Anterior Chamber Dislocation of Ozurdex Implant: A Case Report
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Abstract
Anterior chamber dislocation of an Ozurdex (Allergan plc, Dublin, Ireland) implant from the vitreous cavity is unusual. The risk factors for dexamethasone implant migration into the anterior chamber include a defective posterior lens capsule, aphakia, and a prior history of pars plana vitrectomy. Presently described is a case of corneal toxicity occurring after the migration of a dexamethasone implant into the anterior chamber.

Keywords: Anterior migration, corneal edema, cystoid macular edema, dexamethasone implant.

Introduction
Implantation of dexamethasone (DEX) (Ozurdex; Allergan, Inc., Dublin, Ireland) is an approved treatment for macular edema due to branch or central retinal vein occlusion, diabetic macular edema, or noninfectious uveitis affecting the posterior segment (1). Ozurdex is associated with ocular side effects, such as conjunctival hemorrhage, endophthalmitis, cataracts, glaucoma, and retinal detachment (2). There may also be complications due to the implant itself, such as desegmentation (fracture) of the implant, accidental injection of Ozurdex into the crystalline lens, and migration of the Ozurdex implant into the anterior chamber (3-5). This report describes a case of corneal toxicity occurring after migration of a DEX implant into the anterior chamber.

Case Report
The patient was a 78-year-old woman with diabetic macular edema (DME), a best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) of 20/200, intraocular pressure (IOP) measured at 18 mmHg, and a central macular thickness (CMT) of 450 mikron in the left eye. The patient had undergone a complicated cataract surgery 2 years earlier with a scleral-fixated posterior chamber lens implant and a defective iris. She had previously received 5 intravitreal injections of ranibizumab and 1 DEX implant (Ozurdex) in the left eye for the DME. The implant was injected via the pars plana route 3.5 mm from the limbus following topical anesthesia with proparacaine. An uneventful intravitreal DEX implant injection was performed. However, 3 weeks after the injection, the patient presented at the clinic complaining of pain and vision loss in her left eye ongoing for a week. The intraocular pressure measured during the first visit was 18 mmHg. An anterior segment examination revealed corneal edema and the rod implant adhered to the corneal endothelium (Fig. 1). The implant was surgically removed from the anterior chamber 24 hours later. After the removal of the DEX implant, the patient was administered an eye drop formula consisting of 5% hypertonic solution to reduce the edema in the cornea, 5 times per day for 6 months. Six months after the DEX injection, BCVA was measured at 20/400, and the corneal edema had not resolved. The patient is awaiting corneal transplantation.
Discussion

In the GENEVA (Global Evaluation of implantable dexamethasone in retinal vein occlusion with macular edema) phase III clinical trial evaluating the DEX implant for treatment of macular edema secondary to retinal vein occlusion in 1,256 patients and in the Ozurdex MEAD Study group phase III trial examining the efficacy of a DEX implant in 1,048 patients with diabetic macular edema (DME), no cases of the steroid implant migrating into the anterior chamber occurred. However, in both studies, eyes with aphakia and those with a history of pars plana vitrectomy (PPV) were excluded (6, 7). The risk factors for DEX implant migration into the anterior chamber include a defective posterior lens capsule, aphakia, and a prior history of PPV. Anterior migration of a DEX implant in pseudophakic and aphakic eyes with corneal decompensation and increased IOP has been previously reported. Khurana et al. (5) reported 15 cases including eyes with aphakia and pseudophakia with anterior chamber, iris-fixated, scleral-fixated, and intracapsular posterior chamber intraocular lens. Pardo et al. (8) reported a case of anterior dislocation of the DEX implant in a pseudophakic patient who had an iris-claw intraocular lens. Bansal et al. (9) reported 3 cases of anterior dislocation of the DEX implant in aphakic vitrectomized eyes. The authors reported that the implant was repositioned in the vitreous cavity in 2 cases. However the third case required surgical removal with post-surgery persistence of corneal edema.

Corneal edema is the most serious complication of DEX implant migration in the anterior chamber. Corneal endothelial toxicity may be due to mechanical trauma from the implant as well as chemical toxicity. In the setting of migration of the DEX implant into the anterior chamber, there are a few management strategies. The surgical management strategies include the repositioning of the implant into the vitreous, Neodymium-doped yttrium aluminum garnet (Nd:YAG) laser to fragment the implant, aspiration of the disintegrated implant, and forceps are used to remove the implant (5). Pacella et al. (10) also reported a case of an effective repositioning of a DEX implant through mobilization and subsequent balanced saline solution injection in the anterior chamber.

We did not elect to reposition the DEX; we preferred complete removal because the patient had a defective iris, which could cause recurrence of anterior chamber migration. If the implant migrates into the anterior chamber and there is corneal edema, we recommend immediate removal of the DEX implant.
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