Background: Ongoing research on Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) infection has revealed that it is associated with serious damage to many organs, not only the lungs. This infection can also affect the cardiovascular system and lead to serious cardiac pathologies. In this article, we present a case of transient bradyarrhythmia in a patient who was diagnosed with COVID-19 and recovered spontaneously with treatment.

Case Report: A 74-year-old male patient was hospitalized with symptomatic COVID-19 infection. At the time of hospitalization, the patient was asymptomatic from a cardiac standpoint, but was found to have sinoatrial exit block type 2-2, intermittent Mobitz type 2 atrioventricular block, and sinus bradycardia. The medical team decided to closely monitor the patient, who responded well to COVID-19 treatment and did not develop bradyarrhythmia as his symptoms improved.

Conclusion: Patients with COVID-19 infection should be closely monitored for bradyarrhythmia. Permanent pacemaker should not be rushed in these patients.
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ABSTRACT

Background: Ongoing research on Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) infection has revealed that it is associated with serious damage to many organs, not only the lungs. This infection can also affect the cardiovascular system and lead to serious cardiac pathologies. In this article, we present a case of transient bradyarrhythmia in a patient who was diagnosed with COVID-19 and recovered spontaneously with treatment.

Case Report: A 74-year-old male patient was hospitalized with symptomatic COVID-19 infection. At the time of hospitalization, the patient was asymptomatic from a cardiac standpoint, but was found to have sinoatrial exit block type 2-2, intermittent Mobitz type 2 atrioventricular block, and sinus bradycardia. The medical team decided to closely monitor the patient, who responded well to COVID-19 treatment and did not develop bradyarrhythmia as his symptoms improved.

Conclusion: Patients with COVID-19 infection should be closely monitored for bradyarrhythmia. Permanent pacemaker should not be rushed in these patients.
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INTRODUCTION

Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) infection causes serious morbidity and mortality due to systemic organ involvement and dysfunction. In 2019, cases of pneumonia with acute respiratory failure were associated with a new type of coronavirus in Wuhan, China. This disease was later named COVID-19 and rapidly spread worldwide, becoming a global epidemic. In ongoing research on COVID-19 has revealed that the infection is associated with serious damage to many organs, not only the lungs. This includes the cardiovascular system, which can lead to severe cardiac pathologies such as acute myocardial infarction, myocarditis, arrhythmia, myocardial injury, pulmonary embolism, and heart failure.

Arrhythmias are frequently detected in patients with COVID-19 due to reasons such as respiratory distress, fever, and systemic inflammatory response, with tachyarrhythmia being observed more commonly. However, cases of bradyarrhythmia associated with COVID-19 infection have also been reported in the literature. In this article, we present a case of transient bradyarrhythmia diagnosed with COVID-19 that spontaneously improved with treatment.
CASE REPORT

Our 74-year-old male patient was admitted to the emergency department with complaints of fever, malaise, shortness of breath, cough and widespread muscle pains that had been present for 3 days. The patient had a known chronic kidney disease and a history of previous cerebrovascular disease, but no known coronary artery disease, history of arrhythmia, heart failure, or syncope. Laboratory findings showed: C-reactive protein (CRP) 13 mg/L (0–5), lymphocyte count 0.88 (1.32–3.57), hemoglobin 12.9 g/dL (13.7–17.5), urea 57 mg/dL (17–49), creatinine 1.6 mg/dL (0.72–1.25), potassium 4.4 mmol/L (3.5–5.1), high-sensitivity troponin I: 42.2–45.1 ng/L (0–34).

Electrocardiography (ECG) was taken because the patient was bradycardic was found to be compatible with sinoatrial exit block type 2-2 (Fig. 1). The patient was not using any sinus or atrioventricular (AV) node blocking medications, and serum electrolytes were within normal range. There was no significant valve pathology in the patient, who had an ejection fraction of 60%. The patient’s radiological examinations were consistent with COVID-19, and he was placed on telemetry monitoring and hospitalized. Symptomatic treatment was initiated for the patient, whose Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV2) polymerase chain reaction (PCR) test was positive. During hospitalization, intermittent Mobitz type 2 atrioventricular block and sinus bradycardia were detected in the patient, who remained asymptomatic in terms of cardiac symptoms (Fig. 2). No bradyarrhythmia was observed after the tenth day of admission, during which the patient underwent continued cardiac telemetry monitoring throughout the treatment period.

At the end of COVID-19 treatment, a 24-hour ECG and Holter examination were performed. Sinus rhythm and rare atrial extra beats were observed, but bradyarrhythmia was not detected. A medical follow-up decision was made for the patient, who responded well to COVID-19 treatment and did not develop bradyarrhythmia as his symptoms improved.

DISCUSSION

SARS-CoV-2 infection is believed to contribute to the pathophysiology of secondary heart disease through systemic inflammation and resultant myocardial damage. Hypoxia, shock (cardiogenic or septic), widespread systemic inflammation, electrolyte disturbances (such as hypokalemia), or accompanying myocardial ischemia may play a role in the etiology of arrhythmias in COVID-19 patients. The mechanism
by which COVID-19 causes conduction system disorders is not fully understood. Myocardial damage and edema due to viral infection are considered to one mechanism, while nodal or conduction system infiltration is another.8

Babapoor-Farrokhran et al.4 reported in their case report that bradyarrhythmia seen in COVID-19 patients improved after treatment of the infection without the need for any intervention. Additionally, Elices-Teja et al.9 reported a case of sinus node syndrome requiring pacemaker implantation in a COVID-19 patient. In our case, the patient had bradyarrhythmia despite no use of nodal blocking agents, no electrolyte disturbances, and normal echocardiographic findings. However, the patient recovered after COVID-19 treatment, suggesting that bradyarrhythmia developed secondary to viral infection.

CONCLUSION

Patients with COVID-19 infection should be closely monitored for the development of bradyarrhythmia. In these patients, planning for permanent pacemaker implantation should not be rushed. Furthermore, long-term follow-up studies are needed to determine whether recurrent bradyarrhythmia will develop.
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