIDS, etc.), personality structure of the individual, low self-esteem, high dependency, ambivalent feelings, insecure attachment styles, form of loss, and previous psychiatric history (3,6, 8,11,12). Viewed from another perspective, when processed properly, grief may not only signify parting ways with the deceased, but may also act as a compass for beginning new relationships and adding meaning to one’s life.

Complicated grief consists of confusing terminology referring to grief, such as abnormal, atypical, distorted, morbid, traumatic, and unresolved. One of the first authors attempting to discuss and explain this topic was Freud, who has defined depression (or, in his own words, “melancholy”) as a pathological form grief, and has suggested the anger towards the lost object turning towards one’s self as
Depression is a psychiatric disorder which disrupts the individual’s social adaptation and functionality. It is defined by certain symptoms in Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders IV (DSM-IV) (13). The person in depression evaluates oneself as weak, lacking, and bad. Unlike grief, depression can begin any time, and does not require a loss to take place. DSM-IV tries to differentiate grief from depression by criteria such as a 2-week duration limit, preservation of self-esteem, and lack of suicidal thoughts. However, the difference between depression and bereavement remains a hot topic (14).

Death and condolence in Islamic culture

Primary resources such as the Quran and hadiths discuss the topics of life, life’s purpose, death, and afterlife extensively. According to the Islamic belief, immortality is reserved for Allah, and therefore all living creatures are destined to experience death. Condolence is solely about providing comforting and consoling words to the bereaved, and showing sympathy. Most common phrases used in expressing condolence are “May Allah bestow upon you good patience, and its reward”, “May you stay alive”, and “May Allah grant those remain more time to live” (15). The period of condolence is three days for people who live in the same city. It is mustahabb (a behaviour that counts as a good deed even though it is not religiously ordered) to express condolences within three days. Condolences after three days are considered makruh for preventing the bereaved’s to return to normal life. Close relatives of the deceased can remain in their houses or a more appropriate place for three days to receive condolences. Although expressing condolences after three days is acceptable for those who live in other locations or are unaware of the news, the recommended practice is completing the condolence process in three days (15).

Condolence Houses in Diyarbakir

Expressing and accepting condolence is one of the most prominent traditions of Muslim cultures. Condolences are usually expressed and accepted in the deceased’s house, but locations such neighbours’ houses, backyards, tents or meeting halls are also commonly preferred if they are easy to access to and provide advantages for hosting the visitors for short periods of time. With the expansion of cities, the changes in house styles and family systems, the increase in communication, and reasons as such, condolence as a social need began to require larger spaces. The “condolence house”, which is an institutional site, has first appeared in the early 1990s in Diyarbakir and its neighbouring cities, and has, over the past 20 years, become widespread. Condolence houses are usually located near the streets in the city centre or inside the mosque courtyards, are easy to find and access to, and have enough seats for the visitors and a kitchen for serving tea or coffee. Some also include a manager’s office and a prayer room. These houses are usually established through the financial support of benefactors, but they may be also established by city/town or mosque associations. No fee is charged for using the condolence house, but the bereaved is expected to contribute to expenses such as electricity, water, tea, sugar, etc., if their finances allow it. Condolences are usually accepted for three days in these houses. Although the official number is not available, based on our observations, it is safe to say that there are over 30 condolence houses in Diyarbakir.

In this study, we investigated the reactions against death, severity of the loss’ effect, state anxiety levels, some other affective-behavioral responses in grief and the way these responses are expressed among the bereaved.

METHODS

After receiving approval from Ethics Committee of Dicle University, Faculty of Medicine (Date and Issue No: 18/05/2010 - 12-2h.05.10), the study was conducted in randomly selected 15 condolence houses located in Diyarbakir, Turkey, between May and July, 2010.

Study Population

We have visited the condolence ceremony of 53
individuals deceased in different days, offered our
sympathies to the bereaved, introduced ourselves,
informed them about our study, and obtained the
consent of those fitting participation criteria, which
included a minimum of 18 years of age, showing
mental capacity to understand the questionnaires,
and be related to the deceased. At each ceremony
visited, 1 to 5 relatives were included in the study.
For the study group, we have met with 229 people,
informed them about the study, and asked them to
fill the questionnaire. Among these, 72 relatives
refused to participate due to various reasons and 35
participants were excluded from the analysis
because of wrongly completed or incomplete ques-
tionnaires. As a result, a total of 122 participants
were included in the final analysis as a part of the
study group.

