Case report

Painless Footdrop in a Child with Newly Diagnosed Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus: Case Report

Jafari et al. Painless Footdrop in Newly Diagnosed Diabetes

Maryam Jafari1, Ahmedyar Hasan1, Jessie Joseph1, Manal Mustafa2, and Samar AlMuntaser3
1College of Medicine, Mohammed Bin Rashid University of Medicine and Health Sciences, Dubai, United Arab Emirates
2Department of Endocrinology, Al Jalila Children’s Hospital, Dubai, United Arab Emirates
3Department of Neurology, Al Jalila Children’s Hospital, Dubai, United Arab Emirates

What is already known on this topic?
Diabetic neuropathy is a major cause of morbidity amongst diabetics. Its presentation is usually regarded as a late-stage complication of diabetes, mostly affecting patients with advancing age.

What this study adds?
This paper describes a rare case of a pediatric patient with newly diagnosed Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus (T1DM) who presented with signs of mononeuropathy. It highlights that Type 1 diabetes mellitus can present atypically as acute onset neuropathy in pediatric patients, making it an important differential diagnosis.

ABSTRACT
Diabetic neuropathy is a major cause of morbidity among diabetics, usually affecting patients with long-standing diabetes and advancing age. We present a case of atypical first clinical presentation of diabetes mellitus type 1 in a pediatric patient. A 15-year-old male patient presented to the Emergency department with complaints of right foot weakness associated with mild paresthesia of 1-week duration. There were complaints of polyuria, polydipsia and weight loss in the same timeframe. On subsequent examination, the patient exhibited signs of right-sided foot drop with weak ankle dorsiflexion and eversion accompanied by impaired sensation over the dorsum of the right foot. Lab results confirmed a diagnosis of diabetes mellitus type 1 and the patient was started on subcutaneous insulin injections. The patient’s foot drop recovered within 1 month of insulin initiation. This case highlights that Type 1 diabetes mellitus can present atypically as acute onset neuropathy in pediatric patients, making it an important differential diagnosis.
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INTRODUCTION
Diabetic neuropathy (DN) is a major cause of morbidity among diabetics (1). It is a well-known process that over half the individuals with diabetes develop over time (1). Its presentation is usually regarded as a late-stage complication of diabetes, mostly affecting patients with advancing age (1). In pediatric patients, DN is rarely seen, especially as an initial presentation of Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus (T1DM). This paper describes a rare case of a pediatric patient with newly diagnosed T1DM who presented with Foot drop.

CASE REPORT
A previously healthy, 15-year-old Arab male presented to our hospital with the chief complaint of right foot weakness of 1-week duration. The weakness was associated with a mild tingling sensation in his right foot but no numbness. The patient also reported feeling unbalanced, often tripping over, as well as dragging his right foot for the past week. During this period, symptoms of polyuria, polydipsia, and polyphagia were also present.

Upon detailed history recording, the patient reported right knee trauma two months prior to this presentation. He described two days of pain and clicking sounds in the affected knee which resolved spontaneously and has been asymptomatic since. No cast was worn. No recent infections were reported. Past medical history and family history were unremarkable including autoimmune diseases. The patient does not take any regular medications.

The patient was seen by the neurology team in view of his presenting symptoms. The neurological examination revealed a high steppage gait with right-sided foot drop and absent heel strike. Mild wasting of calf muscles was noted in the right leg. Examination of the right foot showed a grade 0/5 ankle dorsiflexion and 0/5 ankle eversion with normal ankle plantar flexion and normal ankle inversion. Ankle reflex was absent on the right and superficial sensation was also impaired over the dorsum of the right foot. The remainder of the general physical and neurological exam findings were normal including left foot, upper limbs, cerebellar and cranial nerve functions.

Nerve Conduction studies (NCS) were performed twice on bilateral peroneal, tibial, sural and superficial peroneal nerves (Table 1, 2, 3). The tests showed normal distal latencies, conduction velocities and amplitudes from all of the nerves tested.

The diagnosis of T1DM was made after investigations reported random serum glucose level of 247 mg/dL (Normal 73-112 mg/dl) with HbA1c of 11.7 % (Normal 4.3-5.7%) and Anti Glutamic acid decarboxylase antibody (Anti GAD) titers of 51.9 units/ml (Normal <5 units/ml). Venous blood gas showed normal pH and bicarbonate values. Moderate glycosuria and ketonuria were noted on urinalysis. Other laboratory findings including thyroid functions, infectious workup, electrolytes, celiac screen and full blood counts were within normal ranges. Trauma and masses
as a cause of peroneal neuropathy was ruled out by normal findings on MRI of the right knee. Central causes including space occupying lesions, infarction, bleeding, inflammation processes were all ruled out by normal MRI brain and spine findings. The patient was admitted and started on long acting insulin Degludec once daily and short acting insulin Aspart with meals in a total daily dose of 1.2units/kg/day. His insulin doses were adjusted based on his blood sugar readings during hospital admission. He also underwent few sessions of physiotherapy during his admission. Within three days his glucose levels had normalized and he had mild improvement of his right foot weakness. The patient was discharged 4 days after his admission with a plan to follow up in physiotherapy, endocrinology and neurology outpatient clinics. Both the patient and his parents were given comprehensive education about diabetes and plans for follow up.

Two months later, in the follow up endocrinology clinic visits, the patient’s glycemic control had significantly improved (HbA1c 6.4%) and he was asymptomatic.

DISCUSSION

Diabetes Mellitus (DM) is one of the most commonly diagnosed endocrine disorders among children (2). T1DM is characterized by elevated levels of blood glucose as a result of autoimmune destruction of pancreatic beta cells, which cause insufficient insulin production (5). There has been a steady increase in the incidence of DM worldwide, with Type 1 diabetes rising 3% annually in the last few decades (4). The United Arab Emirates and the Middle East in particular are facing an epidemic, with the MENA region currently holding the highest prevalence of diabetes in the world after age-standardization (5).

The first presentation of DM is usually in the form of osmotic symptoms such as polyuria, polydipsia and weight loss (6). If left undiagnosed for long, they can also present with Diabetic Ketoacidosis, which is a fatal complication that poses significant risks to the patient’s morbidity and mortality. This makes the early diagnosis of diabetes and recognition of atypical symptoms crucial to avoid fatal complications (1, 5).

DN is a widely known complication of long-standing diabetes (1). Multiple mechanisms have been suggested to play a role in the pathogenesis of DN. These include hyperglycemia induced oxidative damage, nerve ischemia due to endothelial dysfunction and the loss of insulin and its role as a neurotropic peptide (8,9,10).

DN can be divided into two broad categories. The first of which is generalized neuropathy, which encompasses diabetic sensorimotor polyneuropathy. This group has a chronic presentation that typically correlates with longstanding diabetes and advancing age (11). The second group encompasses a more acute presentation, and is not associated with duration, intensity of diabetes or hyperglycemia. Entities within the second group include painful sensory neuropathy with weight loss (or diabetic cachexia), treatment related (insulin neuritis), polyneuropathy after ketoacidosis and hyperglycemia neuropathy (12).

Our case report fits in the second group, as it was acute in nature and had a rapid resolution following treatment. It does not fit the spectrum of painful neuropathy, and although pain can be a frequent finding, it is not necessary to make the diagnosis, as evidenced by findings in the literature (13). Our patient had a predominant motor involvement, and no reports of weight loss, which makes diabetic cachexia less likely as it mainly a sensitive neuropathy (12).

Baszyńska-Wilk et al (14) described a 9-year-old patient who developed symmetric lower limbs paresis with new onset T1DM after being admitted with severe diabetic ketoacidosis. During the course of her illness the authors reported findings of brain edema and multifocal vasogenic brain lesions on further imaging. Their case presents a clinical feature of peripheral neuropathy after diabetic ketoacidosis, a complication that can be a consequence of the peripheral nerve ischemia or other hemodynamic and metabolic changes that are linked to DKA (12). In our case, this diagnosis was taken into consideration, however our patient showed normal laboratory results and showed no signs of central nervous system abnormalities which are expected in DKA.

Multiple non-diabetic etiologies were also considered. Peroneal nerve injury as a result of trauma was investigated. However, the time frame of the patients’ knee injury in relation to the onset of the symptoms made this very unlikely. We cannot exclude a peroneal nerve dysfunction caused by vitamin B12 deficiency, but this is less likely as the clinical examination did not include upper limb involvement or any signs of cognitive impairment, as is usually seen with vitamin B12 deficiency (15). Furthermore, our patients’ symptoms coinciding with the classic DM symptoms and rapidly improving with insulin treatment all point to diabetic neuropathy as the cause of his symptoms.

Few cases of pediatric neuropathy in the setting of undiagnosed diabetes have been observed and are accessible in the literature (16,17,18). Our case closely resembles the aforementioned cases in the signs and symptoms of our patients, aside from a normal NCS result. Although abnormal NCS results can be frequently found in neuropathies, it is known to require a longer course of diabetes and a higher severity of hyperglycemia, as evidenced in the literature (19). In light of our patient’s neuropathic symptoms completely resolved following glycemic control. This reinforces our diagnosis of DN as an atypical presentation of diabetes.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this case highlights how Type 1 diabetes mellitus can present atypically as acute onset neuropathy in pediatric patients. It is important to recognize these clinical features as early recognition can reduce the risk of further complications and allows patients to receive appropriate treatment.
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Table 1. Motor Nerve Conduction Study (Peroneal nerve responses to the extensor digitorum brevis muscle and Tibial nerve responses to the abductor hallucis muscle were symmetrical with normal responses and amplitude bilaterally.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Lat. (ms)</th>
<th>Dur. (ms)</th>
<th>Amp. (mV)</th>
<th>Area (mVms)</th>
<th>Stim. (mA)</th>
<th>Dist. (mm)</th>
<th>Intvl. (ms)</th>
<th>NCV (m/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left Peroneal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankle</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>43.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head of fibula</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>45.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right Peroneal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankle</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head of fibula</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>45.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left Tibial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankle</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>81.9</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Popliteal</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>71.8</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>41.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right Tibial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankle</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Popliteal</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>64.8</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>41.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Sensory Nerve Conduction Study (Sensory responses from the superficial peroneal and sural nerves bilaterally showed normal amplitudes.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nerve</th>
<th>Lat. 1 (ms)</th>
<th>Lat. 2 (ms)</th>
<th>Amp. (uV)</th>
<th>Area (mVms)</th>
<th>Stim. (mA)</th>
<th>Dist. (mm)</th>
<th>Intvl. (ms)</th>
<th>NCV (m/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left Sural</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>45.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right Sural</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>42.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left Superficial Peroneal</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>110</td>
<td></td>
<td>43.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right Superficial Peroneal</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
<td>45.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. F-wave latencies (Bilateral peroneal and tibial F-wave latencies were within normal limits.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nerve</th>
<th>Side</th>
<th>Stim. Site</th>
<th>F-Lat.</th>
<th>F-M Lat.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peroneal</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Ankle</td>
<td>46.4ms</td>
<td>42.2ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peroneal</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Ankle</td>
<td>46.9ms</td>
<td>46.9ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibial</td>
<td>Ankle</td>
<td>Ankle</td>
<td>45.2ms</td>
<td>45.9ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibial</td>
<td>Ankle</td>
<td>Ankle</td>
<td>46.9ms</td>
<td>43.5ms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>