The control group was made up of individuals who
were present in the condolence house for showing
their sympathies, but did not have any kinship with
the deceased. These participants were also older
than 18, and had similar sociodemographic charac-
teristics with the study group. After the individuals
were informed about the study, those agreeing to
participate were provided the same questionnaires.
At each condolence ceremony, similar to the study
group, 1 or 2 participants were recruited to the con-
trol group. We have met with a total number of 75
people to include in the control group, 21 of which
were excluded due to wrongly completed or in-
complete questionnaires. In the end, the control
group consisted of 54 individuals.

Questionnaire

All participants recruited to the study were asked
to answer the questions in a previously prepared
questionnaire form which consisted of 3 sections.
In the first section, there were questions regarding
the sociodemographic information of the deceased
and the participant, relationship with the deceased,
religious beliefs, etc. In the second section, there
was a list of frequently observed symptoms during
grief, which were to be evaluated on a 5-point
Likert-type scale. The third section was the State
Anxiety Inventor (SAI, STA-I). Questions about
the deceased and the relationship with the
decaeed were excluded from the questionnaire
presented to the control group, however the
remaining sections were the same for both groups.
In cases where the participant did not have a clear
understanding of Turkish language, the questions
were read to the participant in Kurdish and given
answers were recorded on the questionnaire.

State Anxiety Inventory (SAI) consists of 10 direct
and 10 reverse items investigating anxiety symp-
toms (16). The direct items are scored as not at
all=1, somewhat=2, moderately so=3, very much
so=4. The reverse items, on the other hand, are
scored as not at all=4, somewhat=3, moderately
so=2, very much so=1. Cut-off point was predeter-
mined to be 40, scores of 41 and above were evalu-
ated as anxious, and those of 40 and below were
rated as normal.

Degree of closeness were divided into 3 categories:
mother, father, child, sibling, and spouse were con-
sidered first-degree relatives; grandmother, grand-
father, nephew, uncle and aunt were classified as
second-degree relatives; and finally, bride, aunt-in-
law, co-sister-in-law, and others were accepted to
be third-degree relatives.

Statistical analyses

Comparison of parametric variables were with the
t-test for two groups, and with analysis of variance
for three groups. For nonparametric comparisons
of two groups (e.g. grief symptoms) Mann Whitney
U test was utilised. Relationship between SAI
scores and grief symptoms was analysed using
Spearman’s correlation test. Categorical variables
were compared with chi square test. Data analyses
were conducted in SPSS (Statistical Package for
Social Sciences, SPSS Inc. SPSS Statistics for
lower than 0.05 were accepted to be significant.

RESULTS

Of the 53 deceased, 26 were female and 27 were
male. Majority were over 50 years old, 36 (67.9%) were married, 17 (32.1%) were single or widowed.
As for the deceased’s level of education, the high-
est percentage was for primary school graduates
Economic status of the deceased person was evaluated by their loved ones and results indicated that 58.5% had middle income level, 20.8% had high income level, and 20.8% had low income level. Cause of death was illness for 39 (73.6%), old age for 7 (13.2%), traffic accident for 4 (7.5%), and suicide for 3 (5.7%).

Table 1. Sociodemographic characteristics of the two groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sociodemographic characteristics</th>
<th>Study Group (n=122) Number (%)</th>
<th>Control Group (n=54) Number (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>49 (40.2)</td>
<td>27 (50.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>73 (59.8)</td>
<td>27 (50.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-30</td>
<td>38 (31.1)</td>
<td>22 (40.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>35 (28.7)</td>
<td>22 (40.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>31 (25.4)</td>
<td>8 (14.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 and above</td>
<td>18 (14.8)</td>
<td>2 (3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmer, retired, artisan, worker</td>
<td>20 (16.4)</td>
<td>3 (5.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housewife</td>
<td>25 (20.5)</td>
<td>7 (13.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-employed</td>
<td>31 (25.4)</td>
<td>7 (13.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student</td>
<td>10 (8.2)</td>
<td>4 (7.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>9 (7.4)</td>
<td>2 (3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government officer</td>
<td>27 (22.1)</td>
<td>31 (57.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiterate</td>
<td>1 (0.8)</td>
<td>2 (3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary school</td>
<td>35 (28.7)</td>
<td>9 (16.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle school</td>
<td>13 (10.7)</td>
<td>5 (9.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>32 (26.2)</td>
<td>4 (7.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University</td>
<td>41 (33.6)</td>
<td>34 (63.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>83 (68.0)</td>
<td>30 (55.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>39 (32.0)</td>
<td>24 (44.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sociodemographic characteristics of participants who successfully completed our questionnaires and were included in either the study group or the control group are presented in Table 1. Their degree of kinship with the deceased is summarised in Table 2. Degree of kinship was evaluated in terms of its effect on acute anxiety. The mean score for SAI was 53.3 ±8.9 for the first-degree relatives, 44.1 ±9.6 for the second-degree relatives, and 43.9 ±11.6 for the third-degree relatives. Acute anxiety level of the first-degree relatives was higher than the second- and third-degree relatives (p<0.001). Relationship between SAI scores (anxious/not anxious) and degree of kinship is presented in Table 3.

The mean SAI score in the study group was 45.4 ±10.8 for males, and 53.7±8.2 for females (p=0.000). As for the control group, mean SAI scores for males and females were 40.9±9.9 and 44.7±11.4, respectively (p=0.192).

A total of 27 males (22.1%) and 10 females (%8.2) in the study group, as well as 16 males (29.6%) and...
7 females (13.0%) in the control group reported to be smokers. Use of other substances or alcohol were not detected in either group.

When asked about the reason for choosing this particular condolence house, the answer was easy access for 64 (52.5%); hometown ties, previous visits or knowing the manager for 53 (43.4%); and the will of the deceased for 5 (4.1%) of the participants.

In this study, 118 individuals from the study group reported belief in afterlife, and 4 indicated a disbelief on the matter. In the control group, 50 participants stated that they believed in life after death, while 4 replied they did not. Since there is a large difference between group sizes, possible effects of belief and religious practices on grief symptoms and anxiety levels were not examined in detail.

Sixteen (13.1%) participants in the study group reported a history of previous physical illness, which were prevalent diseases such as diabetes mellitus, hypertension, chronic obstructive lung disease, and cardiac problems. Two individuals in the study group reported a psychiatric disorder (depression and anxiety disorder). There were not any reports of disease history in the control group.

Participants in both groups were asked “Which deaths cause the most pain to the deceased?” The most frequent reply was sudden and unexpected death (n=83; 47.2%). Other answers were death of young people (n=20; 11.4%), murder (n=3; 1.7%), suicide (2.8%), death by suffering (n=8; 4.5%), traffic or occupational accidents (n=7; 4.0%), and death of a family member (n=14; 8.0%). In total, 36 participants left this question blank.

Sudden deaths were found to cause more acute anxiety in the study group. The mean SAI score of the bereaved who had lost their loved one’s to a sudden death was 51.8± 8.9. When the death was anticipated, the mean SAI score was 45.8±11.4. The difference between the two groups was significant (p=0.001).

Among the physical changes reported in the grief process, two most common were irregularities in the blood pressure (30.3%) and weakened immune system (27%). All the reported physical changes were significantly more common in the study group Table 4.

Correlation between some of the affective reactions of the participants in the acute grief period and their SAI scores were calculated, and a positive (r>0) correlation was determined in the study group for all affective reactions except joy. The positive correlation was even more significant for especially the feeling of emptiness and not being supported, problems of diet and appetite, sadness and crying Table 5.
Some of the psychological symptoms reported by the study group were more severe than those of the control group. Although the difference between the two groups were significant in almost all evaluated symptoms, this was more evident especially for having intense thoughts and daydreams about the deceased, sadness and crying, sleep problems, and dietary and appetite problems Table 6.

**DISCUSSION**

Regardless of culture or geography, losing a loved one causes sadness. In the various indexes we have searched, we have not come across any studies focusing on the first days of grief. In this study, we aimed to understand the grief atmosphere during the first 3 days, by including a control group. However, since the data collection took place at a time when individuals were highly distressed, there were understandably people who refused to parti-
Table 6. Severity degrees of some of the psychological symptoms that can occur during acute grief process in study and control groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Psychological Symptoms</th>
<th>Study Group Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Control Group Mean ± SD</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perceiving death as a dream, rather than the reality</td>
<td>2.7 ±1.6</td>
<td>2.1 ±1.2</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intense thoughts and daydreams about the deceased</td>
<td>3.5 ±1.2</td>
<td>2.4 ±1.2</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sadness, crying</td>
<td>3.7 ±1.1</td>
<td>2.7 ±1.2</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joy</td>
<td>1.2 ±0.2</td>
<td>1.1 ±0.7</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guilt</td>
<td>1.7 ±1.2</td>
<td>1.3 ±0.7</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anger</td>
<td>1.7 ±1.0</td>
<td>1.5 ±0.6</td>
<td>0.187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excitability</td>
<td>2.5 ±1.3</td>
<td>2.1 ±1.1</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bewilderment, numbness</td>
<td>2.8 ±1.3</td>
<td>2.4 ±1.3</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seeing life as empty and meaningless</td>
<td>2.8 ±1.6</td>
<td>2.7 ±1.6</td>
<td>0.244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeling empty and unsupported</td>
<td>2.5 ±1.5</td>
<td>2.2 ±1.4</td>
<td>0.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thinking about future problems</td>
<td>2.6 ±1.3</td>
<td>2.7 ±1.4</td>
<td>0.745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presuming to see the image of the deceased</td>
<td>2.7 ±1.5</td>
<td>1.8 ±1</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presuming to hear the voice of the deceased</td>
<td>2.3 ±1.5</td>
<td>1.4 ±0.9</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fainting due to sadness or distress</td>
<td>1.5 ±1.0</td>
<td>1.1 ±0.1</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical expressions of lamenting, such as tearing one’s clothes</td>
<td>1.2 ±0.7</td>
<td>1.1 ±0.5</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep problems</td>
<td>2.5 ±1.5</td>
<td>1.5 ±1.0</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary and appetite problems</td>
<td>2.4 ±1.4</td>
<td>1.7 ±1.1</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p not significant, M=Mean, SD=Standard Deviation

Diyarbakir’s condolence houses provided us an opportunity to reach out to the bereaved during the first days after their loss. Previous studies have sent out letters or conducted face-to-face interviews few weeks later, asking their participants to reflect back on their emotions during the time period following the loss. In our study, data were directly collected from the bereaved individuals within the first days of grief.

Acute anxiety symptoms were higher for participants who had lost their loved ones to a sudden death (3,17-19). In this study, even though majority of the deceased were older than 50 and more than half of the deaths were reported to be anticipated, SAI scores were found to be higher in the first-degree relatives, similar to the results of previous studies. Our participants have reported sudden death as the most painful form of death for those who are left behind, followed by suicide and murder.

The high number of male participants in the study group is due to the fact that Diyarbakir’s condolence houses serve mostly to males and that the male population was easier to contact for the researchers. Sociodemographic characteristics of study and control groups were considered similar.

There are a limited number of studies conducted within the first days of grief. Most studies take place weeks or months after the loss. Our study, on the other hand, investigates the psychological excitations and reactions in the first few days. As expected, higher degrees of grief symptoms and anxiety levels were observed in close relatives of the deceased, compared to those who did not have any kinship with them.

A relationship between the severity of grief and degree of kinship with the deceased was also previously reported (1, 7, 17, 20-22). In parallel to the results of these studies, first-degree relatives in our study had higher SAI scores, and their affective and behavioural symptoms were more intense.
As many studies indicate, basal anxiety level is higher in females, and they respond to distressing events in a more emotional and behavioural manner (23, 24). Similarly, we have observed the highest degree of anxiety in the female relatives of the deceased, followed by their male relatives, females who were not related to them, and lastly males who were not related to them. Literacy and financial independence rates in Diyarbakir are low among females, which may give occasion to preoccupation with uncertainties and hardships following the loss, and therefore increasing the anxiety level. We have not come across any studies focusing on this issue by comparing relatives with non-relatives, which may be a topic of interest for future research.

Studies conducted in various countries have reported on the effects of belief in afterlife and adhering to the practices of their religion on the intensity of grief (25). In our study, however, there were not enough individuals reporting a disbelief in the afterlife, making it impossible to comment on the effects of belief on the grief process.

Distressing events have been suggested to cause more or less psychological and physiological changes in individuals (21, 26). Our results, too, support such previous findings. For example, in the study group, complaints such as irregularities in blood sugar, blood pressure and immune system as well as frantic anger reactions were reported.

A significant positive correlation was observed between acute anxiety level in the study group and the severity degree of some of the emotional and behavioural symptoms that may appear in the grief process. The most common complaints in the study group were intense thoughts and daydreams about the deceased, sadness and crying, sleep problems, and dietary and appetite problems.

Condolence houses in Diyarbakir could be argued to be beneficial places for enabling psychosocial support and complementing the grief process. Recently, England has also detected the value of grief-support, undertaking various arrangements on the matter. However, the support provided by the professionals is reported to be different from the support provided by groups working on a voluntary basis (27).

In conclusion, there is, to our knowledge, no other studies focusing on the grief reactions during the first 3 days after the loss, measuring state (acute) anxiety, and using a control group for comparison, making our study among the first on this area. As a result of this study, we have observed that (a) first-degree relatives of the deceased are more affected during the acute grief period, (b) females experience this process more intensely, and (c) sudden deaths are more traumatic for the bereaved. Our results also indicate that support is of utmost importance for the bereaved to reorganise their lives and experience the process after loss as less traumatic. The positive role Diyarbakir condolence houses play during the acute grief process requires further investigation. Studies including individuals who go through the grief process in their own houses due to various reasons and comparing their thoughts and emotions could provide more explanatory results.
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